

Page 2 1 HEARING re Final hearing RE: motion authorizing the Debtors 2 to pay their obligations under prepetition insurance 3 policies, continue to pay certain brokerage fees, renew, supplement, modify, or purchase insurance coverage, and 4 maintain their surety bond program and granting related 5 6 relief 7 8 HEARING re Application to employ Kirkland & Ellis LLP and 9 Kirkland & Ellis International LLP as attorneys for the 10 debtors and debtors in possession effective as of July 5, 11 2022 12 13 HEARING re Application to employ Moelis & Company LLC as 14 investment banker, capital markets advisor, and financial 15 advisor effective as of the petition date 16 17 HEARING re Application authorizing employment and retention 18 Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP as special counsel to 19 Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. effective July 13, 2022 20 21 HEARING re Application authorizing the employment and 22 retention of Stretto, Inc. as administrative advisor to the 23 Debtors effective as of the petition date 24 25

Page 3 1 HEARING re Final hearing RE: motion authorizing the payment 2 of certain taxes and fees and granting related relief 3 Final hearing RE: motion authorizing the Debtors to pay prepetition employee wages, salaries, other compensation, 4 5 and reimbursable expenses and continue employee benefits 6 programs and granting related relief 7 8 HEARING re Final hearing RE: motion approving notification 9 and hearing procedures for certain transfers of and 10 declarations of worthlessness with respect to common stock 11 and granting related relief 12 13 HEARING re Final hearing RE: motion authorizing the Debtors 14 to continue to operate their cash management system, honor 15 certain prepetition obligations related thereto, maintain 16 existing business forms, and continue to perform 17 intercompany transactions, granting superpriority 18 administrative expense status to post-petition intercompany 19 balances, and granting related relief 20 21 HEARING re Final hearing RE: motion establishing certain 22 notice, case management, and administrative procedures and 23 granting related relief 24 25

Page 4 1 HEARING re Motion establishing procedures for interim 2 compensation and reimbursement of expenses for retained professionals and granting related relief 3 5 HEARING re Motion authorizing retention and compensation of 6 professionals utilized in the ordinary course of business 7 8 HEARING re Motion authorizing the Debtors to honor 9 withdrawals from the MC FBO accounts, liquidate 10 Cryptocurrency from customer accounts with a negative 11 balance, sweep cash held in third-party exchanges, conduct ordinary course reconciliation of customer accounts and 12 13 continue stalking cryptocurrency, and granting related 14 relief Limited objection filed 15 16 HEARING re Motion approving the bidding procedures and 17 related dates and deadlines, scheduling hearings and 18 objection deadlines with respect to the Debtors sale, 19 disclosure statement and plan confirmation, and granting 20 related relief Limited objections filed 21 22 HEARING re Motion setting bar dates for submitting proofs of 23 claim, approving procedures for submitting proofs of claim and approving notice thereof 24 25 ***ORDER SIGNED AND ENTERED ON 8/3/2022 AS DOCUMENT 218

Page 5 1 REGARDING ABOVE MOTION*** 2 ***NO APPEARANCE NECESSARY*** 3 4 HEARING re Motion approving the share purchase agreement by 5 and among Voyager European Holdings ApS and Ascension ApS 6 and related documents, authorizing the private sale of 7 equity interests in coinify ApS, and granting related relief 8 ***ADJOURNED TO A DATE TO BE DETERMINED*** 9 10 HEARING re Application to employ Berkeley Research Group, 11 LLC as financial advisor effective as of July 5, 2022 12 Adjourned Reset for 08/16/2022 at 11:00 am 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 6
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtor
5	601 Lexington Avenue
6	New York, NY 10022
7	
8	BY: JOSHUA SUSSBERG (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	CHRISTOPHER MARCUS (TELEPHONICALLY)
10	CHRISTINE OKIKE (TELEPHONICALLY)
11	ALLYSON SMITH (TELEPHONICALLY)
12	NICHOLAS ADZIMA (TELEPHONICALLY)
13	
14	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
15	Attorneys for Alameda Ventures
16	125 Broad Street
17	New York, NY, 10004
18	
19	BY: ANDREW DIETDERICH (TELEPHONICALLY)
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

	Page	: 7
1	MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP	
2	Attorneys for the Official Committee of Uns	ecured
3	Creditors	
4	340 Madison Avenue	
5	New York, NY 10173	
6		
7	BY: DARREN AZMAN (TELEPHONICALLY)	
8		
9	MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP	
10	Attorneys for the Official Committee of Uns	ecured
11	Creditors	
12	2501 N. Harwood Street	
13	Dallas, TX, 75201	
14		
15	BY: CHARLES GIBBS (TELEPHONICALLY)	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
18	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee	
19	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006	
20	New York, NY 10014	
21		
22	BY: RICHARD MORRISSEY (TELEPHONICALLY)	
23		
24		
25		

1	1 9 0 01 237
	Page 8
1	LISA DAGNOLI (TELEPHONICALLY)
2	Pro Se Creditor
3	256 Millbrook Drive
4	East Longmeadow, MA, 01028
5	
6	CORDEARO DADSON (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	Pro Se Creditor
8	15521 SW 1006 Avenue
9	Miami, FL 33157
10	
11	SINGER LAW GROUP
12	Attorneys for Matthew Levitt
13	222 Broadway, 19th Floor
14	New York, NY, 10038
15	
16	BY: JEB SINGER (TELEPHONICALLY)
17	
18	WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ
19	Attorneys for Metropolitan Commercial Bank
20	51 West 52nd Street
21	New York, NY, 10019
22	
23	BY: AMY WOLF (TELEPHONICALLY)
24	ROSEMARY SPAZIANI (TELEPHONICALLY)
25	

1	1 9 3 01 237
	Page 9
1	AIRD & BERLIS
2	Attorneys for the Canadian BDL Entity
3	181 Bay Street
4	Toronto, m5m 3
5	
6	BY: STEVEN GRAFF (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	CHRISTOPHER ROUSE (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	Pro Se Creditor
10	7425 Democracy Blvd.
11	Bethesda, MD 20817
12	
13	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
14	GINGER LITTLE
15	TRACY HENDERSHOTT
16	PATRICK HOLOHAN
17	LETICIA SANCHEZ
18	ALYONA FOERTSCH
19	JEFF STAPLETON
20	ROBERT BURNS
21	ANDREW BUTLER
22	LYSBETH GEORGE
23	BENJAMIN NICKERSON
24	BRIAN GLUECKSTEIN
25	GIORGIO BOVENZI

1	1 9 10 01 231	
		Page 10
1	MEGAN MALONEY	
2	VINCE SULLIVAN	
3	JEONGHOON HA	
4	NEGISA BALLUKU	
5	BRAD AXELROD	
6	RICHARD BAUDOUIN	
7	NATHANIEL DUPREE	
8	CHRISTOPHER STABLE	
9	DAN LAWRENCE	
10	SOMA BISWAS	
11	JOE COLVIN	
12	CHRISTOPHER GASTELU	
13	BRYANT OBERG	
14	LISA DAGNOLI	
15	JASON DIBATTISTA	
16	ANDREW GLANTZ	
17	ROBERT JORDAN	
18	MIKE LEGGE	
19	CAROLINE SALLS	
20	RICKY ANGIOLINI	
21	JOY HU	
22	JUEWETT BOSTICK	
23	JOSEPH BRANDT	
24	PHILIP BRENDEL	
25	ELLE CHOI	
		I

	1 g 11 01 231	Page 11
1	ROBERT HONEYWELL	
2	GAVIN MCKELVEY	
3	ANDREW SCHIFF	
4	CHRIS UPDIKE	
5	SHAIK BABA	
6	PAUL HAGE	
7	JEREMY HILL	
8	JOSEPH BARSALONA	
9	ERIC FRIEL	
10	ANTHONY GREENE	
11	VLADIMIR JELISAVCIC	
12	JEFFREY KALPLAN	
13	DAVID KOZLOWSKI	
14	ERIC KURTZMAN	
15	MATTHEW MASARO	
16	MATT MICHELI	
17	CRAIG RASILE	
18	BRIAN ROSEN	
19	MICHAEL SAVETSKY	
20	KATHERINE SCHERLING	
21	DOUGLAS TABACHNIK	
22	JEREMIAH VANDERMARK	
23	JARED DERMONT	
2 4	STEPHEN EHRLICH	
25	SUSAN GOLDEN	

	1 9 12 01 237	
		Page 12
1	RICHARD HOWELL	
2	SUSHEEL KIRPALANI	
3	MATTHEW MCCLINTOCK	
4	MATT MURPHY	
5	MICHAEL SLADE	
6	THOMAS BRAZIEL	
7	BENJAMIN BELLER	
8	JOHN DODD	
9	GRACE LAMPERT	
10	CARRIE LORANGER	
11	MARTIN ALLOCATI	
12	CHESTER BA	
13	JASON BINFORD	
14	ROMA DESAI	
15	MICHAEL DUNN	
16	DAVID HOLLERITH	
17	LAYLA MILLIGAN	
18	JASON ROSELL	
19	ABIGAIL RYAN	
20	JEAN PAUL	
21	TIMOTHY REILLY	
22	ZACHARY RUSSELL	
23	DENISE KALOUDIS	
24	IGNACIO GUERRERO	
25	AISLINN KEELY	
		I

Page 13 1 STEVEN GRAFF 2 YUN FANG 3 GERARDO PEDRAZA 4 ZACHARY TOWLE 5 HUGH BELLAMY 6 MARK BRUH 7 ELIZABETH WAGENBACH 8 ANDREW VELEZ-RIVERA 9 RICHARD MORRISSEY 10 ERICA CLARK 11 YATES FRENCH 12 MARK FRANKEL 13 MICHAEL CORDASCO 14 JOSEPH EVANS 15 CHARLES GIBBS 16 DANIEL SIMON 17 GRAYSON 18 GREGG STEINMAN 19 CHARLIE SHREM 20 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

announcements. Please be advised that you are strictly prohibited from recording, reproducing or rebroadcasting any part of this hearing in whole or in part in any fashion. A violation of this Court's order could be deemed a contempt of Court.

Please note that with respect to each motion, the presentation should not be interrupted. At the conclusion of each motion's presentation, the Court will entertain any additional comments or objections. Thank you.

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Are the parties ready to proceed in the Voyager matter?

MR. SUSSBERG: Yes, Your Honor. It's Joshua
Sussberg from Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of Voyager. Hope
you're doing well.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SUSSBERG: Thank you. I know the Court has lots of questions, and we are going to endeavor to answer all of them today. But before we do, I wanted to note that while it's only been 26 days since our first day hearing, I'm not sure I've ever seen the volume of activity that has occurred in a case over the last several weeks.

And I thought it would make sense to provide Your
Honor and the community with a brief update on the overall

state of play before diving into the two contested matters, and then moving through the rest of the agenda, if that's okay with the Court?

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. SUSSBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. We posted a presentation to the noticing agent's website, Stretto, that I'm going to walk through. And I believe we provided a copy to Your Honor. Quickly, on Slide 2, Your Honor, I wanted to note that, as is obvious, that the Committee was formed.

And that was formed on July 19th.

And that Committee has been working incredibly hard with us to get up to speed as quickly as possible. Now this is not your typical UCC, although the acronym is still applicable. This is purely a customer committee. All seven members are customers with crypto and coin holdings on the Voyager platform.

The Committee's represented by McDermott Will & Emery led by Mr. Azman and FTI Consulting led by Mr. Simms. I think we've taken a very different approach than is typical with a Committee, because this case is all about the customers. And this customer Committee is riding shotgun with us, and we intend to be shoulder to shoulder throughout.

It's been incredibly collaborative. We appreciate the efforts of the Committee members and its professionals.

And I am pleased to report that we resolved all potential objections from the Committee today, and even have a statement filed by the Committee in support of important relief that we'll get to shortly.

I also wanted to mention, Your Honor, and I'm sure Mr. Azman will comment on this, we've been negotiating a potential support for the process agreement with the Committee. And we've discussed and are exploring ways in which we can get holdings and distributions back to holders as quickly as possible, maybe even before a planned process.

Now in 5.3, Your Honor, I want to talk about this, because there's a lot of misinformation in the media regarding the rebound in crypto and who gets the value associated with that rebound. And as you can see, over the course of just four weeks, the price of Bitcoin is up 14 percent, and the price of Ethereum is up 42 percent.

And if you remember the story I told back on the first day about the two pizzas that Mr. Hanyecz bought in 2010, back for 10,000 Bitcoins and \$40, those pizzas today would be about \$220 million. But I want to be clear about something, and I think this is super important, and but customer should hear this.

The plan we filed and the transactions we are negotiating seek to put all the value associated with the rise in crypto over the last few weeks right back in our

customers' pockets. The company is not seeking to dollarize claims on a petition date and benefit in any way from this rebound.

And if we could avoid a liquidation and litigation of all the interesting, but in our view, and as I said on the first day, irrelevant legal issues of first impression, that is exactly what we're going to be able to do. The rampant speculation that the advisors to this company are trying to drag these cases out to earn fees is completely false.

Now on Slide 4, Your Honor, and we're going to cover this, and Mr. Marcus will walk the Court through as part of the bidding procedure's presentation, we are seeking approval of bidding procedures to formalize our process. It is a process led by Moelis, and it's working as we had designed it.

We have multiple indications of interest, and expect several more, all geared up and tied to an auction we're seeking to set for August 29th. We have some parties publicly saying we're going too slow. We have other parties publicly saying we're going too fast.

And in my experience that means we're doing something right. Importantly, the company has \$97 million of cash, \$27 million of USDC and \$19 million of crypto today as we sit here. The faster we move, the more of that value

goes to the customers, not lawyers.

THE COURT: Would you remind me, what are the Creditor claims that you know of apart from the customer claims?

MR. SUSSBERG: It's a very small universe, Your Honor, of vendors that are mixed in. I don't think it is more than a couple million dollars, but I can get you the exact figure.

THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

MR. SUSSBERG: Slide 5, Your Honor, and this was on the docket. And I just wanted to mention this because this was a new one for me. A company called KaJ Labs reached out to the company and asked the company to say on social media that we Voyager were in discussions with them.

And if we did that, KaJ would send us a letter of intent. We of course advised the company against this and encouraged KaJ to sign an NDA. They did not. KaJ then announced via press release on July 21 that it rescinded its LOI because of disagreements with the company.

But it's impossible to rescind something that was never provided. And it's impossible to disagree with someone that you've never spoken to. It's unclear what KaJ was up to, but we've publicly reserved our rights and will pursue any and all claims or causes of action to the extent it becomes necessary.

On Slide 6, Your Honor, this was another new one for me. This was our response to FTX and Alameda. And we spoke a bit about the relationship with FTX and Alameda at the first day hearing. But just so Your Honor has context and everyone understands, FTX is a cryptocurrency exchange that averages \$10 billion of daily trading value and has over one million users.

Alameda is a quantitative trading firm. Both were founded by Sam Bankman-Fried, who is a 30-year-old MIT grad. Alameda and FTX are represented by Mr. Dietderich, who I know the Court is familiar with, and who I expect -- respect, admire and consider a friend.

And as I mentioned to the Court previously,

Alameda and FTX wear multiple hats here. They are a

borrower and owe the company \$377 million. They are a

lender. We've borrowed and owe \$75 million to Alameda,

which we borrowed in June to help stabilize the platform.

And Alameda and FTX is also the largest equity holder, holding approximately 10 percent. Now one day after we filed our proposed bidding procedures, Alameda and FTX put out a press release with a proposal to short circuit the process that we were seeking to approve and seek expedited approval of a deal to provide customers with liquidity and transfer those customers to FTX's platform.

I do not intend to get into a food fight here

today. And the back and forth with FTX and Mr. Dietderich over the last couple of weeks is water under the bridge to a certain extent. What is relevant, however, is that everyone, including other bidders who are actively participating and some who are hesitant to participate, everyone needs to understand and hear that FTX does not have a leg up. And that is why we responded the way we did.

Our job, our sole focus, Your Honor, is to maximize value for our customers, and that's exactly what we're planning to do. And we don't intend to let anyone try to infiltrate that process. And the proof has been in the pudding.

Of the proposals we've received to date, FTX's is actually the lowest, but we hope that improves through engagement over the coming days and weeks. And we are going to work with FTX and continue to work with FTX. And I understand that they intend to participate in the process we seek to have approved by Your Honor today. And Mr. Marcus will report on the resolution we reached on their objection.

And we absolutely welcome that. And at the end of the day, if FTX's proposal turns out to be the highest and best, they will win. But the games and the publicity campaign should stop. I watched Mr. Bankman-Fried's interview on CNBC earlier this week on Tuesday.

And the major focus of that discussion was his bid

for Voyager and the status of that bid. And Mr. BankmanFried said, and I quote, "You know, a lot of the bids that
we're hearing people thinking about were giving you 10, 20,
30 cents on the dollar back to customers." And I just want
to be clear, and everyone should hear it, that is untrue.

No bid we have received is anywhere close to that.

Parties in our process have expressly made concerns aware to us that FTX has a leg up and is working behind the scenes to force its way with the Customer Committee or the company.

And some parties have even indicated they may be unwilling to bid because of this.

Again, I want to assure all parties, the Court and our customers that we will not stand for that and we will run a process that is open, transparent and designed to maximize value.

Your Honor, moving to Slide 8, and this is important. And I'm sure the Court has read each and every one of these letters as well. But I have read all 31 letters that have been filed to date on the docket. And the stories told are heartbreaking and unnerving.

And I understand that many people are confused, concerned and feel lost. I also know that McDermott, FTI and the Committee intend to convene a meeting for all customers in the near-term to clear up as many of the questions and articulated concerns as they can, and we

welcome that, because that's exactly what this Customer Committee is formed for.

I simply wanted to take a brief opportunity, Your Honor, to address the key themes that I gleamed from the letters, and hopefully clear up a few of the key questions and confusion. Many customers indicated that all their money will be lost. That is not true, as we discussed today.

I'll come back to the FDIC in a minute, because that bears a separate discussion. Customers have indicated that they will not receive the cash held on Voyager's platform. That likewise is not true. And Ms. Okike will present our motion to distribute the close to \$300 million held in the benefit only account at Metropolitan Bank.

Many of the letters indicated that no party is interested in acquiring Voyager's business. Again, that is untrue. We have multiple indications of interest, and we are very pleased with the robust amount of interest that has been maintained throughout the pre-petition and postpetition process.

Flipping to Slide 9. There have been questions and concerns regarding Voyager's stealing customer money and profiting from the restructuring at the expense of customers. And this goes to the point I made, Your Honor, about dollarizing claims and having the company benefit from

the 14 and 17 percent rise in various coins.

That is exactly not what we're intending to do and will not do. There was also a story that broke yesterday about Mr. Ehrlich's sale of company shares 18 months ago back in February and March 2021. And while that story seems strategically placed in time, just want to make sure the Court and all of our customers understand the facts.

When Voyager was first publicly listed in October 2020, Voyager traded at seven cents per share. It reached its high of \$26 per share in March of '21. Mr. Ehrlich originally held 8.9 million shares. He personally purchased 6 million shares and was given 2.9 million options to purchase shares as part of his employment arrangement.

Mr. Ehrlich did in fact sell 1.9 million shares in February and March of 2021. Those sales were on the heels of a \$100 million primary offering at a time when the publicly listed stock traded between \$13 and \$24. This was also at a time interestingly when Bitcoin climbed by 455 percent and Ether jumped by 688 percent.

Standing here today, Mr. Ehrlich owns 4 million shares and 2.9 million in options. Had he sold all of those shares back in 2021 at the height of the market, he would've mad \$200 million. But all of his remaining close to \$7 million in shares and options are proposed to be canceled without compensation under the proposed plan.

And just like many of our customers, Mr. Ehrlich and many employees at Voyager and their family members hold cryptocurrency on the Voyager exchange. Mr. Ehrlich, his family members and all the other employees are Voyager are going to be treated just like everybody else.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SUSSBERG: Your Honor, to other quick points on this slide. There have been a lot of comments around SIPC and whether or not this case was eligible for SIPC. Interestingly, Voyager is not a member of SIPC, but that's not through Voyager's doing. In fact, membership in SIPC requires approval from FINRA. And FINRA has declined to approve digital asset brokers like Voyager.

In fact, Voyager applied three different times.

We've got a real conflict in the government here because SEC

Chairman Gensler repeatedly says crypto is a security, yet

FINRA has denied membership over and over again. And so,

something this going to have to change on that front.

But I want to rest our customers assured that it doesn't need to change in this case, because those issues and those legal questions are not necessarily going to be answered if we can figure out how to quickly get our assets back into our customers' hands.

And finally, Your Honor, there are questions around this process taking years to resolve itself. It's

simply not the case. Our case timeline, the sale and the plan has this company emerging from bankruptcy in the first quarter of next year. And we are committed to doing everything we can to keeping to that schedule.

On Slide 10, Your Honor, and I think this is important, I want to make sure the Court and all the customers understand this. Voyager's relationship and interaction with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation did not begin on July 28t last week, when the FDIC issued its press release and letter to Voyager.

On the contrary, Voyager has been in close contact with the FDIC since early March of 2021, more than 16 months ago. Now as Your Honor is aware, deposit insurance is one of the significant benefits of having an account at an FDIC insured bank. This means your deposits at a federally insured bank are protected up to \$250,000 in the event of a bank failure or theft.

So as an example, if you have one million in a bank account at a federally insured bank, and that bank fails subsequent to your deposit, you would get back at least \$250,000. Now again, Ms. Okike will cover this in detail today, but Metropolitan Bank represented by Mr. Mason at Wachtel, is a place where customers deposit cash that can be transferred to the Voyager platform.

And Metropolitan Bank is FDIC insured. Customer

cash at Metropolitan Bank is protected in the event of a Metropolitan Bank failure, not a Voyager failure.

Regardless, we believe this is really a bit of a red herring, as again, we're seeking today to return the close to \$300 million in the Metropolitan Bank account to our customers.

And I want to be clear, Voyager does not believe

that it knowingly made misrepresentations about the existence of or the extent of deposit insurance.

Nonetheless, as we explained in our confidential response to the FDIC, Voyager has reviewed all of its media statements and remediated those statements to the extent they could've been misconstrued.

Now finally, Your Honor, before I cede the podium,

I wanted to mention on Slide 11 the Three Arrows liquidation

proceedings, which are pending in front of Judge Glenn.

Voyager was one of five members identified and selected for the Creditors Committee.

And based on media reports, I can't confirm without getting into the specifics, that Voyager is not the biggest Creditor of 3(a)(c). But Voyager is focused, as our the other Committee members, on pursuing and collecting as much as we possibly can on account of the Voyager \$650 million claim and the billions of other claims that exist for other parties in interest.

And finally, just to drive home the point that has been articulated in many of the letters, on Slide 12, we've put forth our proposed case timeline. And it reiterates what we've talked about from a sale and a plan perspective. And while aggressive, we believe it's doable and necessary because of the assets that we're dealing with and the customers that rightfully deserved to get their assets back. And everything is geared towards a September 7th sale hearing and an October 31st confirmation hearing. are working diligently and around the clock on documentation, including the disclosure statement, so that we can be in a position to achieve these milestones and move this case forward. With that, Your Honor, I am happy to answer any other questions, or we are prepared to hear from the Committee or otherwise move right into the agenda. MR. DIETDERICH: Your Honor, Andy Dietderich for Alameda Research. At your convenience, Your Honor, I would like to be heard. THE COURT: Yes --MR. DIETDERICH: And Your Honor --THE COURT: -- in a moment. I just want to make a few comments because I have a feeling that in this particular case, we have a lot of people listening in, who are not attorneys, who do not usually participate in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

bankruptcy proceedings.

The Debtor's Counsel has just proposed his summary of what the Debtor is proposing in some cases before me today. And we'll make rulings on a lot of that today. I am keenly aware that this particular bankruptcy has adversely affected a lot of customers.

We have rules and statutes that we need to abide by in bankruptcy. To the extent that it can be shown to me that particular property doesn't belong to the Debtor, as that term is used in the Bankruptcy Code and instead belongs to somebody else, I have no intention of getting in the way of somebody's access to such property. But that's something that requires careful consideration that we will discuss today.

One thing that all the customers should know. Any sale process that happens in this case will only happen on terms that I approve and under my supervision, and on terms that will be subject to debate, if there's disagreement in connection with any hearing.

So while the Debtors may administer the sale process in the first instance, as much as they might have done outside of bankruptcy, they will do so subject to restrictions that I will impose and any final decision that is made will only be approved if I believe that it is the best deal that is available and is an appropriate deal for

approval under the Bankruptcy Code.

So if anybody is concerned that somebody might interfere with the process, tilt the process, steer the process, upset the process, those are all things that I would consider and that I have the power to stop and that I would not tolerate. Mr. Dietderich, did you have something you wanted to say?

MR. DIETDERICH: I did, Your Honor, if it pleases the Court, I would like to respond just briefly. I probably have a few minutes of remarks, but I think it will help set the tone in response to I think some of the statements that have been made about Alameda.

So Your Honor, we were a bit surprised by the presentation. We weren't provided that in advance. Our client is being portrayed unfavorably for proposing a rescue deal that helps customers. We don't think that's appropriate. Alameda and the Debtors simply have different ideas on how to help customers.

My clients had asked me to address the Court briefly in response, just so the Court understands our position in the case. Alameda, Your Honor, was and is first a shareholder. It owns about 10 percent of the public stock. There are many other shareholders, including retail investors.

Shareholders also were harmed by Alameda's

failure. Once Creditors are paid in full, if they can be, public equity owns the next dollars. Voyager solicited Alameda for rescue capital when it learned of the impaired loan to Three Arrows Capital.

That loan was \$650 million lent on an unsecured basis. Alameda entered into a rescue loan facility in response to management's request. It was intended to stop a run on the bank. The use of proceeds was specific to fund customer redemption.

It was to be repaid when the balance sheet stabilized and crypto prices recovered. \$75 million of Alameda's money was funded under that loan. Virtually all of it went directly to customers. The loan facility helped to stall the run on the bank, and created more time for other customers to withdraw their money before the gates closed.

Ultimately the rescue facility was unsuccessful to prevent Chapter 11. Now when Voyager filed, Your Honor, Alameda tried again to help. We proposed to move customer assets out away from the estate immediately using a good bank/bad bank structure.

Customers would have immediate liquidity in crypto or cash, own all the appreciation in the crypto we could extract, and also keep their claims against the liquidating estate. We sincerely believed and continue to believe this

is the right approach for customers.

That was rejected violently. We spent two weeks. We have not even received a counter proposal. Why? The answer is in the bidding procedures. Now we were prepared to contest the bidding procedures today, Your Honor, but changes have been responsive to our comments and we have no objection with you clarifying things when we get to that in the hearing today.

But the answer is in the bidding procedures

Paragraph M. It says, "The Debtors prefer any sale to be implemented in a plan." That's the difference of opinion.

In other words, the Debtors prefer to walk stakeholders in until a plan can tie up all loose ends in the case.

And we appreciate the Debtors have a quick plan schedule. If we have to wait for a plan, a quick plan's better than a slow one. But that will be November at the earliest, even on an optimistic schedule.

And so, that's the difference of opinion. The difference of opinion is that we believe there is a way to do this quicker for customers, the Debtors would like to wait for a plan. And we have some concerns.

We can get into those in more detail when we get to the bidding procedures, but I just wanted to address immediately this idea that you know, Alameda has anything -- Alameda and the Debtor have a difference of opinion in how

1 to protect customers, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That difference of opinion is related to the pace of the case. But it continues to be our perspective, and we will continue to advocate as part of the bidding procedures or otherwise to a quicker solution to customers and what the Debtor's currently proposing.

THE COURT: All right. Let me make --

MR. SUSSBERG: Your Honor --

allowed the Debtor to make this presentation because I know there are so many rumors that are circulating and so many concerns that are circulating. I have no intention of turning my Court hearings into a sort of cable news show with people slinging accusations at each other and making extremely characterized descriptions of what their prior proposals or discussions were.

I don't know why all this is happening and why it's happening in the press. Certainly the parties who are on the phone know that's not how it's usually done in the bankruptcy context and it ought to stop. Okay?

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the Committee, if I could make a few brief statements?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. AZMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Again, it's Darren Azman from McDermott, Will & Emery. We are the

proposed Counsel to the Committee. Also on the line today are a few McDermott colleagues, including my partner Chuck Gibbs.

MR. GIBBS: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Chuck Gibbs. It's a pleasure to be in your court today, even though it's just virtual.

MR. AZMAN: All right, Your Honor, over the last two weeks, we've had a lot of catching up to do and we appreciate all the cooperation that we've received from the Debtors and their professionals so far. We hope that continues.

As Your Honor has quickly learned, there is no shortage of novel issues at the intersection of crypto and bankruptcy law. Unfortunately, we have a lot of experience in this space. In particular, we were Committee Counsel in a very similar case that was filed two years ago called Cred Inc.

Cred was a yield-earning crypto platform, similar to Voyager. So I think we will have a lot to offer, not only to our Committee and the Creditor body, but hopefully also for Your Honor on the difficult issues that all of us will be facing together.

As an initial matter, I want everyone, customers in particular, to know that the Committee has established its own information website. As Mr. Sussberg noted, we'll

also be holding regular Town Halls to answer questions, and to do our best to help customers understand the bankruptcy process generally and specifically what is happening in this case.

We are aiming to hold the first Town Hall next week. Once that date is set, we will be filing a notice on the docket with the time and date, along with logged information for the Town Hall broadcast. In the meantime, we are asking customers to submit questions that they would like for us to answer during the Town Hall.

That can be done by sending an email. I can't say we picked the most user-friendly email address, so let me read it maybe a couple of times so folks have it. The email address is VoyagerCommitteeInfo@epiq -- e-p-i-q -- global.com.

Again, it's VoyagerCommitteeInfo@epiqglobal.com.

We will include that email address on the notice that we file hopefully next week regarding the Town Hall details.

We will try to address as many questions as possible at the first Town Hall, and we will continue to host Town Halls as long as needed to make sure that customers feel that their voices are heard and that they understand what is happening in this case. And for those unable to attend any of the Town Halls, each one will be recorded and available on YouTube.

Your Honor, I also want to preview what we expect to see from the Debtors in this bankruptcy case. We've already made this clear to them, and I think they are largely aligned with us as reflected in many of the changes that were made to the proposed orders on the agenda today.

First, to the extent the Debtors are holding customer property that is not property of the estate, we want that property returned to customers immediately. That of course, is the subject of one of the motions on today's agenda and we are hopeful that Your Honor enters that order.

Second, we want the Debtor's remaining property, including crypto, distributed to Creditors and customers as soon as possible. Whether that happens under a plan, a transaction or some other mechanism is to be determined.

But there is a significant amount of crypto in this estate and there's no reason why customers who are suffering deeply should wait years for distribution as is often the case in Chapter 11.

Third, the Debtor's Special Committee has retained Quinn Emanuel to conduct an internal investigation. Our working assumption, Your Honor, is that at the conclusion of that investigation, there will be a report that either supports the Debtor's proposed releases of insiders or it does not.

We fully expect that the Special Committee and its

Counsel will act impartially, but the Creditor's Committee needs to ensure that the process is fair, comprehensive and transparent. And for that reason, the UCC will be conducting its own investigation alongside the Special Committee consistent with the UCC's statutory obligations.

The Debtors in the Special Committee have agreed to cooperate in that investigation, including by producing documents to us and allowing us to participate in the Special Committee's informal interviews that will happen with insiders and others.

And to the extent that the Debtors ultimately support releases of claims that we believe have merit and value, we will be prepared to explain to this Court and to Creditors why the releases are improper and should not be approved. But we will let that process play out and we will see what happens.

Fourth, we expect a fast timeline in these cases. You'll see that we worked with the Debtors to shave off about three weeks from the original plan timeline that was proposed. I don't think we can cut it down any more than that. And we are hoping to stick to that timeline.

The goal here is to confirm the plan quickly, so that a liquidation trust controlled by Creditors can begin pursuing causes of action to make up whatever deficiency remains after distributing the crypto and cash that is

Pg 37 of 257 Page 37 1 currently on hand. 2 And Your Honor, based on our preliminary analysis, 3 and more importantly our experience in the crypto industry 4 that dates back all the way to 2013, by the way, we do 5 expect there to be significant litigation claims here. At 6 bottom, Your Honor, customers here deserve answers about 7 what happened and who should be held responsible, and they 8 will get those answers. That's all I have, Your Honor, 9 unless you have questions. 10 THE COURT: No, thank you very much. Are we ready 11 to proceed with the motions that are scheduled for today 12 now? 13 MR. SUSSBERG: Yes, Your Honor. We'd like to proceed. I think we're going to start with the bid 14 15 procedures. Thank you. 16 MS. DAGNOLI: Sir? 17 THE COURT: Yes? MS. DAGNOLI: I am one of the customers. At what 18 19 point do I get an opportunity to speak or ask questions? Or 20 I'm not really sure. I'm -- sorry, I'm new to this. 21 THE COURT: Okay. If you have comments on 22 particular motions, then after the lawyers have presented 23 their arguments, if you have something you want me to 24 consider, I'll ask for comments.

Okay.

25

MS. DAGNOLI:

1 THE COURT: Do you have comments about the 2 particular motions that are scheduled today? 3 MS. DAGNOLI: Well, I'm not quite sure what the motions are, so I guess I should speak after I hear the 4 5 motions. 6 THE COURT: All right. What is the -- what is it 7 that you wanted me to consider today? 8 MS. DAGNOLI: Well, sir, I just, you know, I just 9 want to thank you for hearing me. And I just want to 10 represent some of these customers that you know, I'm -- I 11 think I'm one of the largest investors or Creditors that are 12 now on this case. 13 And I just hope to speak on behalf of all of us. 14 But I did trust Voyager and their word and their promises. 15 I have over \$1 million of 24 years I worked in a family 16 business. I worked hours that I was away from my children. 17 I missed out on big, little, you name it. It was a family business. 18 19 I don't speak with my brother anymore because of 20 I mean, I worked very hard for the money I got for the 21 sale in the end of 2020 on that business. It's on me, I 22 know crypto goes up and down. I understand there was risk. 23 But I did not fact in -- factor in that this company that was saying, you know, as of Steven Ehrlich said in December 24

2021, I mean, six months ago or seven months ago, we expect

record earnings.

I mean, this is a company that's talking about how great they're doing. They have Mark Cuban, Rob Gronkowski.

They have the Dallas Mavericks Arena, you know, with the Voyager all over it. I mean, they're spending big money on their marketing, on their people, on their locations.

Where was the heads up on this? So I just want to say that I had over \$1 million invested on that platform. I had \$350,000 that was for my kids' college on their USD coin that they touted was FDIC insured and I read up on it. And they had the Metropolitan Bank.

And I mean, if you look at their partners on their website, there's all banks on there. I mean, it's misleading. And I trusted them. I mean, I -- they made so many fraudulent claims to lure in their customers and now Creditors.

I mean, we funded them. And the lies and the fraud continued until the beginning of July, when they locked us all out of our own money. I mean, how -- it's crypto, yeah, but it's a bank. They're holding our money. It's a bank.

I mean, that's how I looked at it. I'm not, you know, a advanced investor, but I looked at it, that this is something long-term. The crypto will go up and down, but I'll wait long-term, you know? I'll hold out for this

because I'll wait out the lows and I'll wait five, 10 years if I had to and just sit on it.

That's my thinking. I didn't expect them to just say, well, guess what? We're just folding. So I did not feel like I got a notice. I didn't get a heads up. I just you know, did not expect this. So I really feel like they made themselves look like a healthy, viable, long-term company.

Their leadership was, you know, supposed to be experienced professionals. They were not fiscally responsible. They -- I feel like they defrauded their investors. They defrauded their customers. Where was the -- in the first six months of 2022, when they knew things were going south, why are they borrowing more money?

Why weren't they cutting jobs? Why weren't they cutting costs? Did they cut costs? I don't know. But you know, is Steven Ehrlich still getting paid and is he getting bonuses? And what payment structure is in place for all of these people right now? And if they restructure, is all our money going in -- like who's starting that new company? Who's funding it?

So I do feel like we're playing -- we're paying the ultimate price for them being fiscally irresponsible.

And they had our trust. They had our money and they did not run this company properly. You look at Coinbase, you look

Page 41 1 at FTX --2 MAN 1: Ma'am, I'm a customer, too. Can we please 3 proceed with the case? Thank you. THE COURT: All right. 4 5 MS. DAGNOLI: Well, I'd like to be able to speak. 6 THE COURT: Stop, stop, stop right now. I will 7 not -- let me make it 100 percent clear -- I will not 8 tolerate interruptions and cross-talk. Is that clear to 9 everybody? 10 MS. DAGNOLI: Yes sir. 11 THE COURT: That's not in a court hearing. 12 Everything proceeds in order. Ms. Dagnoli, is that how you 13 pronounce your name? 14 MS. DAGNOLI: Yes, sir. 15 I understand your concerns and I THE COURT: 16 understand these are issues that a lot of customers have 17 raised in letters that they have filed. And unfortunately, we are in a bankruptcy case. In a bankruptcy case, what 18 19 happens is, all of the assets that under the terms of the 20 law and the Bankruptcy Code belong to the Debtor. 21 Are made available for equal distribution among 22 Creditors in accordance with their legal rights. I know it 23 may seem strange to you, and it seems a lot of people don't 24 understand this, but a lot of people have raised concerns 25 about whether they were defrauded, or whether they were lied

to, whether something was stolen, a lot of concerns of that kind.

Those are Creditor claims. It doesn't mean necessarily that you have any bigger or lesser rights than other Creditors or other customers who may not have been defrauded. The issue -- some of the issues before me today are whether some of the cash that's on deposit in the bank really belongs directly to the customers and not to the company, and therefore, shouldn't be part of the bankruptcy proceeding.

It shouldn't be treated as an asset of the estate. I have some questions to ask the parties about that because I need to make sure that the rights of all Creditors are protected, but that's one of the issues. But the fact that you may feel wronged, while I am very sympathetic, and while I am determined as is everybody to make sure that this case moves as quickly as possible, so that you're not in limbo forever, it doesn't mean that I can solve your problem today.

It doesn't mean that I can simply say that you get your cryptocurrency back, for example, where there are issues about whether under the structure of these relationships, that belongs to the Debtor. It doesn't mean that I can do that today. In fact, I can't do that today.

If there were a valid, legal argument that had

been presented to me that showed that particular cryptocurrency belonged to a customer and it didn't even belong to the Debtor within the applicable rules, that would be one thing. I don't have any such motion in front of me today.

The Debtor's position and so far at least has been that there's a difference between the cryptocurrency holdings and the cash holdings. And that as a bankruptcy matter, the cryptocurrencies are assets of the estate, and the Creditor's individual rights are rights of Creditors.

Most people don't understand this, but as a general matter, even when you have money on deposited on bank, which you have as a Creditor claim against the bank, there are rules in the bank context that change that, that give you insurance, may give you prior rights.

But the underlying relationship is really a Creditor relationship. And unfortunately, a lot of those rules that apply to banks or securities brokers don't, at least may not apply to Voyager. So I am very sympathetic to you and everybody else who feels that they were wronged here.

But being wronged, being owed cryptocurrency because you're a customer and being owed something because you are wrong or being owed your cryptocurrency because you were wronged is really a Creditor claim. And the best way

to deal with that is to try to make sure that we move through this bankruptcy case as quickly as possible.

But it doesn't necessarily mean at all that I can simply say, well, everything should be given back to the customers. That's not something that Bankruptcy Code just allows me to do, unless there's some specific motion that shows that that result is consistent with the requirements of the law in the Bankruptcy Code. I hope that's an -- that's probably not a very comforting explanation, but I hope that explains to you why people aren't immediately reacting to allegations of fraud, okay?

THE COURT: I hope that's an -- it's probably not a very comforting explanation but I hope that explains to you why people aren't immediately reacting to allegations of fraud, okay?

MS. DAGNOLI: Okay, thank you so much. I really appreciate you hearing me and for explaining that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, Mr. Sussberg, how do you wish to proceed?

MR. SUSSBERG: Your Honor, we -- go ahead, go ahead, Chris.

MR. MARCUS: This is Christopher Marcus from
Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. I was going to
take over from here and begin first with Item 14 on the
agenda, which is the bidding procedures motion, if that's

Page 45 1 okay, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Can we take that last? Let's get the easier things out of the way, and then take the FBO motion, 3 and then take the bidding procedures, if that's all right 4 5 with you. 6 MR. MARCUS: Of course, Your Honor, whatever your 7 preference. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MS. OKIKE: Good morning, Your Honor. Christine 10 Okike of Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. 11 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Okike. 12 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, if we could start -- we're 13 going to skip around a little bit on -- on the agenda, if 14 that works. If we can start with Item Number 4, which is 15 the Debtors' cash management motion? 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. OKIKE: So, Your Honor, we filed the revised 18 proposed order at Docket Number 227. Subsequent to the 19 entry of the interim cash management order, we have had 20 discussions with the Committee, the U.S. Trustee and the 21 SEC, and the revised proposed order incorporates their 22 comments. 23 Your Honor, you probably saw that we've agreed to seek a second interim order at this time while the U.S. 24 25 Trustee continues to evaluate the Debtors' cash management

Page 46 1 system. If Your Honor is amenable, we'd like to schedule a 2 final hearing at the end of September. We were thinking 3 September 27th, if that works for Your Honor. THE COURT: Lorraine, does that date work? 4 5 I will check, Your Honor. CLERK: 6 MS. OKIKE: So, Your Honor, while we wait to hear 7 back on the date, at the request of the Committee, we have added --8 9 CLERK: I'm sorry. Yes --10 MS. OKIKE: Oh. Apologies... 11 Yes, the September 27 works. I'm sorry. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. OKIKE: Okay, thank you. Your Honor, at the 14 request of the Committee, we have added a provision that the 15 Debtors will not engage in any intercompany transactions 16 that involve payments from a debtor to a non-debtor without 17 prior consent of the Committee. And for clarification, we 18 are not seeking, through this motion, to make any payments 19 from debtors to non-debtors. 20 We have also agreed to provide the Committee with 21 a rolling 13-week cash-flow budget. The U.S. Trustee has 22 agreed to an extension of the date for the Debtors to come 23 into compliance with Section 345(b) to September 13th. I 24 would note that only one of the Debtors' banks, BMO, Bank of 25 Montreal, is not an authorized depository. And we have

transferred cash in excess of the Canadian equivalent of the SBIC limit out of those accounts to one of our authorized depositories. So, we believe that all -- all of the Debtors' cash is adequately protected. And we need to maintain that bank account at BMO, Bank of Montreal, because some of our vendors only accept payment in Canadian dollars.

At the request of the SEC, we have included language that nothing in the motion or order shall constitute a finding as to whether the cash management system complies with federal or state securities laws, and the right of the SEC to challenge such transactions is expressly reserved. And we've also provided, at the request of the SEC, that nothing in the motion or the order constitutes a finding under the federal securities laws as to whether crypto tokens or transactions involving crypto tokens are securities, and the right of the SEC to challenge such transactions is expressly reserved.

We've also indicated on the bank account schedule that the Debtors' -- the Silvergate bank accounts have been closed and that the Debtors' BMO accounts, just for purposes of clarification, are with BMO, Bank of Montreal.

Your Honor, with that, and there being no objections to the motion, we would propose -- respectfully request entry of the second interim order.

THE COURT: Are there any objections to the cash

Page 48 1 management motion? 2 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, Richard Morrissey for the U.S. Trustee. The U.S. Trustee has no objection. But 3 I'd like to begin actually with a -- a point about the 4 5 September 27th hearing. Does the Court have a preferred 6 time for that hearing? 7 CLERK: 11 a.m. 8 MR. MORRISSEY: Thank you. Your Honor, the U.S. 9 Trustee has no objection. As Ms. Okike just said, we're 10 continuing to work with the Debtors so that, hopefully, 11 we'll have all our ducks in a row by -- by or before the 12 September 27th hearing. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? All right, Ms. 13 14 Okike, I have one question. I'm not sure when the last 15 version of this particular order was filed, so I guess I'm 16 not 100 percent sure I've seen the most recent one. 17 I'll ask you to submit it --18 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor, we filed a revised 19 order at Docket Number 227. 20 THE COURT: What time was it filed? 21 MS. OKIKE: I believe that was late last night. 22 THE COURT: All right, I may or may not have seen 23 it, I just don't recall. But I wanted to ask you, because 24 later today we'll be considering the motion on the 25 withdrawals from the FBO account -- and Paragraph 6 of the

Page 49 1 proposed -- proposed order for that motion refers to 2 Paragraph 8 of the cash management order. 3 MS. OKIKE: Yes. It seems to imply that I have already 4 THE COURT: 5 given the bank that authority in the cash management to 6 honor cash withdrawals from customers from the FBO accounts. 7 Is that correct --8 MS. OKIKE: No, Your Honor. No. So, you may 9 recall at the first day hearing, we sought approval to step 10 into MC Bank's shoes with respect to disputing ACH 11 chargebacks. So, because Voyager doesn't have a direct 12 relationship with customers in terms of transferring cash, 13 MC Bank and Voyager agree that we would step into their 14 shoes for purposes of challenging fraudulent ACH 15 chargebacks. And so we just wanted to make sure that the 16 rights that were granted to us through the first interim 17 order still remain in effect, notwithstanding the release of cash from the FBO account, assuming that that motion is 18 19 granted. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I don't think I 21 have any issues with the cash management order then but I 22 just need to look at it to make sure. 23 MS. OKIKE: Understood, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, with that, I'll turn the

Page 50 1 podium over to my colleague, Ms. Smith, who'll walk through 2 some additional motions that are up for hearing today. 3 THE COURT: Very good. Ms. Smith? You may be 4 muted. 5 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, I believe Ms. Smith -- I 6 believe she got kicked off. So, we have a couple people 7 presenting, so why don't we move -- I'll move to Ms. Clark 8 next. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MS. CLARK: Good morning, Your Honor. Erica Clark from Kirkland & Ellis, proposed counsel for the Debtors. 11 12 Can you hear me okay? 13 THE COURT: I can, yes. 14 MS. CLARK: Thank you. I'm going to take us 15 through the next few items on the agenda starting with 16 Agenda Item Number 1, the insurance motion, which was 17 initially filed at Docket Number 3. 18 Today, the Debtors' request authority on a final 19 basis to pay obligations that may arise under the insurance 20 policies and charity bonds in the ordinary course. As Your 21 Honor may recall, we did not submit an interim insurance 22 order for court approval under your guidance, as there were 23 not prepetition amounts due and owing at the time of filing. 24 Since filing the motion, no objections have been 25 filed, however, we have received and incorporated informal

comments from the SEC and the Committee which were reflected in the -- the proposed order that was filed on August 2nd at Docket Number 203.

Unless Your Honor has any questions with respect to the proposed order, we would respectfully request that the Court grant the relief requested in the -- in the insurance motion and enter the order as proposed at Docket Number 203.

THE COURT: All right, I haven't seen any objections on file. Is there anybody on the phone who has an objection to the insurance order? All right, I hear no objections. The proposed order that I reviewed looked fine to me and we'll approve it.

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on the agenda is Agenda Item Number 3, the wages motion, which was initially filed at Docket Number 8. Here, the Debtors are seeking entry of a final order authorizing the Debtors to pay employee wages and continue their compensation and benefits programs. Your Honor entered the interim wages order on July 8th at Docket Number 57. Since that time, no objections to the wages motion have been filed. However, we did incorporate the SEC's informal comment to the revised proposed wages order that was filed on August 2nd at Docket Number 206.

Unless Your Honor has any questions with respect

to the final revised proposed order, we would request that the Court enter the order as proposed at Docket Number 206.

THE COURT: Does anybody object to the motion as to the employee applications and the proposed order?

MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, Richard Morrissey
again for the U.S. Trustee. The U.S. Trustee has no
objection but we do have something in the way of an update
with respect to one of the aspects of the wages motion.

There were -- there was a reference to non-insider severance benefits that may or may not be payable at the beginning of the case. Also, non-insider ad hoc bonuses.

These were relatively de minimis bonuses but at the time of the filing, I don't think the Debtor was aware of whether there were any such bonuses to be paid.

I understand that, number one, Your Honor, as of today, there are no non-insider severance payments to be made, so that's not an issue. However, regarding ad hoc bonuses, there were a few contemplated. I believe there were five individuals, all non-insiders, and the bonuses were sign-on bonuses. And my understanding, Your Honor, is that these employees signed on prepetition and -- in other words, they became employees prepetition -- and they were to earn the sign-on bonuses not immediately but after a certain specified period of time. That period of time carried into the post-petition period.

Pg 53 of 257 Page 53 1 So, they did earn those sign-on bonuses and they 2 were -- you know, again, they range from 5,000 to 20,000, I 3 believe, at the top. So, the -- so, that's sort of an update. The U.S. Trustee has no objection to that but I 4 5 just wanted the Court to be aware of that minor development. 6 The U.S. Trustee has no objection to the proposed order. 7 Thank you. 8 THE COURT: All right, anybody else? This is Lisa Dagnoli. I just -- I 9 MS. DAGNOLI: 10 would object to paying, you know, wages and benefits to a 11 company that's filing -- for this company, that's filing 12 bankruptcy. I guess I don't agree with that but I'm not 13 really sure how to go about it. 14 THE COURT: All right. Well, just to be clear, 15 Ms. Dagnoli, the motion is not about paying wages and 16 benefits earned after the bankruptcy date. That happens 17 automatically under the Bankruptcy Code in the ordinary course of business. The motion is about paying wages and 18 19 obligations that have accrued prior to the date of the 20 bankruptcy. 21 MS. DAGNOLI: Okay, thank you, sir. 22 THE COURT: Do you -- do you have an objection or 23 not have an objection?

objection because I feel that that's probably going to come

MS. DAGNOLI: Well, personally, I would have an

24

out of, you know, the bucket of -- you know, the pie of what's going to be distributed.

THE COURT: Do the Debtors or the Committee have a response?

MS. CLARK: Your Honor, this is Erica Clark again in representation of the Debtors. As we kind of disclosed on the first day, the Debtors currently have about 351 individuals across the United States, Denmark, Canada, France and Latin America that they employ and are in need of their services in order to ensure that the operations are continued post-petition as well as making sure that the platform continues to be up and running.

These employees are reliant on -- on their compensation and their benefits programs and would suffer great hardship if those amounts are not paid. And so we -- we would seek authority from the Court in order to make sure that the employees are reassured that they are -- they're important in this case and that we would like to continue to pay them. We would like to pay them for their prepetition amounts and we would also like to continue to pay them for post-petition amounts.

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the Committee. We view the sale process that's occurring as an integral part of this bankruptcy case and the overall recovery that customers, including the party objecting, will

ultimately receive in this case. Nonpayment of prepetition wages is likely to have an incredibly negative impact on whether employees are willing to stick around. And employees leaving at this critical time would be incredibly detrimental to the overall sale process.

And so for (indiscernible) we would -- we support the Debtors' motion and think it's critical that the motion be approved and that prepetition wages be paid.

THE COURT: Did you understand that response, Mr. -- Ms. Dagnoli? Essentially, the argument is that the best way for you and other creditors and customers to get recoveries that are as high and as quick as possible is to

-- Ms. Dagnoli? Essentially, the argument is that the best way for you and other creditors and customers to get recoveries that are as high and as quick as possible is to be able to either sell or reorganize this business as an actual operating business as quickly and on the best terms possible. And, in that regard, that it would be essentially cutting off your nose to spite the face -- to spite your face to say that you can't pay the employees because that would basically dismantle the business you're trying to sell. Does that make sense to you?

MS. DAGNOLI: Yes, sir, thank you for explaining that.

THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else wish to be heard?

MR. DOTSON: Your Honor, Cordero Dotson, one of
the customers on the Voyager platform. May I please have a
moment just to give my input?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DOTSON: Thank you, sir. I apologize for not speaking up in the first hearing. I attended but I wanted to seek more professional knowledge from a law firm such as the Chelsea and whatnot. And I just wanted to position myself as an owner and a depositor of my cryptocurrency.

I'm witnessing ten years of my life being frozen on a platform that I trusted. They are not a bank, though, they allowed me to use them in such a way. They are a brokerage. And for me to hear through the recklessness of risk assessment and management that over a half a billion dollars was owned out to a single entity and uncollateralized, and the penalty of that is that I am no longer the rightful owner of my cryptocurrency is quite hurtful.

And I understand that the importance of this case is not only for what is going on currently but will affect generations to come when it comes to digital assets and the management of who's the rightful owner. There's a sentiment in the space that if you do not have the rightful ownership of your private keys, that is not your crypto, though you may have trusted a third-party entity such as Voyager to be the secured -- to secure your assets and to hold your -- your digital assets until your claim of ownership.

And I don't understand how me, that had no prior

knowledge -- I'm 32-years old -- I had no prior knowledge that I would be considered to be a creditor or unsecured creditor in this motion. I've always identified myself as an owner and a rightful depositor of the cryptocurrency that was provided on their platform, and I've been a supporter of this business entity before it was even called Voyager -- when it previously called Ethos.

So, I feel that I have more ownership than the ones that were selected to be in the board of directors or the CEO himself, since I was present before all proceeding members that Kirkland represents. And I just want to get more of a handle on why I'm being labeled a creditor or unsecured creditor instead of an owner of my cryptocurrency, sir.

THE COURT: Well, all right. Right now what we have before us is the motion for approval of the payment of the employees. Did you have an objection to that?

MR. DOTSON: No, sir, I don't. I totally agree with the Debtors on any charges that were accruing before the halt of transactions on their platform should be paid out to those employees, though I do not agree with them not laying off their staff and only prioritizing those that can maintain the operations, the day-to-day of the maintenance of the platform.

THE COURT: All right. As to the nature of your

claim as a creditor, I explained that as best as I could to Ms. Dagnoli. As I understand the position that the Debtors explained to me on the first date, under the customer agreement, cryptocurrency is held in the Debtors' name, and the Debtors had the right to lend it, or to stake it, or to re-hypothecate it and to do other things.

Ordinarily what that means is that the property ownership actually resides with the Debtors. And your claim to a particular currency, cryptocurrency, is a creditor claim. I -- I can't -- I'm sorry but I can't turn this hearing into a tutorial. I have to address the particular motions that are in front of me. But that's, as I understand it, the basis for the Debtors' position that the cryptocurrency is an asset of the estate. And if you've been in touch with counsel, perhaps they can explain that to you a little more clearly, okay?

MR. DOTSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Yeah, I just need some clarity on that, that's all. It's -- it's totally confusing for me to witness well over seven figures being frozen on the platform that -- that I trusted. And there was no preceding or like, information that I saw that was presentable to customers that would -- would state these claims that by me depositing my funds here, that I no longer had the ownership of it and that it could be used in situations as an uncollateralized loan.

So, that's why I just felt -- where I actually feel a bit lost. And I don't really see much is being spoken on the customers' behalf on the rightful ownership of these digital assets. You know, the customers are very flexible. We'll even do time locks, decrease the withdrawals. But we just really want Voyager to acknowledge the ownership of the cryptocurrency belongs to the customers that provided the liquidity for this -- for Voyager to -- to be what it is today.

THE COURT: All right. As to the employee motion, is there anybody else who wishes to be heard? All right, I'm going to grant the motion. It is relatively ordinary relief in a bankruptcy case. I do understand the concerns of customers who have opposed it. To some extent, some of the attitude seems to be that customers don't want employees to get anything because they were part of the problem.

That's not a constructive way to approach the maximization of the value of the assets of this estate. And I agree with the Debtors and the Committee in their business judgment that this is an appropriate thing to do.

Hopefully, what will happen here will make customers, if not happy, at least get them the best result that we can get under the circumstances. But we're not going to accomplish that by kind of throwing things aside and destroying the business that we're actually trying to --

1 to rescue, okay?

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor. The last item that I will be --

THE COURT: If there's any other customer that has a general question about the cryptocurrency and who it belongs to, I encourage you to just -- you have a creditors committee. They can speak with you more directly. I'm really supposed to be ruling on specific requests for relief, not kind of answering general questions. Okay?

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor. And I'm sorry I cut you off earlier. The last item that I will be presenting is Agenda Item Number 6, the taxes motion, which was originally filed at Docket Number 30. The Debtors are seeking a final order authorizing the Debtors to pay any outstanding prepetition taxes and fees owed in the ordinary course of business.

Similarly to the weighted motion, Your Honor entered an interim taxes order on July 8th at Docket Number 56. Since that time, no objections to the taxes motion have been filed. But the revised proposed order filed at Docket Number 197 includes similar informal comments from the Committee and the SEC.

Unless Your Honor has any questions, we would respectfully request that the Court enter the order as proposed at Docket Number 197.

THE COURT: All right, I didn't see any
objections. Are there any objections? All right, I'll
approve that order. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all for
me. I'll now hand it over to my colleague, Allyson Smith.
I think she's been reconnected.

MS. SMITH: Yes, thank you, Erica. And apologies
again, Your Honor, for before. I did accidentally get
disconnected. For the record, Allyson Smith, Kirkland &

disconnected. For the record, Allyson Smith, Kirkland & Ellis, proposed counsel to the Debtors. If it's all right with Your Honor, I will just continue taking us through the agenda, moving next to Item Number 7.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. SMITH: The interim compensation motion, which seeks to establish procedures for interim compensation and reimbursement of expenses for retained professionals was originally filed at Docket Number 95. We did file a revised proposed order on Tuesday evening at Docket Number 194. There were no formal objections received, though we did incorporate informal comments received from the Committee and the SEC.

Additionally, we understand that Your Honor has a preference to address the release of holdbacks in connection with interim fee applications rather than now in advance.

So, while not currently reflected in the order, we will add

language before submitting to chambers that make clear interim approvals are without prejudice to objections or to changes at the final hearing.

We will also propose to add language to make clear that when interim applications are filed, parties must include a consolidated set of time records rather than refer to docket numbers of prior monthly statements to hopefully make things easier and more efficient for Your Honor.

Unless Your Honor has any questions, we'd ask that the order with the changes I just mentioned above incorporated be approved.

THE COURT: All right, does anybody have any objections to this motion? All right, I'll approve it. The language about attaching the full-time records should also make clear that the -- the interim compensation applications that are submitted to me should complete -- should not just incorporate other documents by reference.

I know it's efficient for the lawyers to incorporate their prior monthly submissions, but if they do that, it essentially means that every time this comes up I have to read four documents for each party instead of one, which gets confusing (indiscernible). The interim compensation applications should stand on their own.

MS. SMITH: Understood, Your Honor. And we will make sure that the order we submit to chambers reflects

that.

THE COURT: Very good.

MS. SMITH: The next item on the agenda is the ordinary course professionals motion, originally filed at Docket Number 96. This motion seeks an order authorizing the appointment or retention of certain legal services utilized in the ordinary course of the Debtors' business. No formal objections were received, though we did incorporate comments from the Committee and SEC. Specifically, we added the Committee has a notice party and as a party whose consent is required to mutually agree to increase the monthly cap or case cap. And we added the same SEC language that you will see throughout various orders.

Additionally, we thank Mr. Morrissey and his team for working so collaboratively with us. We did clarify a number of pieces of information with him and the U.S.

Trustee's Office, and I will state some of those on the record here for parties.

First, only legal service professionals are proposed to be OCPs. Second -- and I'll address specifically what services the firms provide in a moment -- we discussed at length with Mr. Morrissey to assure that there's no overlap or duplication of services between Kirkland and the proposed OCPs. The OCPs provide distinct services, including defending against a specific class

action litigation, copyright litigation, counsel regarding registration licenses and the New York Department of

Financial Services, U.S. Securities counsel, local counsel from various litigation matters, intellectual property advice, employment loss services, U.S. and European banking and regulatory advice, marketing legal advice and BVI counsel in connection with the Three Arrows liquidation proceedings, in which, as stated earlier, Voyager is on the Creditors Committee.

On that last point, you'll see in the redline we filed at Docket Number 202 that we added a new BVI counsel, Campbells. Campbells will be replacing, not supplementing, the initial BVI counsel, Conyers. So, at any point in time, there is only one BVI counsel; not both. And that swap just recently occurred this past week.

Unless Your Honor has any questions, we would respectfully ask that the OCP order be entered.

THE COURT: Are there any objections? All right,

I have one comment. In the -- I know you've negotiated as

to who gets to consent to change in the caps that apply for

the interim compensation, but actually I think that's a

determination that should be made by me. So, if you want to

change the caps, you'll need my approval. You can ask for

it --

MS. SMITH: Absolutely.

Page 65 1 THE COURT: -- okay? That'll require a few 2 changes throughout your order. 3 MS. SMITH: Absolutely. We will make those before 4 submitting to chambers. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. Next up is 7 Stretto's 327 retention application. It was originally 8 filed at Docket Number 97. In support of this application 9 is the declaration of Cheryl (indiscernible). Unless Your 10 Honor has any questions, I would like to move that 11 declaration into evidence. 12 THE COURT: Are there any objections to the 13 receipt of the declaration in evidence? All right, it's 14 admitted. Thank you. 15 Thank you. Stretto was previously MS. SMITH: 16 retained as claims and noticing agent under Section 156(c). 17 That approval was entered at Docket Number 67. Today, we seek to retain Stretto for the administrative services that 18 19 they will be providing to the Debtors outside the scope of 20 156(c). A revised proposed order was filed in advance of 21 today's hearing at Docket Number 195, and it reflects the 22 comments received from the U.S. Trustee. Otherwise, no 23 formal objections were filed. 24 Unless Your Honor has any questions, we would ask 25 that Stretto's 327 retention be approved.

Page 66 THE COURT: Does anyone have any objections? MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, Richard Morrissey for the U.S. Trustee. The U.S. Trustee has no objections. As Ms. Smith has just said, there were a couple of changes made. Namely, that the -- that Stretto's retainer will be applied to the first allowed fees, which I would expect would be interim fees in this case. Also, Your Honor, going back to the first hearing I wanted to assure the Court that there is no Xclaim issue here. There will be no dealings with Xclaim in this case in the 327 context, just as there was none -- or there is to be none in the Section 156(c) context. But, overall, Your Honor, the U.S. Trustee has no objection to the retention. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. In the prior order on the retention to perform the noticing services, didn't it address various -- various arbitration provisions and limitations (indiscernible), and don't they need to be addressed in this context as well? MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor, we can -- we can add those same provisions to this order. THE COURT: Okay. And then consistent with what Mr. Morrissey just said, is there any reason why we shouldn't say in this order what we said in the prior order?

I wouldn't want this to be used as a backdoor way of doing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 67 1 any of the Xclaim business that we were discussing. 2 MS. SMITH: Of course. We actually included 3 language already. It's Paragraph 13, I believe, and it's 4 the same language that was in their 156(c) order. 5 THE COURT: Okay, I didn't see that in what I had 6 reviewed. Perhaps I overlooked it. But okay, thanks. 7 MS. SMITH: Of course. It's okay to move to the 8 next item? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MS. SMITH: Thank you. The next item is the 11 application to retain and employ Kirkland & Ellis as 12 attorneys in these Chapter 11 cases and related matters. It 13 was originally filed at Docket Number 116. In support of 14 our application there are two declarations from Mr. Josh 15 Sussberg and one from Mr. Steve Ulrich. Unless Your Honor 16 has questions, I would ask to submit these three 17 declarations into evidence. 18 THE COURT: Are there any objections to the 19 receipt of the declarations in evidence? All right, they 20 are admitted. 21 (Declarations to retain Kirkland & Ellis Admitted into 22 Evidence) 23 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. No formal objections were filed to Kirkland's retention. We did 24 25 receive a handful of informal comments from Mr. Morrissey's

offices, which are addressed in Mr. Sussberg's supplemental application at Docket Number 201. Specifically, the supplemental declaration clarifies the meaning of overtime expenses, affirms that Kirkland will not charge the Debtors for standard office supplies, and confirms that Kirkland's hourly rates have not changed since the petition date.

There were no other changes to the order since filing, but I did want to highlight that we took guidance from our colleagues on the Aegean and Hollander matters and included a paragraph in the order. It's Paragraph 8 that states certain provisions of the engagement letter are stricken. We understand that's your preference and so we did make sure to include that from the outset.

THE COURT: All right, are there any objection so the Kirkland (indiscernible) retention? All right, I saw that you addressed those provisions of the engagement letter and the proposed order looks fine to me. I'll approve it.

MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on the agenda is the retention of Moelis & Company as investment banker and capital markets advisor to the Debtors. We are still finalizing a few open items with Mr. Morrissey's team. And though we are confident we will reach a resolution, we're not quite there yet. The order is otherwise fully consensual and incorporates comments and revisions from the Committee. We've also incorporated

comments by the SEC which will be reflected in the revised order.

If okay with Your Honor, we would ask that we continue to work with the U.S. Trustee's Office and, upon resolution of the outstanding items, submit a proposed order to chambers. Of course, to the extent Your Honor has any questions or concerns, we're happy to address them at the next omnibus hearing on August 16th or at whichever time Your Honor (indiscernible)...

THE COURT: Yeah. I do have some questions. I don't know if they're the same things you're discussing with the United States Trustee. The proposed letter says that there will be a restructuring fee of \$11 million, payable in connection with each restructuring in the event that more than one restructuring occurs. That seems concerning to me, particularly since restructuring as a term is defined (indiscernible) include a restructuring of any material portion of the liabilities of the company. That suggests to me if that -- for some example, parts of the business were sold to one entity and parts to another entity, I would be paying \$22 million in fees instead of \$11 million in fees.

And (indiscernible) while I understand the overall restructuring fee, I have trouble understanding the reasons why there would be an \$11 million fee for every little subdivision of a restructuring. Can you explain that to me?

MS. SMITH: It's just one, Your Honor. They would not be subject -- or they would not be entitled to multiple restructuring fees.

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman from the Committee. This was the same concern that we had. The proposed order that the Debtors have uploaded -- and it may have only been filed last night so it's possible Your Honor hadn't had a chance to look at it yet -- does address exactly that issue. And we are comfortable that -- and you've just heard the Debtors' statement on the record that there is only one potential fee. There cannot be a restructuring fee and a sale fee, there cannot be multiple sale fees, there cannot be multiple restructuring fees.

It's going to be one fee.

THE COURT: Okay. And as to the capital transaction fee, are you even proposing to do a capital transaction at the moment?

MS. SMITH: As part of the bidding process, we are really open to -- to all bids and all transactions. So, I think it's a -- I think it's a little too early to know for sure that that's not on the table. We are very early in the cases, as Your Honor is aware, and as you -- as you can see from the bidding procedures and process that we've set forth that Mr. Marcus will address, we really are exploring every single option to provide the best -- the best value to the

estates and stakeholders.

THE COURT: Well, it'd be one thing if you were out looking for equity financing or trying to raise debt capital on your own. If somebody comes to you with a proposal and their preferred structure is that they buy (indiscernible) equity, you're not proposing to pay a capital transaction fee, are you? That's just a sale.

MS. SMITH: That's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, in that case, you know, I think the only thing you're proposing right now is that you'll solicit offers from third parties; not that you're trying to look for equity financing or debt financing to reorganize on your own. So, unless and until you get to that point, why should I approve capital transaction fees at the moment?

My concern with financing fees, in general, and investment bankers' retentions -- I've represented bankers for years -- those are usually the ones that generate the biggest surprises in the cases because they're approved but they're often approved at a time when you don't even know what financing, if any, is being sought or what roles people will play in the financing. Why shouldn't we wait until you're actually trying to do something before we approve any particular role or any particular fee in connection with the capital transaction?

MS. SMITH: We will, of course, defer and take

your guidance, Your Honor. But I think, you know, again, we are exploring all alternatives. And as part of a -- as part of a restructuring transaction it's very possible that a component of that transaction is a rights offering, in which case, (indiscernible) would be potentially triggered or would be triggered. And so, again, I think, from our perspective, it is too early to rule anything out. But, again, we will -- we will take Your Honor's guidance. And we're not -- and just to kind of circle back to where I originally started -- we're not seeking approval of this right now. There are still open issues with the Trustee, so we can certainly discuss with Moelis --THE COURT: Okay. MS. SMITH: -- and come back to Your Honor with a proposed order. THE COURT: All right. Does anyone else wish to be heard in regard to that question that I raised? MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the Committee. Understood on your concerns with the capital transaction fee. The only other -- the only other note I

want to point out is that we -- we added a crediting

component with respect to the capital transaction fees.

somewhat complicated, but essentially a portion of the

And, again, I don't know if Your Honor had a chance to -- to

see that yet. I won't detail what it is here because it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

capital transaction fees, if one accrues, will be credited against any restructuring fee or sale transaction fee. It's not one-to-one but it scales up from, I think, 25 percent all the way up to potentially 50 percent, depending on how big the capital transaction is.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you discuss with Moelis and with the Committee whether they think that a capital transaction really needs to be addressed at this point? And if they think so, we can address that part at the August 16th hearing, okay?

MS. SMITH: Of course, Your Honor. Will do. The last item that you'll be hearing --

MR. MORRISSEY: Excuse me. Excuse me, Allyson.

Richard Morrissey for the U.S. Trustee. For one thing, the

Court hasn't ruled, but the point I wanted to make to Your

Honor is there were actually three hats that Moelis was

originally going to wear. In addition to being the

investment banker and dealing with capital transactions,

they were also going to be the financial advisor. They've

taken that component out of the retention papers and out of

the retention order. So, I think that should simplify

things.

As far as the discussions that obviously we all will have with the Committee, Moelis and the Debtors on this, is that when we get to the fee application stage, we

always look back to the retention order to see what was contemplated there. And if there's a different kind of a fee that appears in the fee application, we may have a problem with that if we don't see it authorized in advanced in the retention order.

But, again, retention orders can be modified during the course of a case. But we will be discussing that issue with the parties. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Well, to be clear, my intention was to approve the retention of Moelis subject to seeing the final language as to the sale and restructuring transaction fees and whatever other issues are being finalized with the U.S. Trustee, and to defer the retention as to capital transactions until August 16th with the idea that if the parties think that should be added, we can add it at that time.

MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. Let us confirm with the Moelis team. I just don't want to speak without consulting with them. But I think that -- that may be workable. But let us confirm with them and we will certainly coordinate with chambers.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. SMITH: Then the last item that you will hear from me on this afternoon is the retention application of Quinn Emanuel as special counsel to Debtor, Voyager Digital,

LLC, in connection with the special committee's investigation at that entity. The application was filed at Docket Number 125 and is supported by the declarations of Susheel Kirpalani and Steve Ulrich. Unless any questions, I would like to move those two declarations into evidence. THE COURT: Are there any objections to the admission of those declarations into evidence? All right, they are admitted. (Kirpalani and Ulrich Declarations Admitted into Evidence) MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. No formal objections were filed. The U.S. Trustee raised one informal objection which was reserved with language in the revised order. Unless Your Honor has any additional questions, we would ask that the Quinn Emanuel retention also be approved. THE COURT: Okay, are there any objections? MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, Richard Morrissey for the U.S. Trustee. I just wanted the Court to be aware that Quinn Emanuel, which has a connection to Alan Meda, has added a provision to the proposed retention order that whatever it is doing in this case, it will not be dealing with any claim or cause of action that Alan Meda may have against the Debtors or vice versa. So, with that, Your Honor, the U.S. Trustee has no objection. Thank you. THE COURT: I did see that provision, thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pg 76 of 257 Page 76 1 right, anything else that anybody wishes to say in regard to 2 the retention of Quinn Emanuel? Very good, I'll approved 3 that. I saw the order, it looked fine. MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. That is all 4 5 from me this afternoon. So, unless Your Honor has any 6 additional questions, I will hand the podium over to my 7 colleague, Mr. Nick Adzima. 8 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Nick Adzima. 9 MR. ADZIMA: Thank you, Ms. Smith. Good afternoon, Your Honor. For the record, Nicholas Adzima of 10 11 Kirkland & Ellis, counsel to the Debtors. The next item 12 before the Court is Agenda Item Number 2, the final NOL 13 order. The Debtors filed the NOL motion at Docket Number 7 14 on the first day of these cases. The Court entered the 15 interim order at Docket Number 58. The Debtors filed a 16 revised proposed final order at Docket Number 205. Excuse 17 me -- at 207. This reflects a few non-substantive 18 modifications compared against the interim order and 19 includes language from both the SEC and the Committee. 20 Otherwise no formal objections were filed. 21

Unless Your Honor has any questions, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter the revised final order.

THE COURT: All right, are there -- excuse me -are there any objections to this particular motion and

22

23

24

order? All right, it looked fine to me so submit your order
in final form and we'll enter it.

MR. ADZIMA: Thank you, Your Honor. Next, is the final case management order, which is Item Number 5 on today's agenda. The Debtors filed the case management motion at Docket Number 12. The Court entered the interim order at Docket Number 60. The Debtors filed a revised proposed order at Docket Number 205.

The revised -- revised proposed final order conforms to this Court's order in McClatchy and includes the SEC's requested language. Otherwise, no formal objections have been filed. Unless Your Honor has any questions, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter the revised final order.

THE COURT: All right. Are there any objections?

Very good. It looked fine to me. We'll enter it.

MR. ADZIMA: Thank you, Your Honor. That is it from me. Unless Your Honor has any questions, I will cede the podium to Ms. Okike.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. OKIKE: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon. Christine Okike of Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. Your Honor, the next item, Number 13 on the agenda is the Debtors' motion seeking authority for the debtors to honor withdrawals of customer cash from the MCFBO

accounts, liquidate cryptocurrency from customer accounts with a negative balance, sweep cash held in third-party exchanges, conduct ordinary course reconciliation of customer accounts and continue staking cryptocurrency, which was filed at Docket Number 73.

A supplement to the motion was filed at Docket

Number 173. We also submitted a declaration by Steve

Ulrich, chief executive officer of the Debtors, in support

of the motion at Docket Number 192.

Importantly, Your Honor, MCB and the Committee are supportive of the relief we are requesting today. And MCB and the Committee each filed a statement in support of the motion at Dockets Number 177 and 193, respectively. The revised proposed order filed at Docket Number 225 incorporates comments from MCB, the Committee, the U.S. Trustee and the SEC.

Your Honor, the Debtors submit that the cash held in the MCFBL accounts is not property of the Debtors' estates. That the cash belongs to the Debtors' customers, and that customers should be permitted to withdraw their cash in the ordinary course.

Your Honor, when you look at the FBO agreement between Voyager and MCB, which we filed at Docket Number 173, and the customer agreement between Voyager and its customers, which we filed at Docket Number 73, as well as

the historical practice of cash coming in and going out of the MCFBO accounts, we believe it is clear that the Debtors do not hold any legal or equitable interest in the case in the MCFBO accounts and that such cash is held in trust for customers.

Your Honor, under the terms of the FBO agreement, MCB agrees to establish a custodial for the benefit or FBO account at MCB. Section 3.4(a)(1) of the FBO agreement provides that the FBO account holds all customer funds that customers remit to MCB for payment to recipients. Section 3.6 of the FBO agreement provides that at no time shall Voyager ever collect, hold or remit any customer funds.

Section 6.2 of the FBO agreement provides that MCB is the holder of the FBO account through which the funds sent by customers will be held. And Section 6.3 of the FBO agreement provides that Voyager agrees that all customer funds will be held in the FBO account, owned and controlled solely by the bank.

Your Honor, the customer agreement aligns with the FBO agreement in terms of the treatment of customer cash. Section 5 of the customer agreement provides, in relevant part, that customer understands and acknowledges that customer may arrange to deposit United States dollars into the account. Cash deposited into the customer's account is maintained in an omnibus account at Metropolitan Commercial

Pg 80 of 257 Page 80 1 Bank, which is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance 2 Corporation. Voyager --3 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Okike, I have to interrupt you just one second. My battery is dying on the 4 5 phone that I'm using. I have to hang it up and I'll dial in 6 with a different telephone if you can just all indulge me. 7 I apologize for the interruption. 8 MS. OKIKE: No problem, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right, I'll be right back. 10 I'm back, everybody. I apologize for that 11 interruption. 12 Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, MS. OKIKE: 13 Section 5(a) of the customer agreement provides in relevant 14 part that customer understands and acknowledges that 15 customer may arrange to deposit United States dollars into 16 the account. Cash deposited into the customer's account is 17 maintained in an omnibus account at Metropolitan Commercial Bank, which is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance 18 19 Corporation. 20 Voyager maintains an agreement with the bank 21 whereby the bank provides all services associated with the 22 movement of and holding of USBC -- sorry, of USB in 23 connection with the provision of each account. Therefore,

Your Honor, the expectation and intent of all

each customer is a customer of the bank.

24

relevant parties -- the Debtors, MCB, and the customers, was that cash in the MCFBO accounts was held in trust for the benefit of customers. Your Honor, I think it might be helpful to walk through how cash historically flowed into and out of the MCFBO accounts.

Your Honor, if a customer wishes to transact on the Debtors' platform with cash they have to transfer funds via either ACH, Automated Clearing House, or wire transfer to the relevant for the benefit account held at MCB. And there's one account for ACH transfers and one account for wire transfers.

When a customer executes an order to buy cryptocurrency assets on the Voyager app, the Debtors' order management system notifies the applicable MCFBO account of the transaction and MCB moves the requisite customer cash to fund the transaction from the applicable MCFBO account to the Debtors' Silvergate master operating account. The cash is then transferred from the Debtors' Silvergate master operating account to a third party exchange or market maker, and the third party exchange or market maker delivers the cryptocurrency to the Debtors through the Voyager app.

Voyager then holds the cryptocurrency through one of its approved custodians.

Conversely, when a customer executes an order to sell cryptocurrency on the Voyager app, the Debtors' order

management system instructs the Debtors' treasury management system to transfer the cryptocurrency held with the Debtors' third party custodian or self-custody solution to the third party exchange or market maker. The third party exchange or market maker then sells the cryptocurrency and deposits the resulting cash in the Debtors' Silvergate master operating account. That cash is then transferred from the Silvergate master operating account to the MCFBO account.

Customers may also request to withdraw the cash attributable to their cryptocurrency trades from the MCFBO account. The customer's transfer request is conveyed through the Voyager app to the -- to the Debtors' order management system, which, in turn, instructs the requested amount of cash to be withdrawn from the applicable MCFBO account and subsequently transferred through a third-party payment processor, UZIO, via ACH or wire transfer to the customer's personal bank account.

Historically, the Debtors prefunded withdrawals from their MC Bank master operating account into an account held by UZIO to ensure that there were sufficient funds available for customer withdrawal requests at any given time. And the Debtors did not prefund withdrawals on a one-to-one basis. Instead, they prefund a targeted amount based on historical transaction trends and replenish that amount as needed.

When the money is deposited into a customer's personal bank account from UZIO, MC Bank then reconciles the amount the Debtors prefunded and remits such amount back to the Debtors' MC Bank master operating account from the MCFBO account.

Your Honor, before the gates went up and the platform went into freeze mode, there was a daily reconciliation process that took place to ensure that the cash in the MCFBO accounts accurately reflected customer cash balances.

At approximately 8 p.m. Eastern Standard Time each day, the Debtors and MCB would generate a report that provided a snapshot of the customer balances in each MCFBO account, including the ACH and wire transfer activity from the day, and the balances owed to each customer based on their respective trades that day. To ensure the cash in the MCFBO accounts aligned with the balances from the customers' daily activity, the Debtors and MCB would reconcile or true up the MCFBO accounts each morning. If customers were owed cash based on transactions on the Debtors' platform, the Debtors would deposit funds from Voyager's MCB master operating account into the MCFBO accounts. And if the Debtors were owed cash from customers based on transactions on the platform, MCB would transfer customer cash from the MCFBO accounts to Voyager's MCB master operating account.

Importantly, at no point was there any comingling of Voyager cash held in its MCB master operating account and customer cash held in the MCFBO accounts. Your Honor, the Debtors believe any cash in the MCFBO accounts, whether it constitutes cash sent by a customer to the MCFBO accounts to fund future transactions on the Debtors' platform or cash sent by the Debtors to the MCFBO accounts upon a sale of cryptocurrency on behalf of a customer does not constitute property of the estate.

Our view is that once the cash hit the MCFBO accounts, it was held by MCB in trust for the benefit of customers. Because the cash was held in trust by MCB for customers, we do not believe that it is property of the estate. Your Honor, the Debtors and MCB have not engaged in the daily reconciliation process since the bankruptcy filing. Importantly, though, the Debtors are not owed any amounts from the MCFBO accounts on account of customer transactions that took place prior to the gates going up. So, there is no concern, from our perspective, that there are Debtor funds in the MCFBO accounts. Rather, as of August 3, 2022, there is a deficit in customer cash in the MCFBO accounts of approximately 2.85 million, which is due to the ACH, or Automated Clearing House, chargeback issue we noted at the first day hearing.

Your Honor may recall that an ACH chargeback

occurs when a customer seeks to reverse an ACH transfer to the MCFBO account. In which case, under the rules of the National Automated Clearing House Association, the customer's bank removes the funds from the applicable MCFBO account and credits their customer's personal bank account for the original debited amount.

Immediately after the petition date, there was a spike in ACH chargebacks, many of which the Debtors believe may be unlawful attempts to revers authorized transfers that customers made to purchase cryptocurrency on the Debtor's platform. The Debtors have aggressively moved to prevent such improper ACH chargebacks by communicating with the ACH banks and the customers involved pursuant to the authority granted to the Debtors under the interim cash management order. And we're happy to report that the rate of ACH chargebacks has subsequently declined.

Under the terms of the FBO agreement and the ACH origination agreement between MCB and the Debtors, the Debtors are responsible for ensuring that funds sufficient to satisfy ACH chargebacks are available in the MCFBO account. Under Section 8.2(c) of the FBO agreement, we are -- the Debtors are solely responsible for all expenses associated with, and losses resulting from, over-limit processing and customer or cardholder fraud.

In addition, Section 9 of the ACH origination

agreement provides that the Debtors shall at all times maintain a balance of available funds sufficient to cover its payment obligations under this ACH origination agreement. In the event there are not sufficient funds available in the account to cover company's obligations under this agreement, company agrees -- which, in this case, is the Debtors -- agrees that the bank may debit any account maintained by the company with the bank or any affiliate of the bank.

In addition, a designated reserve account exists for chargeback -- chargebacks of ACH debits, and that's Schedule C to the FBO agreement. This is a designated reserve account -- this designated reserve account is a 24-million earmarked portion of the Debtors' MCB master operating account. Your Honor, the Debtors are seeking authority to continue to perform under the MCB agreements in the ordinary course of business, including continuing to address ACH chargebacks through the ordinary course reconciliation process that took place prepetition.

The Debtors believe that honoring their postpetition obligations under the MCB agreements is ordinary
course and that they are authorized to do so under Section
363(1) of the Bankruptcy Code. However, if the Debtors'
continued performance under the MCB agreements is not
ordinary course, the Debtors believe the requested relief is

justified under Section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Under Section 363(b), courts require a debtor to demonstrate that a good business reason justifies the proposed use of property.

The Debtors believe that there is a good business reason to continue to perform under the MCB agreements, including honoring their obligations to address ACH chargebacks and any resulting deficiency in the applicable MCFB account. Failure by the Debtors to properly reconcile ACH chargebacks will result in a default under the MCB agreements and put those agreements, which are central to the Debtors' ability to operate the Voyager platform, at risk.

Your Honor, since the petition date, MCB has continued to perform its obligations under the MCB agreements, and the Debtors are seeking to do the same through this motion. Your Honor, the Debtors further believe that the ability of customers to be able to withdraw the full amount of their cash in the MCFBO account, as of the petition date, is necessary to maintain customer confidence in the Debtors' platform and, in turn, the ultimate value of the Debtors' business.

Accordingly, we are seeking to continue to perform under the MCB agreements in the ordinary course, including satisfying any ACH chargebacks from the MCFBO account. Your

Honor, the relief the Debtors are requesting is overwhelmingly supported by the Debtors' customer base. The Debtors have over 1 million customers with active accounts and received a single objection to the FBO motion. And, Your Honor, Mr. Levitt is not objecting to the relief we are seeking. Mr. Levitt objects to the FBO motion only to the extent he is not treated as a cash customer entitled to withdraw his cash from the MCFBO accounts.

Your Honor, if the motion is granted, all customers who have cash in the MCFBO accounts, as of the petition date, will be treated equally and will be allowed to withdraw the full amount of their cash. Your Honor, the facts are that on June 22nd, the Debtors determined to stop accepting outbound wire requests and redirected customers to their standard ACH withdrawal process, following the Debtors' decision to reduce their daily withdrawal limit to \$10,000. And this decision was reported by multiple news sources.

That decision was also permitted by the customer agreement, which provides that Voyager may refuse to allow a USB -- USB withdrawal from a customer's account when it deems it appropriate or necessarily in its sole discretion. The customer agreement goes on to provide where the customer makes a deposit into the account and effectuates one or more transactions thereafter, subsequent withdrawal requests may

be subject to delays, holds or limits, as determined by Voyager in its sole discretion.

A day later on June 23rd, Mr. Levitt sold his bitcoin holdings of approximately 32.67 BTC and executed a wire withdraw request for the applicable U.S. dollar amount -- that was the amount of \$676,000 -- sorry, \$676,541.66.

On June 24th, Mr. Levitt canceled his outgoing wire request and placed three separate orders to buy back the bitcoin he sold. Two of the three orders were for 250,000 each, and the third BTC order was for \$176,551.66.

One of the BTC orders for 250,000 was only partially filled in the amount of 249,879.48. The remaining 120.52 -- sorry -- \$120.52 is processed in the Debtors' system as a held order. The remainder of the BTC orders were completed prior to the petition date. For the partially unfilled order, the FBO motion, if approved, will allow the Debtors to reconcile the order and either fulfill or, in the case of Mr. Levitt's request, cancel it, in which case such cash will be sent to the applicable MCFBO account for withdrawal.

Your Honor, the Debtors made many difficult decision in the days leading up to the petition date and there are, unfortunately, many customers who are in the same position as Mr. Levitt. But this case is no different than any other case where creditors are stuck in whatever

position they were in when the Debtors decided to stop making payments and to file for Chapter 11 protection.

Mr. Levitt may have a prepetition claim against the Debtors for breach of contract or on some other theory, but the Debtors cannot and are not seeking to liquidate cryptocurrency so that Mr. Levitt can be considered a customer with cash in the MCFBO account. Taking that action would result in the preferential treatment of Mr. Levitt to the detriment of other similarly situated creditors.

Your Honor, because Mr. Levitt does not oppose the relief we are seeking today and Mr. Levitt's cross-motion has been separately noticed for August 16th, the Debtors respectfully request that his objection to the FBO motion be overruled.

Your Honor, I'm happy to proceed with the rest of the relief we're seeking in the motion or I can pause here if Your Honor has questions or if there are other parties who'd like to be heard with respect to the withdrawal of customer funds.

THE COURT: I would like to take the different components to this motion one by one. And I'd like to separate the discussion of Mr. Levitt's motion from the general discussion of permitting withdrawals from the FBO account. And so, first, to discuss the motion to permit withdrawals from the FBO accounts, okay?

Pg 91 of 257 Page 91 1 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Let me ask you some questions based on your presentation. At various points you said that -- in 3 describing the flows of funds, that -- that MC Bank makes 4 5 transfers to various accounts of the Debtor? 6 MS. OKIKE: Yes. 7 THE COURT: If I understand -- if I understand 8 this arrangement correctly, all of the movements into and 9 out of the MC Bank account happen at the instruction of the 10 Debtors. It isn't that the Debtors send a request and MC 11 Bank then does something. The agreement basically allocates to the Debtors, which are described as the agent of the 12 13 bank, the authority to do those things. So, is that right 14 or is that wrong? 15 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, the Debtor and MCB work 16 closely together. But in terms of actually effectuating 17 actions at the MCB accounts, that is completely at MCB's 18 discretion. 19 THE COURT: All right. As a practical matter, from 20 day to day, it was the Debtor who gave the instructions and 21 actually moved the funds, wasn't it? 22 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. The Debtors and MCB 23 would -- every day would reconcile the movement of cash

between the master operating account and the FBO accounts

based on customer trades that occurred the prior day.

24

THE COURT: It isn't as though I go to Citibank and I say, please make a transfer, and somebody at Citibank has to do something. The Debtors were doing all this. Making the transfers and then reconciling on a daily basis with, I guess, some checking and oversight by MC Bank but it's not --MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, we were -- we -apologies, Your Honor. But the Debtors were not initiating any movement of funds out of the MCFBO accounts. Debtors had to work with MCB to figure out, you know, that there was accurate balances of customer cash in the MCBFBO accounts, but we were not actually moving the cash. THE COURT: So, let's walk through that then. When -- when a customer wanted to buy cryptocurrency and that called for money to be moved out of the FBO account, I presume the Debtor would check to see if the customer had money. And then the Debtor would issue some kind of a transfer request or authorization. And then -- what exactly happened then? Who had to act on that in order for the transfer to happen? MS. OKIKE: MCB would need to act on that. THE COURT: And who at MCB and in what way? MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, I know that counsel for MCB is on the line, but MCB has obviously a whole team of professionals that administer their bank accounts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

there's a team that works very closely with the Voyager treasury management team to reconcile transactions that are occurring. So, there would be this daily reconciliation process, there would be agreement on --

THE COURT: I'm not asking about the next day reconciliation process; I'm asking about as each trade is placed, what was the role at MCB and did its employees receive requests and act on them, or was all this done basically by Voyager subject to a reconciliation the next day? Because the banks --

MS. OKIKE: Understood, Your Honor. The -- the transfers were done by MCB.

THE COURT: Okay. Can the bank confirm exactly how that worked? Is the bank's counsel on the phone?

MS. WOLF: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm actually conferring... This is Amy Wolf from Wachtell Lipton Rosen & Katz on behalf of MCB, and I was just conferring with other counsel in my conference room to make sure that we answer your question accurately.

As I understand it -- one thing I understand for certain is that all of the instructions had to come from Voyager. We had no contact -- the bank had no contact and no knowledge really of the individual customers. So, as I understand it, the customer would go to the app and -- and seek a withdrawal, and an instruction would go to the bank.

Now, given that the bank controlled the account, now I'm just -- sort of a matter of common sense, it has to be the case that somebody at the bank pushed the button to cause a transfer since the bank held the funds.

But I think that's sort of the extent of the bank's function in regard -- you know, the bank was not interacting with the customer and really had no -- as I said, had no knowledge of the specific customer, but got an instruction that then caused it to move funds. I'm just going to look -- I apologize, Your Honor. I have some banking lawyers with me and I want to make sure that they think what I'm seeing is -- the best we can do. Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So, you say the control of the account was with Voyager. That Voyager issued instructions and if somebody at the bank had to push a button, they followed those instructions and it was all subject to a daily reconciliation. The bank was not receiving requests directly from customers, was not acting at the instructions of customers; it was just doing what Voyager told it to do in this account, correct?

MS. WOLF: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And were any subaccounts set up for individual customers, or was there just the two separate pooled accounts, one for wire transfers and one for

ACH transfers?

MS. WOLF: There were -- there were not separate customer accounts. There were just -- there were just the (indiscernible). These are funds held for the benefit of Voyager customers generally.

THE COURT: And when a customer wanted to remove money from the account, was there any way for the customer to contact the bank directly to do that, or did it have to go through Voyager?

MS. WOLF: My understanding is all the instructions came through Voyager. There was no individual relationship between the customer and the bank.

THE COURT: For the bank I have some questions.

The bank agreements reference a Program, with a capital P, and far more generally to formally accept the Programs of the bank as being governed by this agreement. Is there some document I haven't seen that describes the Program that is involved here? Where is that?

MS. WOLF: I don't think there is anything that you haven't seen. We -- we made sure when Kirkland requested to make -- this request had been made from Your Honor. We believe you have everything that we -- that we could find and everything that we have that governs this relationship. So, the reference, I don't, frankly, entirely understand what the reference to Program is either but I

don't think -- I don't think it's something different from what -- you know, that there's something else going on other than what we've now been talking about in terms of the functioning of the bank for Voyager.

THE COURT: Okay. And the Voyager customer agreement says that each customer is a customer of the bank.

Does the bank agree with that?

MS. WOLF: That's not our statement and I -- I think we probably don't agree with it. Because, again, we have no individual relationship with the customers. We don't know who the customers are. We are holding their funds but that's -- that's the extent of it. And does that make the person a customer of the bank? Again, there's no account agreement between the customer and the bank, and really no knowledge in our part of particular customers.

THE COURT: All right. And do you agree with the statement that each separate customer is FDIC-insured in the event of a failure of MCB?

MS. WOLF: That -- that -- it is my understanding that that is a correct statement of the law, that there is -- and here I'm definitely looking at my colleagues -- but there's a pass-through concept that allows each customer Voyager to take advantage of the \$250,000 level of insurance in the event of a bank failure. Even though there is just this one account and one might think it would just have

Pg 97 of 257 Page 97 \$250,000 of insurance, the law does permit the insurance to pass through such that each customer would be protected up to \$250,000. The FBO agreement in Paragraph THE COURT: Okay. 3.6 says that the client, meaning Voyager, would not collect or hold any customer program funds. I didn't see a definition of customer program funds. Is there one somewhere? Did I just miss it? MS. WOLF: I don't believe so. I'm not aware of there being. Again, I'm not aware of -- I really don't know what this word Program was intended to mean in this agreement. It's just not clear. And I'm not aware that there is -- again, that there is anything else going on in the relationship. I appreciate your candor in that THE COURT: regard, but that's -- I hope you understand why I asked the questions because there are all these capitalized terms that usually have definitions somewhere and I didn't find definitions anywhere. MS. WOLF: Yes. THE COURT: Paragraph -- Paragraph 6.2 of the FBO agreement says that the bank will be the "holder" of the account. Just to make sure I understand the full

significance of that, from a banking point of view, what

does it mean to say that the bank is the holder?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. WOLF: We serve as a depository. The function is to -- to hold their funds and, you know -- I think that's -- I don't think there's anything more to it than that. THE COURT: Are there any specific rules and regulations in the banking industry that govern the FBO accounts? MS. WOLF: Limited. There are -- there are some. I believe that the pass-through concept that we discussed a moment ago is sort of the central way in which there are rules and regs that govern FBO accounts. I'm asking exactly Your Honor's question of my colleagues and there isn't something that says, banks can establish accounts that are for the benefit of, and these are the terms that apply to that. There's not -- there's much less there than I was hoping. And, as I said, the one -- the one place is on this FDIC insurance issue. THE COURT: Okay. And, in general, in the banking industry, what is it understood to mean if someone -something is designated as an FBO account? MS. SPAZIANI: Hi, this is Rosemary Spaziani from Wachtell as well. So, in the industry the expectation, when you have a for benefit of account, is essentially the bank is responsible for the customer funds, and a third party, in this case Voyager, is responsible for the recordkeeping. So, they are the direct interface with the customers. They

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have the obligation to comply with certain recordkeeping requirements and to be able to provide the bank, within a very short period, information on the customers in the event that there's ever going -- in the even there's ever a bank failure. And that's how the FDIC thinks about the FBO accounts. THE COURT: Okay. The agreements that were provided have various provisions that entitle the bank to indemnities or setoffs as to obligations owed by Voyager and that further provide that the bank can file a UCC-1 financing statement to evidence its secured interest. the bank file such UCC-1 file -- financing statement? MS. WOLF: Not to my knowledge, Your Honor. I'm not sure why one would, because the bank does have possession of the accounts, which is how one would protect. THE COURT: Right. Okay. The agreement provided for it, that's why I asked. MS. WOLF: Yes. THE COURT: Has the bank had -- has the bank asserted any offset rights or indemnity rights as to the funds held in the FBO accounts themselves? I mean, first of all, the bank is MS. WOLF: No. not owed anything. The bank isn't a creditor. Hopefully, never will be. So, there's nothing to -- there's no reason

for a setoff. And the funds in the account we also do not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

believe to be Voyager's funds. We would -- we would look to the reserve account, which is provided for in the FBO agreement and is clearly Voyager funds, in the -- in the event that we ended up with a claim. But we do not have a claim and hope not to have a claim because we're just administering someone else's funds and Voyager would like to do its side of -- what they've always done, which is to ensure that the customer's funds are there.

The reason that there's a potential --

THE COURT: (indiscernible)

MS. WOLF: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Go ahead.

THE COURT: No, you go ahead and finish. I want to make sure you're finished.

MS. WOLF: I just -- the initial -- the initial hit to the account caused by these chargebacks that happily have pretty much fallen away, I believe, because of the way the ACH system works, they caused a hit to the funds in the FBO account. And it has always been the practice -- because there always are, as I understand it, chargebacks in the normal course -- that in this daily reconciliation, Voyager would make sure that the customer -- the amount of customer funds that were supposed to be in the account remained in the account. And if they had -- you know, if they had to make a transfer into the account in order to ensure that, they did.

THE COURT: All right. On the chargebacks, the (indiscernible) provide that if there's a deficit in the FBO account, that Voyager needs to make that up. And part of the motion -- a different part of the motion is a request that that particular provision be enforced. Is that correct?

MS. WOLF: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That part of it seems to me to involve potentially a claim by you against Voyager. Is that -- I suppose if every customer withdrew every penny of cash, that might leave you short. But am I right? Is that essentially just the bank asking Voyager to make up that particular deficit for the benefit of the bank?

MS. WOLF: I don't believe so because it is the account that has the deficit. As Your Honor knows, for there ever to be an issue as to what would happen if there were inadequate funds to cover all customer withdrawals, you know, then -- then that -- you know, then that creates the possibility -- and we don't know the answer, to be perfectly candid, what happens in that circumstance. But at the moment, the bank has no claim. The bank is not out any money, and it is the account that requires replenishment. It is not a bank -- I do not believe that it is a claim of the bank.

It is a claim of the account that, at the moment,

may be short some customer funds as a result of the -- the chargebacks. And it was always anticipated that that could happen and it always did happen that the account would be made whole. And then Voyager would do things like sell cryptocurrency if it was -- you know, if it was actually having to -- having to deal with chargebacks. But it was always the process that this account is -- we are simply holding it and it has to have enough in it so that it can be the customers.

I do not believe that the bank has a claim.

Certainly not at this time. So, I do not think that the relief that is being asked for is for Voyager to be effectively making a payment to the bank.

much, that's very helpful. Ms. Okike, just to make sure I understand. In this motion, you're seeking to allow customers to withdraw funds from the FBO accounts -- withdraw cash? You're seeking certain permission to do certain kinds of things, staking, dealing with open transactions, things like that; you're not actually asking to go back and start up a whole platform of buying and trading?

MS. OKIKE: No, Your Honor. No, Your Honor.

We're simply seeking to allow customers to withdraw cash

from the MCFBO accounts. And there's -- sorry, I should just

mention, Your Honor, there is a way to allow that to happen through the app without opening the other functions.

THE COURT: Okay. The Debtors' position, as I understand it, is that cryptocurrency is owned by the Debtors and customers only with general unsecured claims against the Debtors. I presume that some cryptocurrency sales occurred during the 90 days that preceded the commencement of the Debtors' cases. If the Debtors made cash payments that are currently sitting in the FBO accounts in satisfaction of those particular obligations, wouldn't those potentially be preferences?

MS. OKIKE: Yes. Your Honor, obviously, we do believe cryptocurrency on the platform is property of the estate. And to the extent that we sold crypto on behalf of customers in the 90 days prior to the petition date, one could potentially argue that those transfers of cash to the FBO accounts are preferences. However, you know, we believe there are pretty viable defenses to any preference claims, including that such transfers were made in the ordinary course of building and according to ordinary business terms.

I think there's arguments on both sides but, importantly, I don't think that issue needs to be decided today. And we've actually included a provision in the order that, you know, the Debtors' rights under Chapter 5 are not being impacted in any way by the relief we are seeking

today. And that was at the request of the Committee, which makes -- makes clear that to the extent -- at some point in this case, the Debtors were to determine that it made sense to pursue potential preference actions. We have... Sorry, I'm hearing an echo. We have maintained that right.

THE COURT: Okay. I didn't see anything in the motion or in the order that would bar a preference claim.

Obviously, if funds are sitting there currently in the FBO accounts and are released to customers, then that would be an additional obstacle to the enforcement of a preference claim, if one were to be pursued. I just wanted to know from a business perspective for both the Debtor and the Committee if they have considered that issue and still believe this makes sense.

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman from the Committee. I don't want to interrupt Ms. Okike's presentation, but agreed, it's an issue identified not only by the professionals, but actually by committee members.

And we haven't necessarily analyzed the issue, but we did want to preserve it and make sure that we weren't losing any potential rights that either the Debtor or the Committee would want to preserve for the future.

THE COURT: But risk that if such a claim is pursued, it would be harder to enforce than it otherwise would have, and that doesn't change the assessment of

whether these funds would be released or not.

MR. AZMAN: No, not from the Committee's perspective.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. The only other question I have for both the bank and the Debtor is if the intent here was to establish a trust to deal with the trust, why don't the bank account and the customer agreements say that more clearly? Why don't they simply say that customer funds are held in trust and do not belong to Voyager?

MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, this is Christine Okike on behalf of the Debtors. Your Honor, I agree. I think the agreements could be more clearly -- could have been drafted more clearly. That being said, you know, I do believe for the benefit is akin to establishing a trust relationship, right? You're holding funds for the benefit of another.

And so, although I agree with you that the agreements, you know, could be clearer in terms of stating that a trust relationship was established, we believe that when you evaluate the agreements together, you know, that relationship does exist notwithstanding that it wasn't explicitly stated in the documents.

And, Your Honor, I would like to clarify just one point which relates to something Ms. Wolf said earlier, which -- when, you know she said that she doesn't believe the customers are customers of the bank. I would just like

to point out, you know, Section Five of the customer agreement, which MCB signed off of, explicitly provides that each customer is a customer of the bank. That is what their agreement says, that is what MCB signed off on, and that is our view. And I wanted to make it explicitly clear that we do not agree that the customers are not customers of the bank.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MS. WOLF: Your Honor, I mean, I think we'll leave that for another day. Hopefully, no day -- a day that will not need to come, you know, that disagreement. But in response to Your Honor's question about why doesn't it say that -- again, I would turn it over in a moment to my colleague, who knows quite a bit more about these agreements than I do. But I would say that I think when people use the language as held in trust, I think that just -- I mean, you know, I think that, you know -- I frankly think they're using the language loosely. I don't believe that this -that we're a trustee and that we have -- I mean, that would have entailed -- that would make us a fiduciary. It would impose various obligations that it's not clear to me were intended to be -- are taken on in this circumstance. have whatever duties we have by virtue of holding people's funds. And I don't know if, you know, it would have been better drafting to call it a trust situation if it really

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was not intended to be.

And with that, Ms. Spaziani, do you have anything to add on the nature of the FBO account?

ROSEMARY SPAZIANI: The FBO usage is really -this is a very common type of account and established from
an FDIC perspective. So, referring to it as a for-benefitof account by definition under the FDIC rules, it is treated
a very specific way. So, the fact that there wasn't any
additional language associated with it wouldn't undermine
its treatment from a banking perspective.

THE COURT: And when you say it's treated in a very specific way, can you explain that better?

ROSEMARY SPAZIANI: That's the -- oh, sorry, Your Honor. Go ahead.

THE COURT: No, go ahead. Just explain that.

MS. SPAZIANI: So, an FBO accounting intent is essentially that if it's a third party, there's an account that's being opened. The third party is the one who is in direct relationship with the bank and the account is for the benefit of its customers. And for the benefit of the customers, as we noted, is the third party is responsible for the recordkeeping. But in establishing this account and ensuring that there are no other -- so, that the owner of the third party, so here Voyager, you know, specifically there's no comingled funds. These are all customer funds.

They have the recordkeeping. It's established in a way such that there's able to be the pass-through insurance so that all of the underlying customers are able to have the full insurance up to the FDIC limits. Instead of the account itself being subject to the 250, each of the underlying customers is able to have the insurance up to those limits.

And again, they're indirect. It's an indirect relationship. The bank does not have the information on all of the underlying customers. So, there is a reliance on Voyager for that information.

THE COURT: Okay. And again, putting aside Mr.

Levitt's issue, which I'm going to deal with separately, is

there anybody else who wishes to be heard on the motion to

the extent it seeks to allow customers to make withdrawals

from the FBO account?

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the Committee. I'll be brief.

Your Honor, the Committee strongly supports the requested relief. We want to see cash and crypto returned or distributed to customers as soon as possible. Of course, that needs to happen in a way that is consistent with the Bankruptcy Code. And we conducted an extensive analysis over the last two weeks and worked with the Debtors to understand in detail how the FBO accounts operated, both by design, including how the agreements were set up, and in

practice.

And the Committee agrees with the Debtors' conclusion that the cash in the FBO accounts is not property of the estate. We view the relief in the motion as low-hanging fruit in the sense that we can get \$270 million back to customers less than a month into this case. That is rare.

So again, we support the Debtors on this motion and would urge you to allow the Debtors to return that cash to customers.

In terms of the clarity of the agreement, I believe that the FDIC actually has the definition for custodial accounts and FBO accounts, and it specifically says that a deposit account was established for the benefit of a single owner or a comingled account for the benefit of multiple owners. And importantly, it says that the individual or entity with the account does not have an ownership interest in the account. And that would be Voyager here.

And so, as Wachtell -- counsel from Wachtell just told you, Your Honor, this is not a -- FBO accounts are not unique to the crypto industry. They've been around for a very long time and they operate in a number of different capacities. But it's a well-known structure that is used for one entity to hold cash on behalf of another in a trust

relationship. And we believe that that is not only the intention here by the parties, but that is actually what was done in practice. And so, for those reasons, we'd ask that Your Honor enter the order. Your Honor, I don't know if you're also asking for comments on the staking portion of the motion. I have just two brief comments on that. But if you're just looking for comments on the FBO portion, then I can hold. THE COURT: Just the FBO portion. We'll get to the staking issue in a moment. MR. AZMAN: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anybody else wish to be heard on the FBO portion? MR. ROUSE: Christopher Rouse, customer here. I want to second that statement by the Committee. I have cash being held in the FBO, and I'd like it back. I have a letter from MCB. When I filed my complaint, they reiterated that I do hold this cash in that account in their letter to And clearly, Voyager had some part in relaying that information to MCB, that my cash that was reflected in my Voyager account was in fact being held in the FBO account. That's all. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Anybody else? MS. LITTLE: (Indiscernible) --

MR. SINGER: Jeb Singer for Matthew Levitt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 111 1 assume you're not asking for my presentation just yet. 2 THE COURT: Not yet, Mr. Levitt, no. 3 MR. SINGER: Mr. Singer. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. All right. I hear no further 4 5 comments. Ordinarily --6 MS. LITTLE: Can you hear me? 7 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. 8 MS. LITTLE: This is Ginger Little. I do have a 9 question as a consumer. I do have cash and digital in the 10 accounts. When we were made to put the money in, we had to 11 change it from USD to USDC. Is that the same? Is that what 12 we're talking about? MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, this is Christine Okike on 13 14 behalf of the Debtors. I am happy to address that. 15 USDC is a type of cryptocurrency, a type of coin. 16 And so that is not being discussed or adjudicated on in the 17 context of the release of cash that's being requested by the Debtors. 18 19 MS. LITTLE: Then why were we forced to do that in 20 order to get any interest? 21 MS. OKIKE: I'm not sure what question you're 22 asking. But in terms of -- USDC is a type of 23 cryptocurrency. Pursuant to this motion, we are only 24 seeking to authorize the debtors to allow customers to 25 withdraw cash from the FBO account. So there's nothing

1 before the Court today with respect to the USDC --2 MS. LITTLE: But the only reason we were allowed to put -- that we got interest which would entice you to put 3 your money in there was that we had to change it from USD to 4 5 USDC. And we were never told that wasn't the same as cash. 6 We told them it had to be -- we were told that it had to be 7 listed that way in order to get interest for the monies that 8 we put in there as an investment. But you're saying that if 9 it says USDC, which we were forced to do, we're basically 10 SOL? 11 MS. OKIKE: No, that's not what we're saying. 12 the cryptocurrency that the Debtors are holding, you know, 13 we're not seeking today obviously to make distributions of 14 cryptocurrency. But as we've talked about, we are engaged 15 in an active marketing and sale process, and our intention 16 is to, you know, have coin obviously redistributed back to 17 customers as soon as possible. But we have to kind of run 18 that process in order to make sure that we're maximizing 19 value for all customers. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MS. LITTLE: Well, it just doesn't make sense 22 because we were forced to do it. That's the only --23 THE COURT: Well, the motion before me doesn't deal with that particular situation or that --24 25 MS. LITTLE: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to say

Pg 113 of 257 Page 113 1 is we were not told it was a crypto. We were told it had to 2 go from USD to USDC. And the way it was explained to me, that it was U.S. dollars, that they just had to put it in 3 that form in order to pay us interest. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. But the --6 MS. LITTLE: Okay. No, I understand 7 (indiscernible). 8 THE COURT: If what you actually have in your plan 9 -- if what you actually have in your account is not cash in 10 the FBO account at MCB but instead is something else, a 11 cryptocurrency, then it doesn't matter whether that is 12 because you wanted it that way, you were asked to do it that 13 way, you were told to do it that way, you were lied to, they 14 made you do it that way. It means you have a different kind 15 of a claim. It means that you are claiming rights as to 16 something that's different from the cash in the FBO account. 17 And the only motion before me right now is for a ruling as 18 to whether the cash that is actually in the FBO account is 19 property that belongs directly to the customers, that was 20 not property of the estate. Okay? 21 MS. LITTLE: Okay. Thank you, sir. 22 THE COURT: All right.

> MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, it might be appropriate for me to make one comment at this point. My name is Steven I am a lawyer at the Law Firm of Aird & Berlis in

23

24

Toronto. Our firm, along with a class action firm named Siskins, represents or is seeking to gain representation on behalf of the shareholders of the Canadian entity, Voyager Digital LTD.

At this point, we have not been able to, because of the position that we're in to engage U.S. counsel, currently we have no U.S. counsel on the phone on behalf of that stakeholder group. And in no way am I opposing the relief that is being sought last by the company that has been put before you. But we would ask, of course, that you give consideration to all of the submissions that have been made, which undoubtedly you will, and that you review all of the documentation which both the Wachtell firm as well as Kirkland has put before you concerning this issue of ownership when rendering your decision, because it will be something that will in a consequential manner have potentially a large impact upon the shareholders at the parent company level between the parent company level.

Thank you, Your Honor. And I'm happy to answer any questions you have. I know I have no practice standing before this Court, so I make my comment to you with knowledge of that fact.

THE COURT: All right. Anybody else? All right.

Here's my ruling. Ordinarily on an issue where both the bank and the debtor agreed as to whose funds these

are with no formal objection, I wouldn't have spent quite so much time looking at it.

My unease in this particular case is that I am in effect being asked to issue a declaratory judgment and I don't really have anybody on the opposite side. If anybody is going to be adversely affected by this, I suppose it would be other general unsecured creditors who might, if this were property of the estate, wind up getting a smaller recovery.

If there were more creditor claims, then I might have felt more comfortable that those people either were heard or they might even agree with it. But that's the reason why I asked so many questions, is that everybody is going to look at this, everybody is going to look at the ruling. And I feel like I'm ruling on a litigation where the other side, or whoever might be on the other side, isn't really there.

With that being said, it appears to me from all of the presentations that have been made, the uncontroverted presentations, and the statements in the bank agreement and in the Customer Agreement, more particularly Paragraph 5 of the Customer Agreement, which states explicitly that each customer would be regarded as a customer of the bank insofar as the cash is concerned, the FBO agreement, Paragraph 3.6, which says that at no time shall client every collect, hold,

or remit any customer program funds, and Paragraph 6.2, which says that the bank shall be the holder of the FBO account, plus the uncontroverted submissions that have been made to me as to what it means to have an FBO account in general, I find that on the basis of all that, that there is a sufficient basis for the Debtors' position that these are not Debtors' funds, not the estate's funds, and that customers should be permitted to make withdrawals. I assume that's going to be subject to whatever reconciliations and whatever dispute mechanisms ordinarily would apply in the event that there are disputes as to how much money a customer has in the account. But as to the account withdrawals being permitted, that seems appropriate.

I would just caution if anybody wants to use this as a ruling in another case, just take to heart my notation that nobody was really here to argue the other side. So, I tried to think of what arguments might be applicable.

Perhaps there are other arguments. It didn't occur to me.

But that's kind of an unusual situation here.

MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, it's Steven Graff again.

And I don't mean to interrupt you. Just to clarify one

point because I know I mentioned that we act for a number of

the shareholders of the parent company, VDL, the Canadian

entity, the public entity. But you should be aware that we

have been requesting both Canadian counsel on behalf of the

Debtors as well as from the information officer appointed under the (indiscernible) in Canada, as well as from Kirkland, information about the intercorporate indebtedness as between the parent Canadian company and the U.S. operating companies that operated the trading platform. And that information as to the quantum of that intercorporate indebtedness, which of course is incredibly important for the Canadian shareholder base, that information has not yet been provided to us.

So, we do not know whether the intercorporate indebtedness in favor of the Canadian parent is \$5 million or \$250 million. And obviously, the ruling could have a large impact potentially, this ruling that is, on the ultimate recovery and distribution to the Canadian entity absent any substantive -- that substantive consolidation order.

So, again, I just wanted you to appreciate the position from which we come. And I know you're in a difficult position.

THE COURT: Yeah. All right. I've made my ruling. I am not going to hold it up based on speculation that there might be another larger unsecured creditor and that such creditor might have arguments to make, that I haven't -- haven't made with me today. So, I have made my ruling just subject to that caution. Okay?

MR. GRAFF: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, as to Mr. Levitt's motion, Mr.

Levitt, if I understand correctly, your argument is that you think you should have cash and that you should have been given cash, but what you actually hold right now is Bitcoin or a different cryptocurrency. Is that correct?

MR. LEVITT: So, I'm going to let Jeb Singer talk for me, sir. But the whole basis of the reason that I've gone to great lengths to provide you factual evidence of my situation is I invested funds, and then as my right, sold Bitcoin and requested a wire withdrawal. And that was received by the bank prior to their 8:00 p.m. sweep and they did not send me my funds. They did not provide me any fiduciary information. They did not provide me any information whatsoever.

The only reason I am in the situation I'm in is because this company blatantly acted with absolutely no regard for someone who was asking for a wire immediately of their life savings. And if you were me, sir, and you've been lied to multiple times through all of their press releases, I may -- may I ask you, what would you have done if the only way you knew you could redeem any funds was to buy back Bitcoin and withdraw in kind? The only way to recover any of your life savings, what would you have done, sir, stayed in cash and hope that the same company would

treat you right? I didn't know that the FBO account ruling you were going to make to separate funds was absolutely clear. If I had, I would never have bought it back.

I've shown through all of my evidence that I repeatedly asked this company to provide me clarity. I repeatedly asked for them to send a wire. And there was nothing in their user agreement that states anything about wire transactions. They were not a bank. When they said they limited funds from \$25,000 to \$10,000 on the 23rd of June, that could not have referenced wires.

It's not in their agreement. If you really want to uphold the law, that this agreement -- which is absolutely farcical to me. But if you do, which is your right, then my rights is that there is no reference to wires. I made a wire request to a fiduciary. And if this is not approved, then it means that any fiduciary, public company, people holding customer funds, may at their own leisure hold ransom people's money.

So, my question to you, sir, is what would you have done based on my information, and is it not right that I should be treated the same? If you're going to honor a withdrawal of a cash customer from this date, why is it that I cannot have my withdrawal honored as I requested, a bank wire directly one week before, when these same people knew, they were going to freeze the platform. They've hired

1 Kirkland and Ellis; they knew what they were going to do. 2 They ignored my bank wire order. And that is not equitable, 3 sir. If you're going to make an equitable ruling, which is the law, then you must allow the fact that what I did was 5 6 under pure duress, and you must allow me to be treated as a 7 cash customer. Thank you. Please treat me fairly. 8 THE COURT: All right. Does your counsel have 9 anything? MR. SINGER: Your Honor, Jeb Singer for Mr. 10 11 Thank you for letting me be heard. Pleasure to be 12 in your courtroom. 13 Paragraph 5C of the User Agreement states plainly 14 that in an insolvency proceeding, you have to look at the 15 facts of each individual customer. Okay? 16 Mr. Levitt has very unique facts here. Okay? And 17 there's a couple of key words here. He's a cash customer requesting that his wire withdrawal be honored and that he 18 be treated the same, that they be held accountable --19 20 THE COURT: Let me interrupt you, Counsel. Mr. 21 Levitt's rights in bankruptcy are determined through the 22 Bankruptcy Code, not by his contract. 23 So, what is there to support your contention that Mr. Levitt actually owns cash that is currently held by the 24 25 Debtor? Aren't you instead asking me to sort of undo a

transaction that happened before on the grounds that Mr.

Levitt believes he was defrauded into doing it?

(Indiscernible) --

MR. SINGER: He absolutely was -- first of all, I think there's no -- go ahead, Your Honor.

THE COURT: From a bankruptcy perspective, why isn't that just a regular creditor claim? I don't mean to demean it and I don't mean to say that it's right or wrong. But as I tried to say before, the people on the phone who aren't lawyers probably don't understand. It is not my job or my power today to just say that you can have claims, you can have property just because I think it's fair. What's deemed to be fair in the Bankruptcy Code is that property is divided up equally among creditors. And if you think that you have something other than an ownership interest, a creditor claim, if you think a contract was breached, if you think you were defrauded, if you think something was stolen from you, those are creditor claims. They're not claims that I can separate out from other creditor claims in the bankruptcy context.

And the point of the FBO motion was that cash, the actual cash that is there, according to the agreement with the bank and the customers, doesn't belong to the Debtors.

So, you may think that you should have been in the position of being a cash holder on behalf of Mr. Levitt.

You may feel very angry. And I don't know the underlying facts. But maybe you're right to be angry. You may be well be. But all I can do in this context is at this stage of the case is make rulings as to whether the property that is actually held belongs to you or to the Debtor. And to the extent you want relief because of wrongdoing, that's a claim to be resolved in the bankruptcy case along with other claims. And let me ask why --

MR. LEVITT: Judge, may I speak? May I speak, please? And I'm sorry to interrupt you, sir. But my point is you're basing the Bankruptcy Code and the law based upon their user agreements. That's what you're ruling with. And in their exact user agreement, it states, as my counsel has said, that every -- that Voyager themselves in the agreement state everyone must be treated individually based on the facts and that the information regarding how a bankruptcy proceeding will actually adjudicate is extremely hazy and that there's no precedent to it. So that states that you do have the ability as a judge to look at this and treat people equitably based on their situation.

The other thing is that Ms. Okike --

THE COURT: Hang on, Mr. Levitt. Let me make clear. I am relying on the terms of the agreements insofar as they determine whose property the cash currently held is, who owns that property. As to how creditor claims are

treated in bankruptcy, the contracts do not govern that.

The Bankruptcy Code governs that. Okay?

MR. LEVITT: Okay, so here's my next point then.

Okay? Ms. Okike at Kirkland and Ellis when she tried to

describe me as the same as everybody else -- because I also

provided evidence that I had a partially filled order. And

then she stated that that would be -- that that could be

canceled, which is part of this same motion to cancel

partially filled orders.

Now, let me explain why there were three orders. There were three orders because this firm only allowed you to make orders at \$250,000 apiece. Okay? That's why I had to make three orders. And they were all done in the same minute, and Voyager wasn't an exchange, they were a broker. So, when they set those orders out, they all went out in the same 10 seconds. And they should all be ordered like seen as one order. So, if you won't allow that, then you -- then please allow for my partially-filled order of \$676,000 to be canceled so I can be treated equitably to all of the other accounts that thy wish to partially fill -- that they wish to cancel. Okay?

I have a solid argument both ways here, sir.

Like, you know, I'm -- like, you're asking me -- you're

saying to me, I should just be a creditor. Okay? But the

Debtors' attorneys just said they would cancel one of the

1 orders. It's essentially one order. And that's something 2 you could --- please, can you comment on? 3 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, this is Christine Okike of Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. I think I 4 5 tried to make this clear in my presentation, but there's 6 only \$120.52 that was not processed. And that is being held 7 in the Debtors' system as a held order. So, yes, in 8 connection with this motion, we would be seeking to 9 reconcile that amount. But the remaining amount, the \$249,879.48, is not 10 11 cash that we are holding. It's currently Bitcoin. 12 MR. SINGER: Your Honor, Jeb Singer for Mr. 13 Levitt. I don't think that's what she said, and I don't 14 think that's what the motion asked for. The motion asked to 15 cancel partially-filled orders. There's no question that 16 his --17 THE COURT: No, no. I'm going to interrupt you. MR. SINGER: Yes, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: What I understood is the motion seeks 20 permission to cancel the parts of orders that are still 21 unfilled, not to cancel prior orders to the extent they were 22 already filled, but to cancel the parts that currently 23 remain unfilled. That's my understanding. Am I wrong about 24 that, Ms. Okike? 25 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct.

MR. LEVITT: The words are cancel partially filled orders. Mine was a partially filled order. That means you cancel the order. It's pretty black and white English. Partially filled order canceled. Okay? Clear in the motion. THE COURT: No. Okay. The motion seeks to cancel the portions of orders that remain unfilled. That's what the motion seeks to do. Okay? MR. LEVITT: That's not the way I read it, and that's not the way it's written. It says cancel partially filled orders. And I state that this is one order all made within the same 10 seconds, only because the company limited orders to \$250,000. And I've shown evidence that it was all submitted in that same minute. THE COURT: Ms. Okike, are you seeking to cancel and reverse any orders to the extent they were already partially filled or just to cancel the unfilled portions of them? MS. OKIKE: Just to cancel the unfilled portions, Your Honor. MR. SINGER: Your Honor, that's not what the --Jeb Singer for the -- for Mr. Levitt. That's not what the motion says. It says reconciling and fulfilling filed orders to buy or sell, partially filled orders. Reconciling partially filled orders.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. OKIKE: No. It says --

MR. SINGER: All -- and otherwise canceling all open orders that were not filled or partially filled prior to the freeze date. That's exactly -- he definitely falls within that category.

And by the way, Your Honor, I just want to be clear, that's only an alternative basis for granting the relief that we request in our limited objection and our cross-motion. Okay?

The real point here, I think when you look at the, you know, the equitable argument under the best interest provisions of the FTC and under Section 105 of the

Bankruptcy Code, they come down to the fact that his claim arose by their improper, inexcusable, inequitable, unfair refusal to honor a COI request, an uncontroverted -- wire request, rather, Your Honor, on June 23rd. Okay? The

Debtors have stated that all wire requests were frozen prior to that time. They put in zero evidence. They say, oh, it's in some press release or somebody reported it. They did not put any sort of evidence into the record. And at the very least, we should be -- an evidentiary hearing as to whether they had a right not to fulfill a wire request.

Your Citibank example, Your Honor, is right on point. Okay? Citibank cannot go and just refuse to fulfill a wire request. If I request my money by wire, they have to

honor it just as the Debtor had to. It's uncontroverted here that the Debtor told Mr. Levitt through the chat that I put in as an exhibit to Mr. Levitt's affidavit that they would honor his wire request. They didn't do it. Okay? At that point, his claim arose.

The fact that he went out there and mitigated damages I think is smart. Okay? Because he needed to get his money out and get his money as fast as possible because of the concerns about liquidity. But his claim in bankruptcy arose at the moment he put in a wire request.

Okay? For him to be treated differently than any other cash customer is actually --

THE COURT: All right, stop.

MR. SINGER: He actually did more --

THE COURT: Just stop. Stop, stop. I've heard enough.

And let me just say again, I understand, I completely sympathize. I understand why tempers are hot. But you cannot turn the Debtors' motion into what you want it to be rather than what it is or at least what the Debtor says is what they're looking for right now. Okay? And if you misunderstood or if the Debtor was inartful in describing what they were looking for, nobody is being treated differently than they are proposing to treat you. They are not proposing to undo the portions of any

transactions that previously were filled. They're seeking to cancel orders that presently remain unfilled to the extent they presently remain unfilled. So, if it was 99 percent billed before the bankruptcy, they're only seeking to cancel the one percent. And everybody will be treated the same in that regard.

I understand your anger and I understand your feeling that you were wronged. But adding words like wronged, totally, and making it more extreme doesn't change the fact -- does not change the fact -- that what you have described is a creditor claim. And it doesn't -- you don't have priority just because you feel you were more wronged than somebody else.

You -- for whatever reason, what you actually have is a claim for Bitcoin. And if you don't actually have cash in the FBO account, then you don't actually have ownership of something that is outside of the estate. You have a claim. And I can't just because you are more upset than others -- you may not be more upset than others. But I can't just because you are upset or because you feel wronged or, quite frankly, even on the theory that you were strongly wronged, I can't treat you as owning something that is different from what you actually own. I am not allowed to do that. You reference --

MR. LEVITT: Sir, you -- are you allowed to do

this? On Docket 73 Section 13.3, reconciling and fulfilling partially filled orders to buy or sell cryptocurrency and otherwise canceling all open orders that were not filled or partially filled prior to the freeze date, otherwise canceling all open orders that were partially filled. So that is clear English that applies to my case.

are not bound by your interpretation of the language they used in their motion. I've tried to be patient. I've tried to explain that. But the fact that you think that language means something doesn't mean that that's what they are seeking or that what I have -- or what I have a power to order or what the motion is really about. They've said very clearly, and they are the movant, that that's not what they are seeking to do. I can't force them to do it. You can't force them to do it. They're not seeking to do it. I don't know how to be any clearer about that. Okay?

MR. SINGER: Your Honor, I think that's clearly what they wrote, they want to do. Now, they're changing their tune.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't matter. It's not what they're seeking today to do, and it's not what I therefore would give them permission to do.

I have to tell you; I don't think that was ever what they were seeking permission to do. Maybe that's what

you interpreted, but I didn't interpret it that way.

MR. SINGER: Your Honor, I think denying Mr.

Levitt his cash claim based on their refusal, without any justification -- and I'm very -- you know, I'm sorry if I get emotional. I am very passionate about my argument. I am very passionate about helping my client. Okay? But allowing them to get away with not fulfilling a wire order is a very dangerous precedent. It's basically just withholding without any policy that applies to everybody that says no wire orders are allowed. It's allowing them to withhold my customer's -- my client's assets.

And for you to say, no, he owns cryptocurrency now, not cash because he bought cryptocurrency afterwards, that's sort of ignoring how intelligent he was to try to mitigate damages.

I do think you have the power to undo that transaction. I am asking you to undo that transaction based on your equitable powers under Section 105. And I think not doing so would -- and allowing somebody to not honor a wire request is really a dangerous precedent, and I once again ask that you treat him as a cash customer.

THE COURT: Let me make two points. Number one, I don't have that power as an equitable matter. If you look at the caselaw under Section 105, it's very clear. I don't have the power under 105 to change what the Bankruptcy Code

1 says. I have the power only to implement the terms of the 2 Bankruptcy Code. 3 Also, to the extent that you even think you're 4 entitled to this relief, it is disputed by the Debtor. 5 Right? I haven't had a factual hearing. I haven't had a proper complaint. I haven't had discovery. I haven't had 6 7 all the things that I would have to have in order to rule on 8 whatever the factual issues are that would underly such a 9 dispute. 10 So even if what you were describing was something 11 that there was a legal basis for, I couldn't give it to you 12 today. 13 MR. SINGER: Hence why I put in my cross-motion, 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And we'll consider that --16 MR. SINGER: And I would like an evidentiary --17 THE COURT: All right. But we won't have an evidentiary hearing at that first hearing. What we'll have 18 19 is --20 MR. SINGER: No, I understand that. 21 THE COURT: Right. But please, you have to 22 understand I'm not trying to be unsympathetic. I am not 23 trying to change rules. I am not trying to endorse what you 24 think was wrongful behavior. You have to understand the 25 rules in bankruptcy about the claims process and equal

sharing among creditors are intended for the benefit of the creditors. You keep talking about this as if it's just you and the company. But what happens to the claims against this company or the assets of this company has to potentially affect everybody. And I have to make sure that if the property belongs to the company, that it winds up and its value winds up being distributed ratably and equally among all creditors, not that it be given to anybody in particular because they feel like they were particularly wronged unless there is something in the Bankruptcy Code that gives them a priority.

Now, it may be the fact that there is cash that is not property of the estate and therefore is not subject to those rules. But your anger at the company -- and when you think that by not granting your claim I am somehow siding with the company as opposed to the interest of all creditors and the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code that all creditor claims be stopped and be dealt with ratably, that's what I am doing. You have to understand that. Okay? If you don't understand that, please talk to a bankruptcy lawyer and maybe you'll get an understanding. All right?

All right. So, to the extent that there was a cross-motion presently and before me to treat Mr. Levitt as a cash creditor, I will deny that without prejudice. I understand that there's further proceedings that may come up

at the next hearing. All right?

MS. OKIKE: Thank you, Your Honor. May I proceed with the rest of the relief we are requesting today?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, the Debtors are also seeking authority through the motion to continue certain ordinary course pre-petition business practices, including liquidating cryptocurrency assets attributable to customer accounts that hold a negative U.S. dollar balance and sweeping such cash which is held on third-party exchanges into the Debtors' operating accounts.

Your Honor, a customer can have a negative account balance when the customer executes a transaction on the Debtors' platform. The Debtors purchase the cryptocurrency on behalf of the customer. The customer then seeks to reverse the ACH transfer of cash that was made into the MCFBO account to fund the transaction, leaving the Debtors with the cryptocurrency and a shortfall in the MCFBO account which the Debtors are responsible for under the MCB agreements.

Each day that an account with a negative balance is not liquidated, it subjects the Debtors to the risk of price depreciation in the cryptocurrency. Given the current market volatility, having the authority but not the obligation to liquidate customer accounts with a negative

Page 134 1 balance will serve to preserve estate assets for the benefit 2 of the debtor's customers. 3 Your Honor, if the Debtors are authorized to liquidate cryptocurrency from customer accounts with a 4 5 negative cash balance, they anticipate generating 6 approximately \$3.2 million in cash. 7 С 8 Your Honor, I would pause there to see whether 9 there's any questions with respect to this part of the 10 motion. 11 THE COURT: Do you need my authority to do these 12 two things? 13 MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, I believe this is ordinary 14 course. But out of an abundance of caution, we wanted to 15 apprise parties as to what we intend to do. 16 THE COURT: And just to be clear, you are not 17 asking me to authorize you to do something that you would 18 otherwise have a legal right to do, you're just asking me to 19 essentially say that to the extent it's not in the ordinary 20 course of business, it's okay. But you still have to have 21 the legal right to do these things, right? 22 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Are there any objections? All right. I will grant those two portions of the relief. 24 25 MS. OKIKE: Thank you, Your Honor. The Debtors

are also seeking authority to continue to engage in various ordinary course reconciliation practices related to customer accounts, including reconciling cryptocurrency deposits and withdrawals that currently hold an incomplete status, reconciling withdrawals that were submitted prior to the freeze date, reconciling and fulfilling partially-filled orders to buy or sell cryptocurrency, and otherwise canceling all open orders that were not filled or partially filled prior to the freeze date. And just to put -- just to be very clear here, we are only seeking to cancel orders --MALE 1: Thank you. We're all (indiscernible). Thank you. THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Okike. MS. OKIKE: Sorry about that. I'm not sure what that was. We are just seeking to cancel orders that were not completely filled prior to the freeze date. So just the amount that was not -- the amount of cash that is not attributable or that we were not able to complete an order prior to the petition date. We're not seeking to kind of cancel orders where we're holding Bitcoin but there's a part of cash that was not able to purchase coin prior to the petition date. We are also seeking to -- we are also seeking to close accounts that no longer have a balance and to disable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

user access to the Debtors' platform, to receive customerwithdrawn or deposited cryptocurrency that was sent to incorrect blockchains, and to close unfunded accounts that the Debtors deem fraudulent. Your Honor, these reconciliatory practices which the Debtors engage in in the ordinary course of business are primarily accounting-related in nature and are commonplace in technology-focused platforms similar to the Debtors'. Your Honor, it's important for the Debtors to correctly reconcile customer balances to ensure that they accurately reflect all trading and transfer activity that took place prior to the petition date so that the Debtors' books and records accurately reflect pre-petition claims and that when there is a distribution to customers as part of a plan, it's based off of correct balances. THE COURT: Let me ask you because it's not clear to me. Are you proposing to (indiscernible) in the purchase or sale (indiscernible). (Indiscernible) just let me --MAN 2: MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, I'm having trouble hearing I think somebody is not on mute. you. (Indiscernible). Can you hear me? Hello? MAN 3: Hello? MAN 4: Yes.

(Indiscernible) --

MAN 3:

Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 137 1 MAN 4: I can hear you. In fact, I could hear 2 Christine. 3 MAN 3: Hey. They approved -- they just approved the USB retrieval. 4 5 THE COURT: Whoever is talking on the phone, 6 please mute yourself. You're interfering with the hearing. 7 MAN 3: (Indiscernible). He has just approved 8 that 10 minutes ago. 9 MAN 4: Going to be a big rush today. Is it 10 turned on today, or at some point or --11 THE COURT: Lorraine, can you turn off whoever 12 that is? 13 MAN 4: Technically, is it turned on today, or does that come later? 14 15 MAN 3: No, I don't know when that's going to 16 happen. 17 CLERK: Your Honor, it's not showing on the 18 dashboard. I can't see. It's nobody in the live lines. 19 So, I'm not sure where it's coming from. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It looks like it's G. 20 21 Pedraza. 22 CLERK: Right. But he's not on a live line, so we 23 shouldn't hear him at all. All right. I'm going to see if 24 I can hang him up. 25 THE COURT: I just did. I just did. All right.

Please, Ms. Okike, go ahead.

MS. OKIKE: I believe Your Honor was about to ask me a question.

THE COURT: Yeah. Are you proposing to fulfill any currently unfilled purchase or sale orders?

MS. OKIKE: Which -- so, Your Honor, it probably makes sense for me to explain kind of when deposits and withdrawals hold an incomplete status. So, this is basically a deposit or a withdrawal that kind of got stuck before the platform was shut down. With respect to crypto deposits, we have to determine whether we actually received the deposit from a customer's wallet address. If we did received it, we would set the status to clear and we would sweep the funds into our Bedrock account. But if we did not receive it, we would cancel the deposit. And so, we would reflect that in the customer's account that we never received it.

With respect to crypto withdrawals, we have to determine whether the assets were sent to the blockchain.

So, if they were sent, then the Debtors set the status and complete the accounting where necessary. But this has no kind of impact on the customer portfolio value. And if it hasn't been sent, then we would basically cancel the withdrawal and credit the customer's asset balance on the platform.

So, in essence what you're doing is just finishing your recordkeeping as to what happened before the bankruptcy and what didn't happen, what didn't get finished basically. MS. OKIKE: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: And you're not proposing today to buy or sell something based on an order that was given to you many, many weeks ago. Right? MS. OKIKE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does anyone have any objection to this portion of the motion? I take it what you also want to do here is to replenish the FBO account to the extent that the ACH transfers have left a deficit. Is that right? MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. So, when we look at customer cash and what is supposed to be in the FBO account, there is a deficit. I think it's approximately \$2.8 million right now. That being said, there will be a process for opening the app so that customers can withdraw cash. I don't know that we anticipate that all customers will withdraw cash. But in the event that that does happen, we are seeking through this motion to replenish that in the ordinary course pursuant to our agreements with MCB. THE COURT: While retaining your rights against

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 140 1 customers, right? 2 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And how big an issue is this as a practical matter? Are there time limits within which ACH 4 5 transfers must be questioned or challenged? 6 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. There's -- it's 7 about a 60- to 90-day period from when a customer receives 8 their personal bank statement that they can challenge a 9 transaction. But as we kind of noted, we've been 10 aggressively trying to prevent this practice. We've 11 contacted the 30 largest banks that most customers transact 12 with, and it's obviously -- it's a felony to engage in a fraudulent ACH transfer. And so, we have contacted 13 14 customers that we believe have done so as well as banks and 15 are going through the process of kind of evaluating those 16 claims and determining our rights to pursue. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And at the moment what's the size of the deficit? 18 19 MS. OKIKE: I believe it's \$2.8 million. 20 THE COURT: Let me ask the Committee counsel. 21 are in favor of this portion of the motion? 22 MR. AZMAN: We are, Your Honor. And the other point we would note is that the agreements between MCB --23 24 sorry, it's Darren Azman again just for the record. 25 agreements between MCB and the Debtors actually allow MCB to

take that deficit out of an operating account. It's the reserve account from the Debtors. And so, from our standpoint, it's happening one way or another. I know that MCB's counsel said earlier they don't think they had claims. That's fine. But the agreements certainly appear to allow them to fill that hole whether we like it or not. And so, for that reason alone, we support it. But also, for the reasons that Ms. Okike has said.

THE COURT: Very good. Unless anybody else wants to be heard, I will approve that motion.

MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, again, Steven Graff on behalf of stakeholders of the Canadian VDL entity. I just raise, Your Honor, the concern that you too had expressed earlier as to whether or not the payment by VDL to the FBO account at the bank could constitute a preference on the basis that the UCC filing was not affected, and that otherwise the bank would merely be an unsecured creditor or the Voyager entity. So, again, I raise the same concern you had raised early. As long as that right I guess to recover those funds on the basis of potentially being a preference are reserved, then I can understand the payment. Otherwise, it strikes me as potentially preferential to all the other unsecured creditors. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the Committee have a response?

MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, our view is if there is a

deficit, which at this point we don't know. Right? Because we don't know how much customers are going to withdraw. But our view is that is a post-petition claim that is going to arise when a deficit occurs, which has not happened to date because customers are not allowed to withdraw. And under the terms of our agreements with MCB, we have the obligation to satisfy that deficit. We don't view it as a pre-petition claim. We view it as continuing to perform under the agreement in the ordinary course. And obviously these agreements are kind of central to the platform. And so, it's essential that we perform so that we can keep them in place.

THE COURT: Well, I am not convinced by that. You have a pre-petition contract, so that makes it a pre-petition claim. But there may be offset rights. And to the extent there are offset rights, those may be secured claims. What's your position on that?

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the Committee. One, I agree with Ms. Okike that these are postpetition claims. The chargebacks occurred post-petition as far as we understand. And although it's based on a -- just like if you had a purchase agreement that's pre-petition, if the actual purchase occurs post-petition, it's post-petition. Or if the delivery or products occurs post-petition, it's post-petition, it's post-petition.

Here, I believe the chargebacks occurred postpetition, which gives rise to the obligation of Voyager to
fill that hole. And then MCB has the setoff rights under
contract to take the money out of the reserve account to do
it themselves. And I believe they would also have the
ability to do that under the doctrine of recoupment such
that even if it was a pre-petition claim being sought to set
off against a post-petition claim, obviously recoupment does
not have that same limitation.

MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. Just to confirm, these are all post-petition ACH withdrawals.

THE COURT: The ACH withdrawal may have been postpetition, but that isn't enough to make it a post-petition
claim where essentially what you're enforcing is a prepetition indemnity or a pre-petition contractual
reimbursement obligation any more than a post-petition
lawsuit is a post-petition claim under a pre-petition
indemnity contract. But to the extent there are offset or
recoupment rights here, that would make the difference to
me. Or to the extent that the dollar amount is small enough
that it doesn't make much of a difference, then it
(indiscernible) make a difference to me. But I'm not going
to approve it just on the idea that it's a post-petition
claim, because I think that's wrong.

MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, I mean, MC Bank's counsel

should chime in. But they do have a right to setoff under the terms of the agreement. We're obviously responsible then, we're holding a reserve in connection with not satisfying our obligations, which includes ACH transfers.

And if they have a valid setoff claim, they would be the holder of secured claim, and thus allowing us to satisfy the ACH chargebacks in the ordinary course would not harm the rights of unsecured creditors or shareholders.

MS. WOLF: Your Honor, Amy Wolf from Wachtell Lipton. Ms. Okike is certainly correct. There's \$24 million reserve account against which selloff rights could be -- could be. But clearly, we have -- are perfected, we hold the account. We clearly could set off against it if we had a claim. I continue to believe that actually we don't have a claim right now, that the bank is not -- this is not a transfer to the bank. This is a transfer into an account that is holding customer funds and replenishing those customer funds. I don't believe the bank is -- if there's a preference -- I think the concern Your Honor raised earlier about a preference is customers who are receiving a payment on account of the past 90 days of transactions or whatever is, you know, is there potentially a preference claim against the customers. And wouldn't it be easier if the money stayed in the bank than being sent out to the customers who would have to be individually chased.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

thought that was Your Honor's question. But I don't think there's a question about the bank being preferred, because I don't think the bank is asserting a claim.

But we do -- at the end of the day if we had to, if we ended up being compelled somehow to go negative in the account, then yes, we certainly have the ability to setoff against the reserve account. That's why it's there. And Voyager has been very careful about recognizing that right. I just don't think we ever get there in the analysis is my only point.

MR. GRAFF: And, Your Honor, it's Steven Graff from Aird & Berlis again. I respect the comment made, but I don't believe that I've seen -- certainly I have not had the benefit of again retaining U.S. counsel to address these issues. And this is a matter that I don't know is before Your Honor insofar as whether there is security in the name of MC Bank that is held as against this particular reserve account. Certainly, that reserve account is not held in trust for the benefit of MC Bank.

THE COURT: I think the argument is that under the Bankruptcy Code, a common law offset right is treated as a security claim without regard to UCC perfection or anything like that. And that the contracts here make very clear that there is rights to reimbursement in this account for any deficiency from the reserve account. Have I stated that

Page 146 1 correctly, Ms. Wolf and Ms. Okike? 2 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. 3 MS. WOLF: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm having 4 trouble hearing you. Apologize. 5 THE COURT: All right. I will grant this part of 6 the motion for the reasons --7 MS. WOLF: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 MS. OKIKE: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 Your Honor, the last part of the motion, the 10 Debtors are seeking authority to continue staking in the 11 ordinary course of business subject to the Committee's right to notice and an opportunity to object to the Debtors' 12 13 proposed staking or unstaking of any cryptocurrency. 14 Your Honor, staking involves locking up a portion 15 of your cryptocurrency as a way of contributing to a 16 blockchain network. Staking benefits networks that use a 17 proof of stake model because the stake coins can be used to 18 verify transactions and forge new blocks in the blockchain. In proof of stake, only holders of the native 19 tokens who stake their own coin in the network become a 20 21 valid --22 I'm sorry, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'm CLERK: 23 sorry. We have to interrupt because I was trying to see if 24 we could continue until the end of this motion. However, 25 the tape is going to run out at 2:25. So we need to stop

Page 147 1 now. 2 THE COURT: And what do we do? Can we 3 (indiscernible)? 4 CLERK: Yeah. You could take a -- I guess to give 5 the ECRO an opportunity to change the tape and to resume. I 6 was trying to wait until the end of this motion, but 7 apparently, it's not -- it's going to run out sooner. 8 THE COURT: All right. Let's take a five-minute 9 break. Does that work? 10 CLERK: I think she needs more time than that, 11 Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: How much time? 13 CLERK: I don't know, but I would say at least 15 14 minutes. 15 THE COURT: Is the ECRO on the phone? 16 CLERK: Unless you want to stop here and then stop 17 again to give her a break. 18 CLERK: Judge, this is Jenna. I am in communication with the ECRO. She said five minutes will be 19 20 fine. 21 THE COURT: All right. We'll take a five-minute 22 Sorry for the interruption. break. 23 (Recess) THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to resume 24 25 then?

MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All these proceedings are recorded by tape, and we've been on for long enough that apparently we're nearing the end of the tape, so that's why we had to break.

So please proceed as to the portion of your motion that (sound glitch) staking operations.

MS. OKIKE: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. So, Your Honor, in a proof of stake model, only the holders of the native token who stake their claim in the network become a validator to make the process more reliable.

And staking is important to the crypto ecosystem because it is critical for a blockchain project to have enough cryptocurrency on hand to facilitate transactions and keep the blockchain running.

One of the ways that a blockchain service provider can ensure that it has enough cryptocurrency on hand to execute transactions is by setting up a staking protocol.

The blockchain service provider will ask for other institutions to stake it with cryptocurrency or transfer cryptocurrency to the platform to process transactions.

While some staking protocols require that the staker leave the cryptocurrency on the blockchain for a fixed period of time, others allow the cryptocurrency to be taken off at any time or after a certain notice period.

Importantly, Your Honor, staking cryptocurrency is not the same as lending cryptocurrency. And to be clear, the Debtors are not seeking and do not intend to lend any cryptocurrency pursuant to this motion. Staked coins are not used for liquidity purpose by the network. Rather, staking simply helps the blockchain work. And in exchange for staking, stakers can earn rewards typically in the form of additional coins or tokens.

And in that way, staking is similar to depositing money in a bank in that an investor locks up their assets for a period of time and, in exchange, earns interest. And in turn, staking provides a means for the Debtors to generate passive income on their cryptocurrency assets while trading on the Debtors' platform is frozen.

Historically, the Debtors stake cryptocurrency on their platform in the ordinary course of business and, importantly, the customer agreement between Voyager and each customer explicitly allows the Debtors to stake cryptocurrency; that's Section 5(d) of the customer agreement.

Your Honor, as described in the Ehrlich declaration, from July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022, the Debtors staked up to 16 coins at any given time and earned an average yield of approximately 7 percent, generating approximately 51 million in staking rewards. This gives you

a sense of the significant value that can be generated through staking.

The Debtors have eight coins that are currently staked: four of those coins have no lockup period, one coin has a three-day lockup period, one coin has a 28-day lockup period, and one coin has a 30-day lockup period, and one coin's lockup period is unknown because it is based on a future event.

Your Honor, the Debtors' anticipate staking approximately 19 coins on a go forward basis for a potential average yield of approximately 7 percent. Seven of the proposed staking coins do not have a lockup period and can be withdrawn at any time.

For the remaining 12 coins, the lockup period -- I think someone's not on mute.

Your Honor, for the remaining 12 coins, the lockup period is less than 30 days, and lockup periods are a number of days from when the unlock command is performed.

Your Honor, the Debtors view staking as a low risk means to continue to generate income for the benefit of their estates and to offset some of the administrative costs while the platform is frozen.

As reflected in the revised proposed order, the Debtors have agreed to provide the committee with seven business days' written notice prior to staking or unstaking

any cryptocurrency, including information regarding the staking protocol, the smart contract address, anticipated yield, the cryptocurrency to be staked, staking mechanics, the lockup period, transaction fees, the actions required to unstake, and the involvement of any third party and their respective fees.

If the committee objects to the proposed staking or unstaking within seven business days of receiving the staking notice, the Debtors will not stake or unstake until such objection is adjudicated by Your Honor.

Your Honor, we filed the revised -- maybe I'll pause there before I go to the order and see whether Your Honor has any questions about the staking portion of the motion.

THE COURT: What are the risks of continuing the staking? What can happen that might put the holdings at risk?

MS. OKIKE: Yeah, it's a good question, Your
Honor. So I think there's a couple different potential
risks. One is that obviously cryptocurrency is a volatile
investment and so, you know, price swings are common. And
as I noted, some staking protocols require you to lock up
your funds for a period of time, in which case, you're not
able to unstake during that period. I think this is
mitigated to a large extent given the staking -- the lockup

periods with respect to the coins that we are anticipating to stake, as well as kind of working closely with the committee to determine whether it makes sense in the best interest of the estate to stake certain coins on a go forward basis.

I think the other -- Your Honor, there's something that's known as slashing, and slashing is basically you can get penalized on proof of stake networks to the extent that you're engaging in kind of fraudulent actions; obviously, the Debtors would not be doing that. But to the extent that a network accuses you of kind of trying to defraud the network, there are penalties associated with that, including a reduction in rewards or actual seizure of the tokens in extreme circumstances.

THE COURT: If I understand staking, and it's quite possible I don't, the staked cryptocurrency is used as a way of validating other transactions. Can there also be slashing if it turns out that some of those other transactions were fraudulent without any participation by you in the fraud?

MS. OKIKE: No, Your Honor. So my understanding is slashing primarily happens, you know, due to two reasons: one is if you have significant downtime. So obviously the purpose of staking is for you to validate transactions on the network and so, if you're not validating transactions,

you can be penalized for that. So that's basically the downtime is the inactivity of a validator to sign transactions.

The other kind of occurrence for slashing is when you kind of double sign transactions, so that's when you try to validate two transaction blocks at the same time, which can obviously cause confusion in the network.

I can just say that my understanding from the Debtors is that, you know, we've never been subject to slashing with respect to our staking and, obviously, we would continue to stake as we have done historically subject to committee review and approval.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the committee on this one.

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman. We initially had a lot of heartache about this motion when we reviewed it after we were appointed. I'm going to turn things over to my colleague, Joe Evans, who's going to talk about why we had that heartache and also answer your question about what the risks are in staking.

But what you'll hear is ultimately, we did not have sufficient time between when we were appointed and today to get comfortable with both what the Debtors currently have staked, right, because they could either leave it staked or unstake it and, more importantly, with

what the Debtors may want to stake in the future.

And so, ultimately, we're signed off on the proposed order because it does give the committee consent rights effectively over any decisions regarding staking, including what's currently staked.

But let me turn it over to my colleague, Joe

Evans, to help the Court understand a little bit better what
the risks are here.

MR. EVANS: Your Honor, this is Joe Evans from McDermott on behalf of the committee.

One of the risks that we identified, along with those that counsel identified, are that these staking protocols, you must stake in the native token for that protocol. So what that means is that you're taking Bitcoin and sometimes Ether and cryptocurrencies, commonly are bluechip cryptocurrencies, and turning them into more speculative cryptocurrencies and then staking those.

So while there is a risk of slashing, there is a risk of the protocol failing, there is also a risk that your tokens, your tokens that you have staked, are going to be reduced in value as compared to the price of Bitcoin or Ether or other tokens that customers had on the platform. So that's one primary risk that we saw.

The other is the Debtors' definition of staking, which was set forth in their Securities filings is a little

different than the industry perceives it to be. It is not just taking tokens and putting them directly pledged to a decentralized finance protocol to validate transactions and things of that nature. They've also described their staking programs as, "delegating crypto assets to staking platform providers."

And so, what that means is that there is a middleman in between the company and the actual stake. So we are reviewing all of these, both contracts with the middlemen, the particular tokens at issue, and the viability of the thinking protocol itself.

In our experience that we've been doing for many years, not all staking programs are the same, not all the risks are the same, and while they do have these slashing risks, these native risks, there's also a risk that the staking program doesn't work and that's happened in subject litigation across the country in various different aspects.

And so, because we didn't have sufficient amount of time to review each staked position and as to whether there should be (sound glitch) unwinding of those positions and staking going forward, we negotiated this provision in this order so that the committee would have the properly evaluated staking position and middlemen at issue, in particular, and whether we want exposure to those particular tokens or not, rather than more blue-chip cryptocurrencies

like Bitcoin. And so, (sound glitch) those are the risks that we've (sound glitch).

THE COURT: Well, does not make more sense, instead of just giving you a kind of carte blanche yes or no authority, to wait until we have more specificity as to exactly how this staking operation will work?

MR. AZMAN: I'll handle that, Joe. So I think the concern -- we thought about that, but we didn't want the Debtor to have to come back to the Court because we do see the merit. I mean, you just heard from Ms. Okike how much money the Debtors have made off of staking, and we want the Debtors to be able to put, you know, their assets to work while they're sitting in the estate. And the concern was if we, you know, require the Debtors to come to the Court every time they wanted to engage in some type of new staking, that would like money.

And, you know, Mr. Evans can maybe, or Ms. Okike can speak more to the timing elements here. For example, you know, you might have only a short period of time to stake. I don't know if that's true or not. But in general, we wanted to get the order entered, give the Debtors the flexibility to do that under our guidance and oversight.

MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, this is Christine Okike on behalf of the Debtors. Your Honor, we'll obviously work very, very closely with the committee to provide additional

information on both our prepetition staked coins, as well as, you know, post-petition anticipated staked coins.

And, you know, under the proposed order, which I know we haven't gotten to, but we're not allowed to do this, right, unless the committee signs off. But I agree with Mr. Azman, like, that we want the authority now because this does generate a significant amount of revenue for the company over time. As I mentioned, within the last year, it generated \$51 million, and we view this as a very low risk way for the Debtors to generate, you know, passive income while the platform is frozen, and we think this is the most low risk way for us to do that.

And in the absence of having, you know, some means to kind of generate income, we are continuing obviously to incur administrative costs and so, that was the reason for seeking the relief. We do believe it's low risk, but we're willing to work closely with the committee to get them on board. And if, you know, the committee objects to what we propose to do, we'll be back in front of Your Honor seeking approval.

THE COURT: And are you proposing any limits as to how much of your holdings you can stake or anything like that?

MS. OKIKE: Your Honor, we're not at the time. But I can say historically, I can check on what we have

historically done in terms of the percentage that's current I don't have the chart in front of me, but we are not proposing to of stake all the coins. It's a typical percentage that we do in the normal ordinary course of business. THE COURT: All right. Any other parties want to be heard as to this part of the motion? MS. DAGNOLI: Sir, it's Lisa Dagnoli. I just am curious if they benefit -- if we all benefit from the staking, how does this help the customer in this situation and who gets the money that's earned from the staking? MS. OKIKE: So the staking rewards are paid in the native currency and those coins would become property of the estate, which we would distribute, you know, to customers in connection with confirmation of a plan. MS. DAGNOLI: So is this in the plan at this time? MS. OKIKE: The plan on file provides, you know, that a percentage of coin is going back to customers. Staking is not addressed in the plan, but it provides a way for us to accumulate additional coins, which would be available for distribution to customers under the plan. That being said, as we're kind of going to get to, we have an active sale process ongoing. And so, the plan is not

definitive in terms of the direction that the Debtors are

moving, but this would be another source of recovery for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 159 1 customers in the case. 2 THE COURT: If I can explain. 3 MS. DAGNOLI: Okay. THE COURT: When we talk about a plan, Bankruptcy 4 5 Code calls for the filing of a proposed plan. It gets voted 6 on by creditors. If it's approved by the Court, it becomes 7 the terms under which there is a reorganization. 8 And usually, there are requirements as to how the 9 company's assets are distributed, and value is distributed. Some kind of claims that priority under the Bankruptcy Code 10 11 for the costs of administering the estate, for example. 12 in general, the idea is that the more value there is, the 13 better chance of recovery for the creditors. 14 So while I don't think that they're proposing to 15 allocate returns from staking to particular customers or 16 directly to customers; they'll go into the general pool of 17 assets. As a general matter, the bigger that pool is, the better the chance is that customers and other creditors will 18 19 get higher recoveries. 20 MS. DAGNOLI: Okay. Thank you, sir, for 21 explaining that. 22 THE COURT: Does that make sense? 23 MS. LITTLE: This is Ginger Little. I'm sorry to 24 bother you all again. 25 What you just said, okay -- and I don't interpret

the law, I don't know the law, so it's difficult for me, as well as it is I'm sure for everybody else because this has been devastating for my family alone.

You're saying that if it's approved, then the company's going to make more money, the more assets will be there, but we still can't touch our money; is that correct?

THE COURT: When you say touch your money, this part of the motion has nothing to do with whether you can withdraw cash, right.

MS. LITTLE: Right.

THE COURT: But if you're talking about cryptocurrency holdings, this doesn't affect that.

MS. LITTLE: It doesn't? So in other words, let's just say I have one Ethereum, okay, and it's automatically staked. So if they continue to keep it and continue to build money on it, it's going to put that asset into the company; it's not basically going to help the individual holder that started at the beginning. Am I correct on that?

THE COURT: Ms. Okike, do you want to respond?

MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. So we're proposing to stake various cryptocurrency and in connection with that, there may be rewards, which is additional coin, that will come into the estate. So if we staked one Ethereum and Ethereum is the one we're waiting for in terms of the protocols merging and we get, you know, two Ethereum back,

Pg 161 of 257 Page 161 1 we're now holding three Ethereum, right? 2 So the company is taking the position that all of 3 the cryptocurrency -- Ethereum, Bitcoin, et cetera -- is 4 property of the estate, which we will distribute to 5 customers in connection with a plan of reorganization which 6 you and other customers will have the opportunity to vote on 7 and approve. 8 So through what we're proposing, it will increase 9 the overall pool of assets that are available for 10 distribution to creditors in the case. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. LITTLE: So basically, it's building the 13 company back; it's not really doing anything for the 14 creditors. 15 THE COURT: Think of it as building the estate 16 In bankruptcy, everything of the company owns is part 17 of an estate and the bigger that estate is -- all the creditors are basically claimants against the estate. 18 The 19 bigger the estate is, the better the recoveries for the 20 claimants (sound drops). 21 MS. LITTLE: Okay, thank you. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right, anybody else want to be heard about the staking portion of the motion? All 23 24 right.

I don't pretend that I have a complete

Page 162 1 understanding of what is involved here, but there are no 2 objections. And with the committee oversight, I'll approve 3 it. Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, 4 MS. OKIKE: with that, I think the last motion is the bid procedures 5 6 I'll turn it over to my partner, Mr. Marcus. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. MARCUS: For the record, Your Honor, 9 Christopher Marcus from Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the 10 company. Can you hear me okay, Your Honor? 11 THE COURT: Yes, I can. 12 MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, Item 14 on the agenda is 13 the bid procedures motion; that's at Docket 126. 14 The Debtors filed a revised proposed order, which 15 includes redlines of the order, the bidding procedures, form 16 of notice, and that's at Docket 210, and I'll be referring 17 to that, Your Honor. Before we begin, I would ask that the two 18 19 declarations of Mr. Jared Dermont from Moelis; those are filed at Dockets 161 and 216 be admitted into evidence. 20 21 Dermont is on the line and is available for cross-22 examination should any party wish to do so. 23 THE COURT: All right. Are there any objections to the admission of the declarations into evidence? Does 24 25 anyone wish to cross-examine the witness as to the

declarations? All right, the declarations are admitted.

(Declarations of Jared Dermont Entered Into Evidence)

MR. MARCUS: Thank you, Your Honor. As Your Honor is aware, we are pursuing multiple alternatives on a parallel path. We filed a standalone plan on the first day of the case, and that was both to generate momentum and to provide an alternative against which to weigh bids that are developed in the Moelis process.

These bid procedures are an important part of that process to help develop the alternatives. As we've mentioned numerous times, Mr. Sussberg at the outset of this hearing again, and as noted in our motion, our goal here is to maximize value regardless of what form the transaction takes, and orderly bid procedures are an important tool in the value maximization effort.

So I would submit that these procedures, as revised at Docket 210, subject to a couple of additions that I want to put on the record today, are necessary and appropriate to govern our auction sale process and help maximize value.

Your Honor, what I would propose to do is walk through the revised order and bid procedures, point out a couple of important changes that were made, and obviously any questions that Your Honor may have, explain the

Page 164 resolutions with two of the three objecting parties, and then address the one remaining objection that was filed by the Texas State Securities Board. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MARCUS: Does Your Honor have the redline order and bid procedures handy? THE COURT: I do not and I'm not sure I know what the actual current one is, but why don't you just tell me what the changes are. MR. MARCUS: Okay. It is at Docket 210; that is the most recent. And I don't know we submitted a hearing binder, but if we did, it would be this. We only filed one blackline. It's a blackline of the current version against what was filed, together with the motion. I'm happy to walk through and just guide Your Honor through the changes to the order and the bid

procedures, unless you want me to wait a moment and try to dig it out of the binder; whatever you'd prefer, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just go ahead and proceed.

MR. MARCUS: Okay. So, Your Honor, I would first turn in the order to -- we are at Page 5, which lists a number of dates that we're asking the Court to set as part of this process.

Your Honor, I'm aware of the concerns that you raised in Hollander regarding establishing confirmation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

dates as part of the sale process, as part of the sale procedures. We've attempted to address those concerns by prefacing the first two dates related to the disclosure statement with the notion that the Debtors would have to have filed the disclosure statement on or before August 12th, and we prefaced the last four dates relating to confirmation with the notion that these dates are subject to being modified by ultimately the disclosure statement order.

But, I mean, let me just be up front, Your Honor.

If you're still uncomfortable with confirmation related

dates being established pursuant to this order versus a

disclosure statement order -- and again, confirmation dates,

not sale and auction dates -- an alternative would be to

just move these dates out of the order and list them as

indicative dates in the bid procedures.

These are absolutely dates that we will do our best to achieve and it's important that participants in the process understand the timing, but I want to make sure that Your Honor is comfortable with the dates in the order.

THE COURT: Does anybody else wish to be heard on this?

MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt. I have about six or seven modifications to the order and then a couple of things --

THE COURT: I think on the timing issue, does

Page 166 1 anybody have any --2 MR. MARCUS: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the 3 committee. We have no objection, and, in fact, we support 4 5 the timeline. 6 MS. RYAN: Your Honor, this is Abigail Ryan with 7 the Office of the Texas Attorney General represent the State 8 Securities Board. I got cut off from the hearing. 9 dropped from CourtCall. And so, as to timing, was the 10 question if we support the timing set out in the motion? THE COURT: I know you do not think -- the 11 12 question is whether we should set target dates today for 13 plan confirmation. I don't mind setting dates that require 14 you to file a particular disclosure statement by a 15 particular time, but shouldn't we set the other dates if and 16 when we have a disclosure statement on file? 17 MR. MARCUS: We can do that, Your Honor. We can 18 do that and move the other dates to the order. Again, it's 19 important really for participants in the process and, 20 frankly, you know, our agreement with the creditors' 21 committee and folks who are interested in the outcome of the 22 case, to understand the timeline that we're seeking to keep

I didn't want to get crosswise with confirmation related dates in the procedures order.

ourselves to.

23

24

1 THE COURT: I don't have a problem. 2 Judge, I'm sorry. There is some problem 3 with the website in Court Solutions. It appears that people are getting cut off. The person in the upper left corner is 4 getting cut off. And when they get cut off, they sign back 5 6 on and then the next person that moves to that spot gets cut 7 off. I'm not sure if it's a glitch in the system or 8 somebody's tampering with it, but I sent a message to the 9 clerk's office. 10 THE COURT: Is anybody experiencing a problem 11 where they're being cut off and signing back on? 12 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman. It's 13 happened to me seven times during the hearing. 14 MS. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Abigail Ryan 15 with the State of Texas. My colleagues and I have all been 16 cut off at different times during the hearing as well. 17 MR. TABACHNIK: Your Honor, Douglas Tabachnik 18 I just now signed back on. It happened to me just a 19 moment ago. I think it has to do with the length of the hearing and the Court Solution somehow cuts you off at some 20 21 point and I'm not sure why they do that. 22 THE COURT: Lorraine, if we all sign off and call 23 back in, will that work for Court Solutions? I don't know. I haven't heard from Court 24 CLERK: 25 Solutions, but I suppose we can try that.

Page 168 1 MR. TABACHNIK: I'm thinking I just signed back 2 on, so I'm probably good for another five hours. 3 CLERK: But it's been doing it. I've watched the dashboard move left as each person gets dropped. And it's 4 5 the person right to the right of you that gets dropped, so 6 Jena will be the next person to get dropped probably. 7 THE COURT: It's a four-hour time limit on Court 8 Solutions, right? 9 CLERK: Well, that was for the tape, I believe, 10 but the tape, we already changed that. I have a message out 11 to Mike, but I haven't heard from him yet. 12 THE COURT: I think Court Solutions has a time 13 where they start to drop you. 14 MR. DIETDERICH: This is Andy Dietderich. I think that's correct, Your Honor. I was just dropped, and I just 15 16 dialed back in. 17 THE COURT: Why doesn't everybody who hasn't 18 already dialed back in just take a moment to do that, and 19 we'll wait five minutes to resume this hearing with another 20 apology for the delay, okay? 21 MR. MARCUS: Very good, Your Honor. 22 (Recess) 23 CLERK: Judge, the recording is started again. 24 THE COURT: All right. I hope everybody has 25 signed back in.

On this particular issue, let's just have the date for the disclosure statement and then say that at the disclosure statement hearing, the Court will set the remaining dates. And you can go ahead and tell people in your bidding procedures what you intend to propose and try to live by with the cooperation of the committee and endorsement of the committee and just say that the Court won't officially rule on those dates until the disclosure statement hearing. Will that serve your purpose? MR. MARCUS: That would be fine, Judge. We can do

that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARCUS: Okay. Your Honor, the second change to the bid procedures order that I wanted to point out is on Page 6 of the order, Paragraph 14, there's a proviso at the This provision had originally allowed the Debtors to modify the bid procedures in their discretion. The proviso now makes modifications of the bid procedures subject to the consent of the committee, and if we don't get the committee's consent, we would have to come back to Court.

There was a change that I discussed with the committee this morning that I just wanted to add and make sure that it's clear, sort of a corollary to the date issue that we discussed earlier. So I would like it to say, any modifications to the bid procedures, including the deadlines

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in this order and that's because, for example, Paragraph 3 sets the final deadline to submit bids at August 26th.

But if we wanted to move those, if we wanted to move that back a day or two just to accommodate -- you know, if we thought it was in the best interest of the process, we would be moving it in a way that was inconsistent with your order and, obviously, Your Honor's order governs. So we just wanted it to be clear that those deadlines can be moved with committee consent as well.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARCUS: Thank you, Your Honor. And that change will appear in the revised order when we submit it, taking into account Your Honor's first comment as well.

Your Honor, the third important change that we wanted to point out, also on Page 6, Paragraph 15, deals with how the Debtors can incur bid protections. Again, this was originally set up to be in the Debtors' discretion. But what we've agreed with the committee is up until seven days prior to the auction, the Debtors will consult with the committee about offering a breakup fee and expense reimbursement to potential bidders. But we will also provide notice to all interested parties, and all interested parties will have three business days to object to the incurrence of those bid protections. If nobody objects, the Debtors are authorized to incur those bid protections, and

if someone objects, we'll be back in front of Your Honor to discuss the bid protections that we want to offer.

THE COURT: I'm going to change your procedure in that regard. I don't delegate that authority. I think it's something I'm supposed to rule on, to tell you the truth.

MR. MARCUS: Okay.

THE COURT: So if you want to designate a stalking horse and/or want to grant bid protections, I'll let you use the (sound glitch) three-day period. You can make an application to that effect on three days' notice. And just like anything else, if there are no objections, I may go ahead and enter it or I may have questions.

MR. MARCUS: Very good, Judge. We will make that change when we submit the order.

Your Honor, on Page 9 of the revised bid procedures order, there's a paragraph that we added to accommodate the SEC; they didn't file an objection, just some comments. And that paragraph is the same as was added to the others, that there's no finding in this order under the Federal Securities laws as to whether crypto tokens or transactions or securities.

Your Honor, Paragraph 27 originally preserved the creditors' committee's rights to object to the winning bidder, the sale, the disclosure statement, the plan.

Obviously, nothing in this order is intended to impair

anybody's rights, and so, we had originally been fine giving that to the creditors' committee.

We did resolve the objection that was filed by
Alameda in exchange for two changes, to additional changes
to the bid procedures and the bid procedures order. This is
the first of those two changes, Judge, where we're going to
change this from a reservation of rights just for the
committee to a reservation of rights for all parties in
interest.

Your Honor, on the last two sort of important changes that we made are actually in the bid procedures themselves, Page 6 of the blackline bid procedures.

First, you'll notice a number of times on Page 6
where we have made sure it was clear that bids received can
be in all different forms; they don't have to be an asset
sale. It can be in the form of a plan to be sponsored as
well. This was actually some language that was suggested in
the Emerald objection, which we thought was helpful in
clarifying, so we included it.

And then the second change that helps resolve the Alameda objection is with respect to the bid deposit in the middle of the page. The bid deposit is -- we're going to make it -- sorry. We're going to change how we calculate the amount of the bid deposit to be the greater of \$5 million or 10 percent of the non-coin-related value in cash.

And, again, we'll make that change before we submit it to the Court.

Those were the major changes. There were a number of grammatical clerical changes throughout. We added committee consent rights throughout. Obviously, if Your Honor has any other questions about any other changes, I'm happy to address those. Otherwise, I would walk through the resolution with Emerald and Alameda and then get to the one remaining objection, which is the Texas SSB.

THE COURT: Okay. I have a couple of comments.

As a general matter, the order should make clear that any bidder that feels that the procedures are unduly restrictive or improper or any party in interest who feels that who believes relief from them is warranted can apply to me for such relief and not -- there were some complaints about whether everything is too much in your discretion. Anybody who thinks that the procedures as they are set up or the process as set up as just not working or is being applied improperly, they can always ask me for relief. All right?

MR. MARCUS: Okay.

THE COURT: And similarly, anybody who has a complaint about the conduct of any auction can ask for a ruling from me.

The proposed notice as that was going to be published, I guess? It just talks about -- suggests that

there's going to be a 363 sale. It doesn't really seem to quite alert people that the timing might be different if there's a plan. You need to make sure that people are aware of that.

MR. MARCUS: Will do, Judge. It actually starts to talk about the sale confirmation schedule. But, you're right, it just refers to the sale date, so we'll make that clear.

THE COURT: And then on the timing, you're asking for a bid deadline of August 26th, auction date of the 29th, and a sale hearing on the 7th. That seems pretty compressed. I know you've made some efforts in the past, but (indiscernible) in August when people aren't necessarily as available as they otherwise would be. So why that particular schedule and tell me why you're comfortable with moving things that quickly.

MR. MARCUS: Yeah. I think it's a balancing, Your Honor, actually. Much of this schedule was a little bit less compressed, but we've been working cooperatively with the committee and actually the creditors want the process to move even faster.

I think it's really just a function of making sure Moelis believes that the timing of the process is sufficient to develop the most value-maximizing alternatives possible.

And if we're going to go down the sale path at the end of

that process, we'll be able to move quickly to a 363 hearing, subject, of course, to Your Honor's views on whether at that time we're moving too quickly. And if it's through a plan, then we would have some additional time to get the requisite plan documents on file. Mr. Dermont filed a supplemental affidavit, and we know from that that Moelis is comfortable with the timing, that we've had a lot of interest in the process, and the counterparties or the potential bidders who are involved in the process seem to be ready, willing, and able to move on that expedited timeline.

We've noted again and again that it's important to move quickly through this case, not just with these initial distributions, but to show customers we're doing everything we can to get them recovery as quickly as possible.

And so, you know, based on all those factors, Your Honor, we are comfortable with the timeline. It's aggressive, there's no doubt about it, but we're ready to lean in and try to do everything we can to hit these dates.

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the committee. Would you like to hear our view on the timeline?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

Look, ordinarily as committee counsel, MR. AZMAN: we'd be pounding the table and asking for more time, right? That's what we do in 95 percent of the cases, we want more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

time for the sale process because we think more time maximizes value, but we've got two issues here.

One is the sale or transaction whatever occurs, whether it's a plan or a sale, is ultimately going to drive the timing for distributions in one way or another. And so, the longer this sale process takes, the longer customers and creditors are waiting to receive a distribution of what a lot of them believe is theirs. It may not be the case, but that's what they believe.

The second issue is the longer the sale process takes, the longer this case takes and the more expensive this case is and the less there will be at the end of this case to distribute to creditors.

So we've gotten very comfortable, we've had a lot of conversations with the Debtors' investment banker, Moelis. We've, you know, communicated with out own financial advisor, FTI, and we've also had direct conversations with several of the bidders. And we are comfortable both with the process that has transpired to date and with the idea that there is a limited pool of interested buyers for this asset.

There are several bidders that have submitted bids, and I expect it to be a robust auction. But the reality is that this is a complicated asset, just cryptocurrency in general, and we don't believe that more

time is necessarily going to yield more value; in fact, it could yield less value. You already heard from one bidder today, Mr. Dietderich, who's representing FTX, that they want less time.

And so, we think the Debtors struck the appropriate balance in reaching this timeline and we support it.

MS. RYAN: Your Honor, if I may. This is Abigail Ryan on behalf of the State Securities Board.

The timeline actually is the issue raised in our objection. And if you would like to hear my argument on it now, I'm happy to do so. If you're preferring me to wait until Debtors counsel is finished, I'm fine with that too, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll hear your position now. But, you know, I just read your position. You don't need to repeat what you already said. (Indiscernible) it seems to me that what you're saying is that we should wait until all regulatory issues and lots of other things are figured out. Doesn't that delay, basically destroy the value of what we're trying to sell?

MS. RYAN: Well, I wouldn't say we need to wait until all regulatory issues are figured out. I think regulatory issues are a thing that are going to have to be dealt with throughout the bankruptcy. And if it's sold,

we'll have to properly take care of that in any sale order.

The real issue is the lack of information that anyone other than the UCC, the Debtor, or the bidders who signed confidentiality agreements have. There are no schedules or statement of affairs filed. That's extended until August 18th with the Debtors mail, they'll ask for an extra extension.

And we aren't going to have a 341 meeting until after the auction, but the day before the sale hearing, and the 341 meeting of creditors is supposed to give creditors and parties in interest an opportunity to question a representative of the Debtor. And here, that's not really a meaningful opportunity.

parties in interest in that we have no idea what assets the Debtor claims to have, what debts they claim to have, what other creditors other than the customer creditors are out there. And I believe that information should be obtained before a sale is approved because once a sale of assets is approved, those assets are out of the jurisdiction of the Court because they're not part of the bankruptcy anymore.

THE COURT: Let me ask you, what is the current deadline for the filing of schedules?

MS. RYAN: August 18th, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So they'll be on file long before we

have a sale hearing.

MS. RYAN: They'll be on file 13 days before the objection deadline, Your Honor, and the objection deadline for the sale, it's a one-day deadline looking at the timeline that was put up this morning. The auction is held on the 29th, August 30th is the 341 meeting, August 31st is the sale objection deadline, and then a week later, September 7th, is the sale hearing.

I say that no meaningful objection could be written in that short of a time period, Your Honor. It's basically one day and it's the one day that the 341 meeting would be held.

And so, with the lack of information and the constrained timeline, I don't think that this balances a, you know, fair and open process with maximizing the value of the Debtors' estate. We have to do both in this process.

And I'm not saying put this off for months and months, absolutely not. But let's give creditors a little more time in here to review schedules and statement of affairs, so long as there's not another extension of those requested, and have a meaningful opportunity to appear at a 341 meeting and have a meaningful opportunity to write a decent objection to any sale motion that might come up.

This is a difficult topic, cryptocurrency, and the exchange, and I'm sure any sale motion and deal that's

presented to the Court will be equally as complex and folks are going need more than one day to understand it and figure out if they want to object to it.

THE COURT: Well, to the extent that you think that the 341 meeting should be held first. I mean, the committee that's representing creditors thinks the opposite. They don't think creditors will need that more time; if anything, they want to rush.

MS. RYAN: They do, Your Honor, and we disagree with them on that point. Right now as I understand it, and I could be wrong, the committee is made up of some consumers. We don't know what other creditors are out there that might want to have things slow down. I think the first Town Hall meeting for the UCC is going to be next week, I believe Mr. Azman said earlier.

And so really getting a feel for what all the creditors want, I don't know that's been done yet.

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman for the committee. I appreciate the comments by Ms. Ryan, who we've had the opportunity to work with in a number of other cases and we've, you know, worked with them successfully in those other cases.

I think what I'm failing to understand here is what is it in the schedules or that happens at a 341 meeting that could possibly inform whether a creditor or another

parties in interest is going to object to the sale, right?

The goal of the sale process is trying to get as much money or crypto, whatever the form of consider is going to be, for the assets of this company in whatever form the buyers want to propose a transaction structure, and I'm not sure I'm following.

You know, we're not talking about confirming a plan here; we're talking about the Debtor accepting whatever they believe to be, with our consultation of course, is the highest and best bid for the assets that the Debtor has.

And I'm not sure I understand what it is that, you know, could be found in schedules that would alter somebody's opinion of whether that's the best bid or not.

MS. RYAN: If I may respond, Your Honor. Again, this is Abigail Ryan for the State Securities Board in Texas.

It would actually give us a lot of information because right now, other than the Debtor and the bidders who signed confidentiality agreements and the UCC, nobody knows what assets are being sold. We have no idea what the Debtor owns, purports to own, what liabilities are out there, what other creditors are out there. We have zero information.

All the information we have on the bidding process or potential sale is what we have heard from Debtors counsel and now UCC's counsel. I think to be able to value an asset

properly and to know how to properly object to the sale, if an objection is needed, creditors need information about what is being sold, and we don't have that.

And when we do finally get the sale motion, there's a one-day turnaround to object to it. I respectfully say that is not enough time for a meaningful, open, and fair process to take place in this bankruptcy, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The schedules will be on file long before we have a sale hearing, so I'm not convinced by that, and I'm not convinced they're really going to make that much of a difference in evaluating sale options.

As to the objections, if you're proposing a sale hearing of September 6th, I don't usually like to make objection deadlines on a holiday weekend, but we can allow objections up until September 5th.

MR. MARCUS: I'm just looking for the objection deadline paragraph in the procedures. Give me one second, Your Honor.

MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, it's Steven Graff on behalf of the shareholders of the Canadian parent. I might just add that I would support the submissions of Ms. Ryan and I expressed the concern, Your Honor, that you too had voiced, being that August is a month in which many of the participants in this industry and many others are on holiday

and it seems like an abridged timeframe.

I respect the concern over costs occurring. I respect that counsel for the committee and counsel for the company believe that they can lean in and get this done and that there is probably a small pool of participant buyers. But just certainly from my 32 years of experience of sale processes, though none have been specifically in the crypto space, this seems like an incredibly abbreviated timeframe.

That's all. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, this is Chris Marcus.

Let me respond to all that with a couple of things.

First of all, there is a sale objection deadline.

Yes, that's on August 31st, but objections related to the conduct, manner, results of the auction have some additional time already under the revised sale order deadline.

As Your Honor yourself noted, as Mr. Azman said as well, I can't imagine what's in the schedules, the statements, and at the 341 meeting that's going to be in any way correlated to the sale, and I don't think they are.

There are numerous cases in this district that have approved bid procedures and established dates prior to the Debtor filings its schedules and SOFAs, including Garrett Motion, Barney's, Hollander, Nine West, Runway Holdings. So I don't think those two things are correlated in a way that requires one to follow the other.

I would also say, you know, the SSB's argument and several times said, for example, we don't know what other creditors out there might object to, we don't know who's going to object to the bid procedures.

Well, the largest creditor in the case, probably the largest creditor in the case, which is Alameda, believes we should be moving fast. Perhaps we should be, if we receive a bid that is an offer that we can't refuse, we should be abandoning the bid procedures altogether. And the creditors' committee and the member of the creditors' committee are all consumers in this case, obviously want the case moving faster.

So this was a motion that was put out on broad notice and those hypothetical other creditors are not present. And the relief that the objection seeks -- and I'm looking at Paragraph 23 of the SSB's objection, which says, "The breakneck pace sought by the Debtors is simply too aggressive and would eviscerate any meaningful opportunity for parties in interest in to review the schedules."

Well, again, you know, I don't see the correlation. But what they're asking for is none of the dates should be set until the schedules are filed and the 341 meeting occurs. And I'm not sure why we would take our foot off the gas and not at least continue with the process. Everybody's rights with respect to the ultimate relief, the

ultimate transaction, are preserved. If a creditor believes that they haven't had ample opportunity, they can object to the ultimate transaction. By the way, it may not be a sale. It may be a plan, in which case, folks will have an additional two months, so we just don't know yet.

And what the SSB is asking for is to shut down our current sale process and wait until we see the schedules and statements because somebody might have an objection later on. I agree that there's got to be some sort of balancing here. I mentioned that before in connection with the discussion of why we thought that this was an appropriate time. That is not a balancing; that's just a shutdown without regard to whether -- without regard, one, to what the creditors who have spoken up have already said and, two, without regard to the potential loss of value, which is what is set forth in Mr. Dermont's affidavit.

MR. DIETDERICH: Your Honor, Andy Dietderich for Alameda, if I can be heard just very briefly.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DIETDERICH: I'd like to just confirm, because it's been mentioned before by others, our support for the timetable. We support that with a bidder hat on and we support that with a creditor hat on and we are, indeed, the largest creditor in the case and so, we fully support the timetable.

And I think Mr. Marcus put his finger on an important distinction, which is, you know, a sale here in similar situations has been done very, very quickly. It may be that a plan, if it's ends up in a plan, will have more process built into it because it's a plan. But I think Alameda thinks it's important, you know, that at least the sale alternative be able to be done as quickly as possible. We also, Your Honor, have one other reason not to wait too long, which is the price of crypto is highly volatile and these issues, these kind of issues about claim versus property right, who gets what, have one level of significance, you know, if crypto was more or less stable. If crypto goes up substantially, the case becomes, you know, very complicated very quickly, so we think speed is important for that reason as well. MS. RYAN: Your Honor, this is --I'm sorry, go ahead. WOMAN: MS. RYAN: Thank you. This is Mrs. Ryan with the Texas Attorney General's Office on behalf of the State Securities Board. I'm not suggesting that the -- I didn't object first to the actual procedures set out in the bidding procedures. It's merely the abbreviated timeline that gives

I do believe the schedules and statement of

me heartburn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

financial affairs are important because it will set out what assets the Debtor has and the value. And the only people that know that right now are the potential bidders, the UCC, and Debtors' counsel. Nobody else in this case even knows what's for sale. And then to know whether the sale is proper, we need to know how the assets are being valued and so, this is why the schedules and statement of affairs are important.

Secondarily, from a regulatory standpoint, we need to know what regulations or certifications or things the Debtor believes they have. We don't know what they believe they have. We know what we believe they don't have.

Finally, the one timeline that really is giving me heartburn here is the sale objection deadline. And I would not anticipate, you know, my client objecting to the conduct at the auction or anything like that, but there may be a term in the sales order or the processes that they would object to and a one-day turnaround to do that is just too short.

And so, we don't always get what we want, and I know I'm not going to get what I asked for in our pleadings and that's okay. But I do ask for an extension of the sale objections deadline, Your Honor, to give people enough time to review and digest exactly what's being sold, since we don't know, and the processes that'll be taken to sell it.

Page 188 1 THE COURT: Does anyone else wish to be heard? 2 MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, it's Steven Graff, just three quick reply comments. 3 Number one, I appreciate the comment and crypto 4 5 value that I believe counsel for Alameda made with respect 6 to the value of crypto, but that cuts both ways, so I don't 7 consider that to be a relevant factor. 8 Number two, I question whether Alameda can 9 objectively stand before you today or sit before you today, 10 as the case may be, and objectively make comments from its 11 position as a bidder on what the timeline ought to be. 12 And, number three, though Mr. Marcus identified 13 the fact that he has engaged in expeditated sale processes 14 comparably abridged to the one proposed here, I challenge 15 him to identify any case where he has done so during the 16 month of August. 17 That is it. Thank you, Your Honor. MS. LITTLE: Your Honor, this is Ginger Little 18 I look at it as, you know, I'm one of the small fish 19 20 in the pond, so to speak, in this situation, but it's not a 21 small fish to me. 22 I understand how auctions go, but usually, you 23 have a right to know what's going to be auctioned and what 24 they're looking at for that actual value. And as for 25 crypto, I heard a statement -- I don't know who said it, but

they said that, you know, crypto is down right now and crypto could go up and crypto can go down. But I remember crypto back when it first started, and I remember it sold for a nickel. And now -- and I've seen it go from that to 85,000 -- not 85, excuse me -- I think it was, like, 68 or something like that.

So crypto is -- you know, it does fluctuate from one to another. And I know that in an auction like this, you can -- they can buy, just say, a Bitcoin for pennies on the dollar and that very same crypto knowing that it's going to flip, and it will flip, and it will go back up and it will go up substantially more than it was when it fell this time because we're not always going to be in a recession.

So what they're doing -- and this is my eyes; I don't know if other people are looking at this way or not. But if I'm selling something or they're going to sell something of mine that is worth, they say, 10,000 now when I know the value -- and the only reason you put money is to make money in, not to lose money -- and you see it go up that high later on. And the person with the money, the whales as they call them, that have the money to buy it at the little part to push the little guy out and then bring it back up and then they make all the fortune is the way it's always been and it's almost like it's staggered to be that way in this situation, and I do have concerns about that.

And I'm sorry for taking this much time.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else? All right. I'm not concerned about the filing dates in relation to the schedules. The schedules will be on file long before any sale hearing. To the extent creditors who are watching the sale process ought to have an understanding of how good or bad the bids are, they will certainly have the schedules long before the bids are in and announced long before a specific sale is proposed. That, to me, is not a reason to wait at all.

And I am very concerned that the idea of waiting to make people more comfortable or to give people more time to ask questions runs counter to what needs to be our main goal here, which is to maximize value.

This is an unusual business. It's not, I hope, going to be a situation where the only thing that's being sold is the Bitcoin assets that are held. Maybe it can be sold as an ongoing business, as an ongoing brokerage, maybe there's something that can be salvaged. The longer it sits idle, the less likely, it seems to me, that that could possibly happen, and all that is very good reason to me to proceed as quickly as the circumstances reasonably allow.

It is a very compressed schedule, but there has apparently been quite a bit of marketing before the bankruptcy and also underway before we get to today, so it's

not like everything is starting today and nothing has happened before today.

And it's also the case that if circumstances turn out to be such that we get closer to the date and that schedule is too compressed, well, it's not like it's written in stone and can't be changed. If it needs to be adjusted at that time and there's very good reasons to adjust at that time, it can be adjusted.

It is a very short objection deadline, and I will extend that and potentially inconvenience people if there are objections. But if we have a September -- instead of the 7th, can we make it the 8th, and then have the 6th as the objection deadline; would that work for you, counsel? That way, we won't have a holiday as an objection deadline.

MR. MARCUS: That's certainly okay with me. We can move that hearing date back by a day if that's Your Honor's preference.

THE COURT: All right. And I think otherwise I will overrule the objections.

I do have one other question. I didn't see any procedures for identifying possible cure payments to contract counterparties to the extent that you are talking about selling any contracts or assuming and assignment contracts. That possibility is mentioned in your motion, but usually, that's accompanied by procedures where you

1 propose what the cure payments are and people can object if 2 they think they pose something different, et cetera. 3 didn't see any of that. MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, I don't know that we have 4 5 contracts and leases that are going to be assumed and 6 assigned. But we can come up with procedures to make sure 7 that, to the extent that there are assumptions and 8 assignments of contracts and/or leases, that the 9 counterparties will receive appropriate notice and an 10 opportunity to be heard with respect to both adequate 11 assurance, as well as cure amounts. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'm not telling you you 13 have to make provision for it. I'm just saying that if 14 that's going to be an issue, it would be normal to make some 15 provision for some for it if you want to consider (sound 16 drops). 17 Anybody else have anything else they want to be heard on with respect to the bidding procedures? All right. 18 19 WOMAN 1: Your Honor --20 THE COURT: So if you modify the order 21 accordingly. Yes? 22 I'm just curious since the creditor, WOMAN 1: 23 like, do we get to actually see the bids or is that 24 something that's published in Stretto and the case summary? 25 THE COURT: The bids will be confidential.

get to see the (sound drops) outcome of the hearing, at which time the Debtors will announce why they picked a particular bidder, as well as such information as is appropriate as to what the alternatives were.

WOMAN 1: Okay. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. DADSON: Your Honor, Cordearo Dadson, one of the consumers from Voyager. Will there ever be any ruling concerning USDC because I was taught that it goes back to the U.S. dollar though and this matter is considered cryptocurrency. Will there be any future in the future of the next hearing anything that goes to that regards of how do we go about those that were primarily USDC exposed on the platform?

THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure I fully heard the question. Will there be a ruling on what exactly now?

MR. DADSON: On the matter of USDC. From what I understand, a lot of the customers that were using the platform were exposed in that manner. And I understand in these proceedings it's a cryptocurrency, but it was taught that it was pegged to the U.S. dollar, so I just wanted to know will there be any clarity for those going forward.

THE COURT: I don't know how to answer that question. You know, as the judge, I basically rule on motions when they are made.

Page 194 1 Ms. Okike, do you have any comments in response to 2 that question? 3 MS. OKIKE: Yes, Your Honor. Christine Okike of Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. 4 5 Your Honor, USDC is a type of cryptocurrency which 6 the Debtors are currently holding. And, you know, as we've 7 talked about, the Debtors' view is that the cryptocurrency 8 is property of the estate. Our job is to maximize that 9 value, which we're seeking to do, among other things, through staking. And when we have, you know, a transaction 10 11 that we're moving forward with, there'll be further 12 information provided to customers in terms of what they can 13 expect to receive on account of claims in the case, 14 including, you know, claims for USDC. 15 THE COURT: All right. Is there any other 16 business for today? Okay. If not, then we are adjourned. 17 I'll look forward to seeing your revised orders. 18 MR. MARCUS: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 20 3:38 PM)21 22 23 24 25

Page 195 1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 3 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 6 Sonya M. dedarski Hyd 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 Date: August 8, 2022

[& - 27th] Page 1

&	105 126:12	177 78:13	21 18:18 23:10
& 2:8,9,13,18	130:18,24,25	18 23:4	210 162:16
6:3,14 7:1,9	11 26:15 30:18	181 9:3	163:18 164:10
8:18 9:1 15:17	35:18 48:7	18th 178:6,24	216 162:20
32:25 44:23	67:12 69:13,21	19 17:24 150:10	218 4:25
45:10 50:11	69:24 90:2	192 78:9	22 69:21
61:9 67:11,21	11501 195:23	193 78:13	22-10943 1:3
68:19 76:11	116 67:13	194 61:18	220 16:20
77:22 93:16	11:00 5:12	195 65:21	222 8:13
113:25 145:12	11:02 1:17	197 60:21,25	225 78:14
162:9 194:4	12 27:2 77:6	19th 8:13 15:10	227 45:18 48:19
	150:14,16	2	22nd 88:13
0	120.52 89:13,13	2 15:8 76:12	23 184:16
01028 8:4	124:6	136:19	23rd 89:3 119:9
08/16/2022 5:12	12151 195:7	2.8 139:17	126:16
1	125 6:16 75:3	140:19	24 23:17 38:15
1 38:15 39:8	126 162:13	2.85 84:22	86:13 144:10
41:2 50:16 79:8	12th 165:6	2.8 3 84.22 2.9 23:12,21	249,879.48
86:23 88:3	13 2:19 23:17	2.9 23.12,21 20 21:3	124:10
99:10,12 135:11	46:21 67:3		249,879.48.
149:22 192:19	77:23 179:2	20,000 53:2 200 23:23	89:12
192:22 193:5	13.3 129:1	200 23:23 201 7:19 68:2	24th 89:7
1.9 23:14	13th 46:23	201 7:19 68:2 2010 16:19	25 73:3
10 19:6,19 21:3	14 16:15 23:1		25,000 119:9
25:5 29:22 40:1	44:24 162:12	2013 37:4 202 64:11	250 108:5
123:16 125:12	169:15		117:12
137:8 172:25	15 147:13		250,000 25:16
10,000 16:19	170:15	2021 23:5,15,22	25:21 89:9,11
88:17 119:9	15521 8:8	25:12 38:25	96:23 97:1,3
189:17	156 65:16,20	149:22	123:12 125:13
100 23:16 41:7	66:12 67:4	2022 1:16 2:11	2501 7:12
48:16	16 25:12 149:23	2:19 5:11 40:13	256 8:3
10004 1:14 6:17	161 162:20	84:21 149:22	26 14:21 23:10
10004 1:14 0:17 10014 7:20	16th 69:8 73:10	195:25	26th 170:2
10014 7.20 10019 8:21	74:14 90:12	203 51:3,8	174:10
10019 8.21 10022 6:6	17 23:1	205 76:16 77:8	27 17:24 46:11
10022 0.0 10038 8:14	173 78:7,24	206 51:24 52:2	171:22
10036 8.14 1006 7:19 8:8	176,551.66.	207 76:17	270 109:5
1006 7:19 8:8 10173 7:5	89:10	20817 9:11	27th 46:3 48:5
101/3 /.3			48:12
		ral Solutions	.0,12

[28 - accepting] Page 2

28 150:5	351 54:7	6.3 79:15	95 61:17 175:25
28t 25:9	363 86:23 87:1,2	60 77:7 140:7	96 63:5
29th 17:19	174:1 175:1	601 6:5	97 17:23 65:8
174:10 179:6	377 19:15	650 26:23 30:5	99 128:3
2:25 146:25	3:38 194:20	67 65:17	a
2nd 51:2,24	4	676,000 89:6	a.m. 48:7
3	4 1:16 17:11	123:18	abandoning
3 9:4 26:21	23:20 45:14	676,541.66. 89:6	184:9
50:17 51:15	136:24 137:1,9	68 189:5	abbreviated
84:21 136:22,25	137:13	688 23:19	183:8 186:23
137:3,7,15	40 16:19	6th 182:14	abide 28:7
170:1	42 16:16	191:12	abigail 12:19
3.2 134:6	455 23:18	7	166:6 167:14
3.4 79:8	5	7 23:23 61:12	177:8 181:15
3.6 79:11 97:5	5 2:10 5:11	76:13 149:24	ability 87:12,18
115:24	18:10 77:4	150:11	122:19 143:6
30 19:9 21:4	79:21 80:13	73 78:5,25 129:1	145:6
60:13 140:11	103:24 115:21	7425 9:10	able 17:7 41:5
149:22 150:6,17	117:11 149:19	75 19:16 30:11	55:13 87:18
300 22:13 26:5	164:21 172:24	75201 7:13	99:2 108:2,3,6
195:22	5,000 53:2	7th 27:8 174:11	114:5 135:19,22
30th 179:6	5.3 16:11	179:8 191:12	151:24 156:12
31 21:18	50 73:4	8	175:1,10 181:25
31st 27:9 179:6	51 8:20 149:25	8 21:16 49:2	186:7
183:13	157:9	51:16 68:10	abridged 183:1
32 57:1 183:6	52nd 8:20	83:11 195:25	188:14
32.67 89:4	56 60:19	8.2 85:21	absence 157:13
327 65:7,25	57 51:20	8.9 23:11	absent 117:15
66:11	58 76:15	8/3/2022 4:25	absolutely 20:20
330 195:21	5c 120:13	85 189:5	64:25 65:3
33157 8:9	5th 182:16	85,000 189:5	118:17 119:2,13
340 7:4	6	8:00 118:12	121:4 165:16
341 178:8,10	6 19:1 23:12	8th 51:20 60:18	179:18
179:6,11,22	48:25 60:12	191:12	abundance
180:5,24 183:18	169:15 170:15	9	134:14
184:23	172:12,13	9 22:21 85:25	accept 47:6 95:15
345 46:23	6.2 79:13 97:21	171:15	
350,000 39:9	116:1	90 103:7,15	accepting 88:14
	110.1	140:7 144:21	181:8
		170./ 177.21	

[access - address] Page 3

access 28:12	111:25 113:9,10	accruing 57:19	152:9
136:1	113:16,18 116:3	accumulate	active 88:3
	*	158:20	112:15 158:23
accidentally 61:8	116:4,12,12 119:1 128:16	accurate 92:11	
			actively 20:4
accommodate	133:12,17,18,21	195:4	activity 14:22
170:4 171:17	138:14,16	accurately 83:9	83:14,18 136:11
accompanied	139:13,16 141:1	93:19 136:11,13	actual 55:14
191:25	141:2,15 143:4	accusations	121:22 142:23
accomplish	144:11,13,16,21	32:14	152:13 155:8
59:24	145:6,7,18,18	accuses 152:11	164:8 186:22
account 22:14	145:24,25	ach 49:10,14	188:24
25:14,19 26:5	170:13 194:13	81:8,10 82:16	ad 52:11,17
26:23 47:5,18	accountable	83:14 84:23,25	add 61:25 62:4
48:25 49:18	120:19	85:1,8,12,12,15	66:20 74:15
79:8,9,14,17,24	accounting	85:17,20,25	107:3 169:22
79:24,25 80:16	107:16 136:7	86:3,11,18 87:7	182:22
80:16,17,23	138:21	87:10,25 88:15	added 46:8,14
81:9,10,10,14	accounts 4:9,10	95:1 100:17	63:10,12 64:11
81:16,17,19	4:12 47:2,19,20	133:16 139:13	72:21 74:15
82:7,8,8,11,15	49:6 78:1,1,4,18	140:4,13 143:11	75:19 171:16,18
82:17,19,19	79:2,4 81:2,5	143:12 144:4,7	173:4
83:2,4,5,14,22	83:9,17,19,22	achieve 27:12	adding 128:8
83:25 84:2,17	83:25 84:3,4,5,7	165:17	addition 73:17
85:2,5,5,21 86:5	84:11,17,20,22	acknowledge	85:25 86:10
86:7,10,13,13	88:3,8,10 90:25	59:6	additional 14:11
86:15 87:9,19	91:5,17,24 92:9	acknowledges	50:2 75:13 76:6
87:25 88:21,24	92:12,25 94:25	79:22 80:14	104:10 107:9
89:19 90:7,24	95:3 98:6,10,12	acquiring 22:16	149:8 156:25
91:9,24 92:15	99:6,15,21	acronym 15:13	158:20 160:22
94:1,15,21 95:7	102:17,25 103:9	act 36:1 92:19	172:4 175:4
96:14,25 97:23	103:17 104:9	92:21 93:8	183:14 185:5
98:19,22 99:25	108:24 109:3,13	116:22	additionally
100:2,15,18,22	109:13,21	acted 118:17	61:22 63:14
100:23,24 101:3	111:10 123:20	acting 94:19	additions
101:15,22,25	133:9,11,25	action 18:24	163:18
102:3,7 105:7	134:4 135:3,25	36:24 64:1	address 22:4
107:3,5,7,17,19	136:3	75:21 90:7	29:19 31:23
107:22 108:4,15	accrued 53:19	114:1	34:12,14,17,19
109:14,15,17,18	accrues 73:1	actions 91:17	58:11 61:23
110:18,21,21		104:4 151:4	63:20 66:17

69:7 70:8,24	adversely 28:5	ago 23:4 25:13	105:16,19
73:9 86:18 87:7	115:6	33:16 38:25,25	106:14 108:25
111:14 138:12	advice 64:5,6,6	98:9 137:8	122:12,23
145:14 151:2	advised 14:3	139:8 167:19	133:20 139:24
164:2 165:2	18:16	agree 49:13	140:23,25 141:5
173:7	advisor 2:14,15	53:12 57:18,21	142:6,10 178:4
addressed 66:19	2:22 5:11 68:20	59:18 63:11	181:19
68:1,16 73:8	73:19 176:17	96:7,9,16	agrees 79:7,16
158:19	advisors 17:8	105:11,16 106:6	86:6,7 109:2
adequate 192:10	advocate 32:4	115:12 142:19	ahead 18:9
adequately 47:4	adzima 6:12	157:5 185:9	44:20,21 100:11
adjourned 5:8	76:7,8,9,10 77:3	agreed 36:6	100:12 107:14
5:12 194:16	77:17	45:23 46:20,22	107:15 111:7
adjudicate	aegean 68:9	104:17 114:25	121:5 135:13
122:17	affairs 178:5	150:24 170:18	138:1 164:19
adjudicated	179:20 187:1,7	agreement 5:4	169:4 171:12
111:16 151:10	affect 56:17	16:7 58:4 78:22	186:17
adjust 191:7	132:5 160:12	78:24 79:6,8,11	aiming 34:5
adjusted 191:6	affidavit 127:3	79:13,16,19,20	aird 9:1 113:25
191:8	175:6 185:16	79:21 80:13,20	145:12
administer	affiliate 86:8	85:17,18,21	aislinn 12:25
28:20 92:25	affirms 68:4	86:1,4,6,12	akin 105:14
administering	afternoon 74:24	88:20,23 91:11	alameda 6:15
100:6 159:11	76:5,10 77:22	93:4 95:16 96:6	19:2,3,8,10,14
administrative	agenda 15:2	96:14 97:4,12	19:16,18,20
2:22 3:18,22	27:16 35:5,10	97:22 99:16	27:18 29:12,17
65:18 150:21	44:25 45:13	100:3 106:2,4	29:21 30:3,6,19
157:15	50:15,16 51:15	109:11 115:20	31:24,25 172:4
admire 19:12	51:15 60:12	115:21,22,24	172:21 173:8
admission 75:7	61:12 63:3	119:7,11,12	184:6 185:18
162:24	68:19 76:12	120:13 121:22	186:6 188:5,8
admitted 65:14	77:5,24 162:12	122:13,14 142:9	alameda's 29:25
67:20,21 75:8,9	agent 65:16	142:22 144:2	30:12
162:20 163:1	91:12	149:17,20	alan 75:18,21
advance 29:14	agent's 15:6	166:20	alert 174:2
61:24 65:20	aggressive 27:5	agreements	aligned 35:4
advanced 39:23	175:18 184:18	86:16,21,24	83:17
74:4	aggressively	87:6,11,11,16	aligns 79:19
advantage 96:23	85:11 140:10	87:24 95:14	allegations
		99:7 105:7,12	44:11,14

anocate appropri			1 450 3
allocate 159:15	88:12 89:5,6,12	anticipated	applicable 15:14
allocates 91:11	100:21 124:9,10	102:2 151:2	43:3 81:14,16
allocati 12:11	135:18,18	157:2	82:14 85:4 87:8
allow 88:20	143:20 155:18	anticipating	89:5,19 116:17
89:17 102:16,24	157:7 172:24	152:1	application 2:8
103:1 108:14	amounts 50:23	anybody 29:2	2:13,17,21 5:10
109:9 111:24	54:15,20,21	51:10 52:3 53:8	65:7,8 67:11,14
120:5,6 123:17	84:17 192:11	59:11 62:12	68:2 73:25 74:3
123:18 140:25	ample 185:2	76:1 108:13	74:24 75:2
141:5 148:24	amy 8:23 93:16	110:12,23	171:10
182:15 190:22	144:9	114:23 115:5,5	applications
allowed 32:10	analysis 37:2	116:14 132:8	52:4 61:24 62:5
56:9 66:6 88:11	108:22 145:9	141:9 161:22	62:15,23
112:2 123:11	analyzed 104:19	165:20 166:1	applied 24:14
128:23,25	andrew 6:19	167:10 173:16	66:6 173:18
130:10 142:5	9:21 10:16 11:3	173:21 190:2	applies 129:6
157:4 169:16	13:8	192:17	130:9
allowing 36:8	andy 27:17	anybody's 172:1	apply 43:18,19
130:7,10,19	168:14 185:17	anymore 38:19	64:20 98:13
144:6	anger 128:7	178:21	116:10 173:14
allows 44:6	132:14	apart 18:3	appointed 117:1
96:22 149:18	angiolini 10:20	apiece 123:12	153:17,22
allyson 6:11	angry 122:1,2	apologies 46:10	appointment
61:5,9 73:13	announce 193:2	61:7 92:8	63:6
alongside 36:4	announced	apologize 56:2	appreciate
alter 181:12	18:18 190:8	80:7,10 94:10	15:24 31:14
alternative	announcements	146:4	33:9 44:17
126:7 163:8	14:3	apology 168:20	97:15 102:14
165:13 186:7	answer 14:19	app 81:13,21,25	117:17 180:19
alternatives	27:14 31:4,9	82:12 93:24	188:4
72:2 163:5,11	34:1,10 93:18	103:2 139:20	appreciation
174:24 193:4	101:19 114:19	apparently	30:23
altogether 184:9	153:19 193:23	147:7 148:3	apprise 134:15
alyona 9:18	answered 24:22	190:24	approach 15:19
amenable 46:1	answering 60:9	appear 141:5	31:1 59:17
america 54:9	answers 37:6,8	170:12 179:21	appropriate
amount 22:18	anthony 11:10	appearance 5:2	28:25 29:17
35:15 82:14,23	anticipate 134:5	appears 74:3	59:20 88:22
82:24 83:3,3	139:21 150:9	115:18 167:3	113:23 116:13
85:6 87:19	187:15		163:20 177:6
		ral Calutiana	1

[npp. op. angust]			
185:11 192:9	argue 103:16	aspects 52:8	assumption
193:4	116:16	155:17	35:21
approval 17:14	argument 42:25	asserted 99:20	assumptions
19:23 24:12	55:10 118:3	asserting 145:3	192:7
29:1 49:9 50:22	123:22 126:11	assessment	assurance
57:16 64:23	130:5 145:20	56:11 104:25	192:11
65:17 72:10	177:11 184:1	asset 24:13	assure 21:12
153:12 157:20	arguments	42:11 58:14	63:22 66:9
approvals 62:2	37:23 103:21	138:24 160:16	assured 24:19
approve 19:22	116:17,18	172:15 176:21	attaching 62:14
24:13 28:17	117:23	176:24 181:25	attempted 165:2
51:13 61:3	arose 126:14	assets 24:22	attempts 85:9
62:13 68:17	127:5,10	27:6,7 30:20	attend 34:23
71:14,22 74:10	arrange 79:23	41:19 43:9	attended 56:3
141:10 143:23	80:15	56:18,23,24	attitude 59:15
161:7 162:2	arrangement	59:4,18 81:13	attorney 166:7
approved 20:18	23:13 91:8	130:11 132:4	186:19
28:24 36:15	arrows 26:15	133:8 134:1	attorneys 2:9
55:8 62:11	30:4 64:7	138:19 149:10	6:4,15 7:2,10,18
65:25 71:18,19	articulated	149:13 155:5	8:12,19 9:2
75:14 76:2	21:25 27:2	156:12 159:9,17	27:25 67:12
81:23 89:16	ascension 5:5	160:5 161:9	123:25
119:16 137:3,3	aside 59:24	178:15,19,20	attributable
137:7 159:6	108:11	181:4,10,20	82:10 133:8
160:4 178:19,20	asked 18:13	187:2,6 190:17	135:19
183:20	29:19 97:16	assigned 192:6	auction 17:18
approving 3:8	99:17 102:12	assignment	163:20 165:13
4:16,23,24 5:4	113:12 115:4,13	191:23	170:19 173:22
approximately	119:5,6 124:14	assignments	174:10 176:23
19:19 83:11	124:14 187:21	192:8	178:9 179:5
84:22 89:4	asking 34:9 93:5	associated 16:14	183:14 187:16
134:6 139:17	93:6 98:10	16:24 80:21	189:8
149:24,25	101:12 102:20	85:23 107:9	auctioned
150:10,11	110:5 111:1,22	152:12	188:23
aps 5:5,5,7	118:18 120:25	association 85:3	auctions 188:22
arbitration	123:23 130:17	assume 111:1	august 1:16
66:17	134:17,18	116:8	17:19 51:2,24
arena 39:4	164:22 174:9	assumed 192:5	69:8 73:10
aren't 44:14	175:24 184:21	assuming 49:18	74:14 84:21
	185:6	191:23	90:12 165:5
		I .	

170:2 174:10,13	averages 19:6	89:8 102:21	83:4 85:4,5 86:7
178:6,24 179:6	avoid 17:4	109:5 110:16	86:8,9 91:4,9,11
179:6 182:24	aware 21:7	112:16 118:23	91:13 92:5,25
183:13 188:16	25:13 28:5	119:3 156:9	93:13,22,25
195:25	52:13 53:5	157:19 158:18	94:1,3,4,6,16,18
authority 49:5	70:22 75:17	160:25 161:13	95:8,12,13,14
50:18 54:16	97:9,10,12	161:16 167:5,11	95:16 96:4,6,7
77:24 85:13	116:24 163:5	167:18,23 168:1	96:13,14,24
86:16 91:13	164:24 174:3	168:16,18,25	97:22,25 98:22
133:6,24 134:11	axelrod 10:5	169:20 170:4	99:2,4,8,10,12
135:1 146:10	azman 7:7 15:18	171:1 189:3,11	99:14,19,19,22
156:5 157:6	16:6 32:21,21	189:23 191:16	99:23 101:12,13
171:4	32:24,25 33:7	193:9	101:21,21,23,24
authorization	54:22,22 70:4,4	backdoor 66:25	102:10,13 105:5
92:18	72:18,18 104:15	bad 30:21 190:7	105:7,25 106:3
authorize	104:15 105:2	balance 4:11	106:7 107:19
111:24 134:17	108:16,16	30:10 78:2 86:2	108:8 114:25
authorized	110:11 140:22	133:9,13,21	115:20,23 116:2
46:25 47:2 74:4	140:24 142:18	134:1,5 135:25	118:12 119:8,23
85:9 86:22	142:18 153:15	138:24 177:6	120:2 121:23
134:3 170:25	153:15 156:7	balances 3:19	140:8 141:15,17
authorizing 2:1	157:6 166:3,3	83:10,13,15,17	144:15,16,18,24
2:17,21 3:1,3,13	167:12,12	92:11 136:10,15	145:2,3,17,19
4:5,8 5:6 51:17	175:20,20,23	179:14	149:10
60:14 63:5	180:15,18,18	balancing	bank's 143:25
automated 81:8	183:16	174:17 185:9,12	banker 2:14
84:23 85:3	b	balluku 10:4	68:20 73:18
automatically	b 1:21 46:23	bank 8:19 22:14	176:15
53:17 160:14	87:1,2	25:15,16,17,19	bankers 71:16
available 28:25	ba 12:12	25:19,19,22,25	bankers' 71:16
34:24 41:21	baba 11:5	26:1,2,5 30:8,14	banking 64:5
82:21 85:20	back 16:9,17,19	30:21,21 39:11	94:11 97:24
86:2,5 158:21	16:25 20:1 21:4	39:20,21 42:7	98:5,17 107:10
161:9 162:21	22:9 23:5,22	43:13,13,14	bankman 19:9
174:14	24:23 25:20	46:24 47:5,5,18	20:23 21:1
avenue 6:5 7:4	27:7 37:4 42:21	47:19,21 49:5	bankruptcy 1:1
8:8	44:4 46:7 66:8	49:13 56:8	1:12,23 25:2
average 149:24	72:9,14 74:1	79:18 80:1,18	28:1,5,8,10,22
150:11	80:9,10 83:3	80:20,21,24	29:1 32:20
	00.7,10 03.3	82:17,19 83:2,2	33:14 34:2 35:2
	T 7 '4 4 T		

112:9 130:8	47:3 48:21 50:5	22:14,25 79:7	174:10 181:10
91:11 93:9	31:19 36:12	benefit 17:2	172:21,22,24
basically 55:18	28:24 30:25	132:6	172:5,5,11,12
150:7 175:16	26:3,7 27:5	113:19 122:5	171:2,8,15
139:7 142:21	believe 15:7	60:6 78:19	170:16,24,25
130:17 136:15	behavior 131:24	42:8,23 59:7	169:14,17,18,25
122:15,20 130:3	186:19 194:4	belongs 28:10	164:16 165:15
119:20 122:11	177:9 182:21	belonged 43:2	163:15,23 164:6
91:2,25 117:21	156:24 162:9	105:9 121:23	162:5,13 163:10
83:15,20,23	141:12 154:10	41:20 43:3	21:11 37:14
37:2 82:23	124:4 133:15	belong 28:9	bid 20:25 21:1,6
based 26:19	116:25 121:25	beller 12:7	161:19
base 88:2 117:8	111:14 114:3,7	bellamy 13:5	154:7 159:13,18
barsalona 11:8	105:11 109:25	187:11	106:25 107:12
barney's 183:23	93:17 103:14	184:6 185:1	better 31:16
bar 4:22 104:7	77:22 84:8	173:14 174:23	bethesda 9:11
93:14 94:6	45:10 59:3	believes 121:2	170:5 181:10,13
bank's 49:10	38:13 44:23	believed 30:25	152:3 165:17
98:12 140:11,14	behalf 14:15	187:12 188:5	94:12 126:11
85:13 93:10	52:11 160:18	186:25 187:11	59:22 70:25,25
43:18 46:24	beginning 39:18	181:9 183:4	55:10,14 58:1
banks 39:13	bedrock 138:14	178:18 180:15	34:2 43:25
190:25	bears 22:10	176:8,9,25	best 20:22 28:25
178:21 182:7	bdl 9:2	157:16 168:9	113:25 145:12
161:16 177:25	bay 9:3	144:18 145:13	berlis 9:1
145:21 159:4,10	baudouin 10:6	143:1,5 144:14	berkeley 5:10
132:17,20 139:3	battery 80:4	138:2 140:14,19	12:7
131:2,25 132:10	152:5	110:1 134:13	benjamin 9:23
128:4 130:25	141:20 150:10	106:18 109:12	54:14 146:16
126:13 127:10	131:11 141:16	105:18,24	52:10 53:10,16
122:16 123:1,2	118:8 126:7	104:14 105:13	25:14 51:19
121:20 122:7,11	92:4 116:5,6	103:13,17	benefits 3:5
120:22 121:6,13	58:13 82:23	101:23 102:10	150:20 158:9,9
108:22 120:21	basis 30:6 50:19	100:16 101:14	134:1 145:14,19
86:23 87:1	basing 122:11	97:9 98:8 100:1	109:15 132:1
59:13 84:15	179:11 193:24	87:18 95:22	107:20 109:14
53:17,20 54:24	161:18 177:20	86:20,25 87:5	105:15 107:6,20
44:8 53:12,16	160:17 161:12	84:13 85:8	101:13 105:14
42:9 43:8 44:2,5	152:7 153:1	67:3 79:2 84:4	95:4 98:13,22
41:18,18,20	138:9,23 139:4	50:6 52:18 53:3	81:3,9 84:11

[bid - buy] Page 9

101.12 102.21	50.2 106.14	52.10.20.22	hyandryay 9,12
181:13 183:21	59:2 106:14	52:19,20,23	broadway 8:13
184:4,8,9	154:7 174:18	53:1	broke 23:3
bidder 171:24	190:24	books 136:13	broker 123:14
173:12 177:2	bitcoin 16:15	borrowed 19:16	brokerage 2:3
185:22 188:11	23:18 89:4,8	19:17	56:10 190:18
193:3	118:5,11,23	borrower 19:15	brokers 24:13
bidders 20:4	124:11 128:15	borrowing	43:18
170:21 175:9	135:21 154:14	40:14	brother 38:19
176:18,22 178:3	154:21 156:1	bostick 10:22	bruh 13:6
181:18 187:3	161:3 189:9	bother 159:24	bryant 10:13
bidding 4:16	190:17	bottom 37:6	btc 89:4,10,11
17:13,14 19:20	bitcoins 16:19	bought 16:18	89:14
31:4,5,9,23 32:4	black 125:3	119:3 130:13	bucket 54:1
44:25 45:4	blackline 164:13	bound 129:8	budget 46:21
70:18,23 162:15	164:13 172:12	bovenzi 9:25	build 160:16
169:5 181:23	blanche 156:4	bowling 1:13	building 103:20
186:22 192:18	blatantly 118:17	brad 10:5	161:12,15
bids 21:2 70:19	blockchain	brandt 10:23	built 186:5
163:8 170:2	138:19 146:16	braziel 12:6	burns 9:20
172:14 176:23	146:18 148:13	breach 90:4	business 3:16
190:7,8 192:23	148:15,16,19,23	breached	4:6 22:16 38:16
192:25	149:6	121:16	38:18,21 53:18
big 38:17 39:5	blockchains	break 147:9,17	55:13,14,18
73:5 137:9	136:3	147:22 148:5	57:6 59:19,25
140:3	blocks 146:18	breakneck	60:16 63:7 67:1
bigger 42:4	153:6	184:17	69:19 86:17
159:17 161:17	blue 154:15	breakup 170:20	87:3,5,22
161:19	155:25	brendel 10:24	103:20 104:12
biggest 26:21	blvd 9:10	brian 9:24 11:18	133:7 134:20
71:18	bmo 46:24 47:5	bridge 20:2	136:6 146:11
billed 128:4	47:20,21	brief 14:25 22:3	149:16 150:25
billion 19:6	board 57:9	32:22 108:17	151:8 158:5
56:11	157:18 164:3	110:7	170:23 190:15
billions 26:24	166:8 177:9	briefly 29:9,20	190:18 194:16
binder 164:12	181:15 186:20	185:18	butler 9:21
164:18	body 33:20	bring 189:22	button 94:3,17
binford 12:13	bond 2:5	broad 6:16	buy 71:5 81:12
biswas 10:10	bonds 50:20	184:13	89:8 92:14
bit 19:3 26:3	bonuses 40:18	broadcast 34:8	118:23 125:24
29:13 45:13	52:11,12,14,18		129:2 135:7
			127.2 100.7

[buy - cede] Page 10

139:6 189:9,21	canceled 23:24	42:16 44:2	81:2,4,7,15,17
buyers 176:21	89:7 123:8,19	52:11 54:18,24	82:6,7,9,14 83:9
181:4 183:5	125:4	55:1 56:16	83:10,16,20,23
buying 102:21	canceling 126:2	59:13 63:12	83:24 84:2,3,4,5
bvi 64:6,11,13	129:3,5 135:8	66:7,10 71:9	84:6,10,12,21
64:14	candid 101:20	72:5 74:7 75:20	85:14 87:19
c	candor 97:15	77:4,5 79:3 85:2	88:7,8,10,12
c 6:1 14:1 26:21	can't 55:17	86:6 89:18,19	89:19 90:7
65:16,20 66:12	58:10,10	89:24,25 94:3	91:23 92:11,12
67:4 85:21	cap 63:12,12	98:24 104:3	101:10 102:18
86:12 134:7	capacities	109:6 115:3	102:24 103:9,16
195:1,1	109:24	116:15 122:4,7	108:19 109:3,9
cable 32:13	capital 2:14	129:6 151:23	109:25 110:15
calculate 172:23	30:3,4 68:20	159:1 161:10	110:18,20 111:9
call 106:25	70:15,16 71:4,7	163:7 166:22	111:17,25 112:5
167:22 189:21	71:14,24 72:19	175:13 176:8,11	113:9,16,18
called 18:12	72:22 73:1,5,8	176:12,13 184:5	115:24 118:4,5
33:16 57:6,7	73:18 74:14	184:6,11,12	118:25 119:22
92:15	95:14	185:4,24 186:13	120:7,17,24
calls 159:5	capitalized	187:4 188:10,15	121:21,22,25
campaign 20:23	97:17	191:3 192:24	122:24 124:11
campaign 20:23	caps 64:20,23	194:13	127:11 128:15
64:12	cardholder	caselaw 130:24	130:3,13,21
canada 54:8	85:24	cases 17:9 28:3	132:12,24
117:2	care 178:1	36:17 67:12	133:10,16 134:5
canadian 9:2	careful 28:13	70:22 71:18	134:6 135:18,22
47:1,6 114:3	145:8	76:14 103:8	139:16,20,22
116:23,25 117:4	caroline 10:19	175:25 180:20	160:9 172:25
117:8,11,14	carrie 12:10	180:22 183:20	catching 33:8
141:12 182:21	carried 52:24	cash 3:14 4:11	category 126:5
cancel 89:18	carte 156:4	17:24 22:11	cause 75:21 94:3
123:8,21,25	case 1:3 3:22	25:23 26:1	153:7
123.8,21,23	14:23 15:20	30:23 36:25	caused 94:9
124.13,20,21,22	24:9,20 25:1,1	42:7 43:8 45:15	100:15,17
125:17,19 128:2	27:3,13,24	45:19,25 46:21	causes 18:24
128:5 135:10,16	28:16 29:21	47:1,4,9,25 49:2	36:24
135:21 138:15	31:13 32:3	49:5,6,12,18,21	caution 116:14
138:23	33:16 34:4,23	77:25 78:2,17	117:25 134:14
130.23	35:2,17 38:12	78:19,21 79:1,4	cede 26:14
	41:3,18,18	79:20,24 80:16	77:18
	1	t .	T.

[central - clark] Page 11

central 87:11	147:5 169:13,21	checking 92:5	104:23 113:15
98:9 142:10	170:12,14 171:3	chelsea 56:5	121:7,16 122:6
cents 21:4 23:9	171:14 172:7,20	cheryl 65:9	126:13 127:5,9
ceo 57:10	172:23 173:1	chester 12:12	128:11,15,18
certain 2:3 3:2,9	changed 68:6	chief 78:8	130:3 132:15
3:15,21 20:3	168:10 191:6	children 38:16	142:3,8,15
52:23 63:6	changes 31:6	chime 144:1	143:7,8,14,17
68:11 93:21	35:4 62:3,10	chip 154:16	143:24 144:5,6
99:1 102:18,19	65:2 66:4 68:7	155:25	144:14,15,22
133:6 148:25	163:24 164:9,16	choi 10:25	145:3,22 148:10
152:4	172:4,4,6,11	chris 11:4 44:21	178:16 186:10
certainly 32:18	173:3,4,6	183:10	claimants
72:12 74:21	changing 129:19	christine 6:10	161:18,20
102:11 141:5	chapter 30:18	45:9 77:22	claiming 113:15
144:10 145:6,13	35:18 67:12	105:10 111:13	claims 17:2 18:3
145:18 183:6	90:2 103:24	124:3 137:2	18:4,24 22:25
190:7 191:15	characterized	156:23 194:3	26:24 30:24
certifications	32:15	christopher 6:9	36:12 37:5
187:10	charge 68:4	9:8 10:8,12	39:15 42:3
certified 195:3	chargeback	44:22 110:14	58:23 65:16
cetera 161:3	84:23,25 86:11	162:9	103:5,18 115:10
192:2	chargebacks	chuck 33:2,5	121:11,18,18,19
chairman 24:16	49:11,15 85:8	circle 72:9	122:8,25 131:25
challenge 47:11	85:12,16,20	circuit 19:21	132:3,18 136:13
47:16 140:8	86:11,18 87:8	circulating	140:16 141:4
188:14	87:10,25 100:15	32:11,12	142:16,20
challenged	100:19 101:1	circumstance	159:10 178:16
140:5	102:2,6 142:20	101:20 106:22	194:13,14
challenging	143:1 144:7	circumstances	clarification
49:14	charges 57:19	59:23 152:14	46:17 47:21
chambers 62:1	charity 50:20	190:22 191:3	clarifies 68:3
62:25 65:4 69:6	charles 7:15	citibank 92:1,2	clarify 63:15
74:21	13:15	126:23,24	105:22 116:21
chance 70:8	charlie 13:19	claim 4:23,23	clarifying 31:7
72:23 159:13,18	chart 158:2	26:24 43:13,25	172:19
change 24:18,20	chased 144:25	56:24 58:1,8,10	clarity 58:18
43:14 64:20,23	chat 127:2	75:21 90:3	109:11 119:5
104:25 111:11	check 46:5	100:4,5,5 101:9	193:22
112:4 128:9,10	92:16 157:25	101:21,23,25	clark 13:10 50:7
130:25 131:23		102:10 104:7,11	50:10,10,14

[clark - committee]

Page 12

51:14 54:5,5	client's 130:11	collaborative	command
60:2,10 61:4	clients 29:19	15:24	150:18
class 63:25	climbed 23:18	collaboratively	commencement
114:1	clock 27:10	63:15	103:8
clear 16:20 21:5	close 21:6 22:13	colleague 50:1	comment 16:6
21:24 22:5 26:7	23:23 25:11	61:5 76:7	51:23 64:19
32:9 35:3 41:7,8	26:4 135:25	106:14 153:18	113:24 114:21
53:14 62:1,4,15	136:3	154:6	124:2 145:12
74:9 79:2 97:12	closed 30:16	colleagues 33:2	170:13 188:4
104:2 106:5,21	47:20	68:9 96:21	comments 14:11
119:3 122:23	closely 91:16	98:11 167:15	24:8 27:23 31:6
124:5 125:4	93:1 152:2	collect 79:12	37:21,24 38:1
126:7 129:6	156:25 157:17	97:5 115:25	45:22 51:1
130:24 134:16	closer 191:4	collecting 26:22	60:21 61:20
135:10 136:16	cnbc 20:24	college 39:9	63:9 65:22
138:13 145:23	code 28:10 29:1	colvin 10:11	67:25 68:24
149:2 169:23	41:20 44:5,8	come 22:9 46:22	69:1 78:15
170:8 172:14	53:17 86:23	53:25 56:18	110:6,7,8 111:5
173:11 174:8	87:1 108:22	72:14 93:21	171:18 173:10
clearer 105:17	120:22 121:13	106:11 117:18	180:19 188:3,10
129:17	122:11 123:2	126:13 132:25	194:1
clearing 81:8	126:13 130:25	137:14 156:9,14	commercial
84:23 85:3	131:2 132:10,17	160:23 169:20	8:19 79:25
clearly 58:16	145:21 159:5,10	179:23 192:6	80:17
100:3 105:8,12	coi 126:15	comes 56:18	committed 25:3
105:13 110:19	coin 15:15 39:9	62:20 71:4	committee 7:2
129:14,18	111:15 112:16	comfortable	7:10 15:9,11,14
144:12,13	135:22 146:20	70:9 115:11	15:20,21,25
clerical 173:4	150:4,5,6	153:23 165:19	16:2,3,8 21:9,23
clerk 14:2 46:5	158:18 160:22	174:15 175:7,17	22:2 26:18,22
46:9,11 48:7	172:25	176:14,19	27:16 32:22
137:17,22	coin's 150:7	190:12	33:1,15,20,24
146:22 147:4,10	coinbase 40:25	comforting 44:9	35:19,25 36:1,5
147:13,16,18	coinify 5:7	44:13	36:6 45:20 46:7
167:2,24 168:3	coins 23:1	coming 20:15	46:14,17,20
168:9,23	146:17 149:4,8	79:1 137:19	51:1 54:3,23
clerk's 167:9	149:23 150:3,4	comingled	59:19 60:7,22
client 29:15 97:5	150:10,12,14,16	107:25 109:15	61:20 63:9,10
115:25 130:6	152:1,4 157:1,2	comingling 84:1	64:9 68:25 70:5
187:15	158:3,13,20		72:19 73:7,24

	-		
76:19 78:10,12	companies	complete 62:16	41:15,24 42:1
78:15 104:1,13	117:5	135:19 138:21	59:13 69:7
104:16,18,21	company 2:13	161:25	72:19 127:9
108:17,18 109:2	17:1,8,23 18:12	completed 89:15	164:24 165:2
110:15 140:20	18:13,13,16,19	completely 17:9	189:25
141:24 142:19	19:15 21:9	91:17 127:18	concluded
150:24 151:7	22:25 23:4 25:2	135:17	194:19
152:3 153:12,14	38:23 39:2 40:8	complex 180:1	conclusion 14:9
154:3,10 155:22	40:20,25 42:9	compliance	35:21 109:3
156:25 157:5,17	53:11,11 68:19	46:23	conduct 4:11
157:18 162:2	69:18 86:6,8	complicated	35:20 78:3
166:4,21 169:6	114:9,18,18	72:25 176:24	173:22 183:14
169:7,19,22	116:23 117:4	186:14	187:15
170:9,18,20	118:17,25 119:5	complies 47:10	conducted
172:2,8 173:5	119:17 125:12	comply 99:1	108:22
174:20 175:21	132:3,4,4,6,14	component 72:4	conducting 36:4
175:23 180:6,11	132:16 155:8	72:22 73:20	conference
180:19 183:3	157:8 160:17	components	93:18
184:10,11	161:2,13,16	90:21	conferring
committee's	162:10 181:4	comprehensive	93:16,17
15:17 36:9	183:4	36:2	confidence
105:2 146:11	company's	compressed	87:21
169:20 171:23	159:9 160:5	174:12,19	confident 68:22
committee's	company's 86:5	190:23 191:5	confidential
75:1	comparably	concept 96:22	26:10 192:25
common 3:10	188:14	98:8	confidentiality
94:2 107:5	compared 76:18	concern 70:5	178:4 181:19
145:21 151:21	154:21	71:15 84:19	confirm 26:19
commonly	compelled 145:5	141:13,18	36:22 74:17,20
154:15	compensation	144:19 156:8,13	93:13 143:10
commonplace	3:4 4:2,5 23:25	182:23 183:2	185:20
136:7	51:19 54:14	concerned 21:22	confirmation
communicated	61:14,15 62:15	29:2 115:24	4:19 27:9
176:16	62:23 64:21	190:3,11	158:15 164:25
communicating	complaint	concerning	165:7,10,12
85:12	110:17 131:6	69:15 114:14	166:13,24 174:6
communication	173:22	193:9	confirming
147:19	complaints	concerns 21:7	181:7
aammunitu	173:15	21:25 22:22	confirms 68:5
community 14:25	1/3.13	21.23 22.22	commins 00.5

[conflict - costs] Page 14

conflict 24:15	consolidation	61:11 69:4 78:4	conveyed 82:11
conforms 77:10	117:15	86:16 87:6,23	convinced
confused 21:21	constitute 47:9	133:6 135:1	142:13 182:10
confusing 58:19	84:8 141:15	144:14 146:10	182:11
62:22	constitutes	146:24 150:20	conyers 64:13
confusion 22:6	47:14 84:5	153:11 160:15	cooperate 36:7
153:7	constrained	160:15 184:24	cooperation
connection	179:14	continued 39:18	33:9 169:6
28:19 61:23	constructive	54:11 86:24	cooperatively
64:7 69:14	59:17	87:15	174:19
71:23 75:1,18	consult 170:19	continues 32:3	coordinate
80:23 124:8	consultation	33:11 45:25	74:21
144:3 158:15	181:9	54:12	copy 15:7
160:21 161:5	consulting 15:18	continuing	copyright 64:1
185:10	74:19	48:10 86:17	cordasco 13:13
consensual	consumer 111:9	142:8 151:15	cordearo 8:6
68:24	consumers	157:14	193:7
consent 46:17	180:12 184:11	contract 90:4	cordero 55:23
63:11 64:20	193:8	120:22 121:16	corner 167:4
154:3 169:19,20	contact 25:11	142:14 143:4,18	corollary
170:9 173:5	93:22,22 95:8	151:2 191:22	169:23
consequential	contacted	contracts 123:1	corporation
114:16	140:11,13	145:23 155:9	25:8 80:2,19
consider 19:12	contemplated	191:23,24 192:5	correct 49:7
29:5 37:24 38:7	52:18 74:2	192:8	94:21 96:20
131:15 181:3	contempt 14:6	contractual	101:6 118:6
188:7 192:15	contention	143:15	124:25 136:15
consideration	120:23	contrary 25:11	139:5 144:10
28:13 114:11	contest 31:5	contributing	160:6,18 168:15
considered 57:2	contested 15:1	146:15	correctly 91:8
90:6 104:13	context 19:4	control 94:14	118:3 136:10
193:10	32:20 43:14	controlled 36:23	146:1
considering	66:11,12,19	79:17 94:1	correlated
48:24	111:17 121:20	convene 21:23	183:19,24
consistent 36:5	122:3	convenience	correlation
44:7 66:22	continue 2:3 3:5	27:18	184:21
108:21	3:14,16 4:13	conversations	costs 40:16,16
consolidated	20:16 30:25	176:15,18	150:21 157:15
62:6	32:4 34:20	conversely	159:11 183:2
	51:18 54:18,20	81:24	
	21.10 2 1.10,20	J 1.2 !	

[could've - cred] Page 15

could've 26:12	100:20 103:20	75:6,15,17,25	154:7 156:3,9
counsel 2:18	108:20 114:10	76:8,12,14,22	156:14 157:21
28:2 33:1,15	117:7 133:7	76:24 77:6,13	158:6 159:2,4,6
36:1 50:11	134:14,20 135:2	77:15,20 80:3,9	159:22 160:7,11
58:15 61:10	136:6 139:24	90:20 91:2,7,19	160:19 161:11
64:1,3,3,7,11,13	142:9 144:7	92:1,13,22 93:5	161:15,22 162:7
64:14 74:25	146:11 149:16	93:13 94:14,23	162:11,23 164:4
76:11 92:23	158:4 175:2	95:6,13 96:5,16	164:7,19,22
93:14,18 109:20	181:9	97:4,15,21 98:4	165:20,25
114:6,7 116:25	court 1:1,12	98:17 99:7,16	166:11 167:1,3
120:8,20 122:13	14:7,10,12,17	99:19 100:10,12	167:10,20,22,23
140:20 141:4	14:18 15:3,4	101:1,8 102:14	167:24 168:7,7
143:25 145:14	17:12 18:2,9	103:3 104:6,23	168:12,12,17,24
154:12 175:23	19:11,13 21:12	105:4 106:8	169:3,7,12,20
177:13 181:24	21:17 23:7 24:6	107:11,15	170:10 171:3,7
181:25 183:3,3	25:6 27:20,22	108:11 110:9,12	173:2,10,21
187:4 188:5	29:9,19,20 32:7	110:23 111:2,4	174:9 175:22
191:13	32:9,13,23 33:5	111:7 112:1,20	177:15 178:21
counter 31:3	36:13 37:10,17	112:23 113:5,8	178:22,25 180:1
190:13	37:21 38:1,6	113:22 114:21	180:4 182:9
counterparties	41:4,6,11,11,15	114:23 117:20	185:19 188:1
175:9 191:22	44:12,18 45:2,8	118:2 120:8,20	190:2 191:18
192:9	45:11,16 46:4	121:6 122:22	192:12,20,25
country 155:17	46:12 47:25	124:17,19 125:6	193:6,15,23
195:21	48:5,13,20,22	125:15 127:13	194:15
couple 18:7 20:2	49:4,20,24 50:3	127:15 129:7,21	court's 14:6
34:13 50:6 66:4	50:9,13,22 51:6	130:22 131:15	courtcall 166:9
120:17 151:19	51:9 52:2,3 53:5	131:17,21 133:4	courtroom
163:18,24	53:8,14,22 54:3	134:11,16,23	120:12
165:24 173:10	54:16 55:9,22	135:13 136:16	courts 87:2
183:11	56:1 57:15,25	137:5,11,25	court's 77:10
course 4:6,12	59:10 60:4,24	138:4 139:1,6	cover 17:12
16:15 18:16	61:1,13 62:12	139:10,25 140:3	25:21 86:2,5
35:9 45:6 50:20	63:2 64:18 65:1	140:17,20 141:9	101:17
53:18 60:16	65:5,12 66:1,9	141:24 142:13	coverage 2:4
63:4,7 67:2,7	66:15,22 67:5,9	143:12 145:20	craig 11:17
69:6 71:25	67:18 68:14	146:5 147:2,8	created 30:14
73:11 74:7 78:3	69:10 70:15	147:12,15,21,24	creates 101:18
78:21 86:17,18	71:2,9 72:13,16	148:2 151:15	cred 33:16,18
86:22,25 87:24	73:6,15 74:9,22	152:15 153:13	

[credit - customer] Page 16

credit 138:24	184:3,10,10,14	82:2,5,10 84:8	custodial 79:7
credited 73:1	185:14 190:5	85:10 90:6	109:13
crediting 72:21	credits 85:5	92:14 102:5	custodian 82:3
creditor 8:2,7	critical 55:4,7	103:4,6,13	custodians
9:9 18:3 26:21	148:13	111:15,23	81:23
33:20 42:3	cromwell 6:14	112:12,14	custody 82:3
43:13,17,25	cross 41:8 90:11	113:11 118:6	customer 4:10
57:2,3,12,13	126:9 131:13	129:2 130:12,13	4:12 15:14,21
58:1,9 99:23	132:23 162:21	133:8,14,18,23	16:22 18:3 21:9
115:10 117:22	162:25	134:4 135:3,7	22:1,22 25:25
117:23 121:7,16	crosswise	136:2 146:13,15	30:9,19 35:7
121:18,19	166:24	148:14,17,20,21	41:2 43:2,23
122:25 123:24	crypto 15:15	148:23,24 149:1	58:3 60:4 77:25
128:11 132:18	16:13,25 17:24	149:2,4,13,15	78:1,4,24 79:9
132:24 141:17	24:16 30:11,22	149:19 151:1,3	79:12,16,19,20
180:25 184:5,6	30:23 33:13,18	151:20 152:16	79:21,22,23
185:1,23,24	35:12,15 36:25	160:12,21 161:3	80:13,14,15,24
192:22	37:3 38:22	176:25 179:24	80:24 81:6,12
creditor's 36:1	39:20,24 47:15	193:11,20 194:5	81:15,24 82:21
43:10	47:15 56:21	194:7	83:9,13,15,24
creditors 7:3,11	103:14 108:19	cuban 39:3	84:3,5,8,17,21
26:18 30:1	109:22 113:1	cure 191:21	85:1,24 87:20
35:12 36:14,23	138:10,18	192:1,11	88:2,7,19,23,23
38:11 39:16	148:12 155:5	curious 158:9	90:7,19 91:25
41:22 42:5,13	171:20 181:3	192:22	92:11,14,16
43:10 55:11	183:7 186:9,12	currency 58:9	93:24 94:7,8
60:6 64:9 89:25	186:13 188:4,6	158:13	95:3,6,7,12 96:5
90:9 115:7	188:25 189:1,2	current 133:23	96:6,6,13,14,17
121:14 132:1,2	189:2,3,7,10	158:1 164:8,13	96:22 97:2,6,7
132:8,16 141:23	cryptocurrenci	178:22 185:7	98:23 100:21,21
144:8 159:6,13	43:9 154:15,16	currently 32:6	101:10,17 102:1
159:18 161:10	154:17 155:25	37:1 54:7 56:17	105:7,8 106:1,3
161:14,18	cryptocurrency	61:25 103:9	106:3 107:25
166:20 171:23	4:10,13 19:5	104:8 114:7	110:14 115:21
172:2 174:20	24:3 42:21 43:2	120:24 122:24	115:22,23,23
176:7,13 178:10	43:7,22,24 56:6	124:11,22 135:4	116:1,12 119:17
178:10,14,17,17	56:14 57:4,13	138:5 150:3	119:22 120:7,15
179:18 180:6,7	58:4,9,14 59:7	153:24 154:5	120:17 127:12
180:12,17	60:5 78:1,4	194:6	130:21 133:8,12
181:22 182:2	81:13,21,22,25		133:13,15,15,25

[customer acadmi	~]		ruge 17
134:4 135:2	102:24 103:5,15	dagnoli 8:1	135:23 136:12
136:1,10 138:22	104:9 105:25,25	10:14 37:16,18	142:4 169:1,23
139:16 140:7	106:6,6 107:20	37:25 38:3,8	174:7,10 176:20
144:17,18	107:21 108:3,6	41:5,10,12,14	191:4,16 195:25
149:17,18,19	108:9,14,20	44:16 53:9,9,15	dates 4:17,22
158:10 178:17	109:6,10 111:24	53:21,24 55:10	37:4 164:22
customer's	112:17,19	55:20 58:2	165:1,3,6,7,11
130:11 138:12	113:19 116:8	158:8,8,16	165:12,13,14,15
138:16,24	121:23 134:2	159:3,20	165:16,19
customers 15:15	136:14 139:20	daily 19:6 83:7	166:12,13,15,18
15:21 17:1 18:1	139:21 140:1,11	83:18 84:15	166:25 169:4,8
19:23,24 20:9	140:14 142:2,5	88:16 92:4 93:3	175:19 183:21
21:4,13,24 22:6	144:20,23,25	94:18 100:20	184:22 190:3
22:10,24 23:7	154:22 158:14	dallas 7:13 39:4	david 11:13
24:1,19,23 25:7	158:18,21 159:1	damages 127:7	12:16
25:23 26:6 27:7	159:15,16,18	130:15	day 14:21 16:18
28:6,15 29:16	161:5,6 175:14	dan 10:9	17:6 19:4,19
29:18 30:13,15	176:6 193:18	dangerous	20:21 49:9 54:7
30:22 31:1,20	194:12	130:8,20	57:23,23 76:14
32:1,5 33:23	customers' 59:3	daniel 13:16	83:12,15,16
34:2,9,21 35:8	83:17	darren 7:7	84:24 89:3
35:12,16 37:6	customer's	32:21,25 54:22	91:20,20,23,25
37:18 38:10	79:24 80:16	70:4 72:18	93:5,10 106:10
39:15 40:12	82:11,17 83:1	104:15 108:16	106:10,10
41:16 42:5,8	85:4,5 88:21	140:24 142:18	133:21 140:7
44:5 49:6,12	100:8	153:15 166:3	145:4 150:5,5,6
54:25 55:11,24	cut 36:20 40:16	167:12 175:20	163:6 170:4
58:22 59:4,7,14	60:11 166:8	180:18	171:9 178:9
59:15,22 78:19	167:4,5,5,6,11	dashboard	179:4,11,11
78:20,25 79:5	167:16	137:18 168:4	180:2 182:5
79:10,15 81:1,3	cuts 167:20	date 2:15,23 5:8	187:18 191:16
82:9 83:19,23	188:6	17:2 20:13	days 14:21
84:12,13 85:10	cutting 40:15,16	21:19 34:6,7	20:15 89:22
85:13 87:18	55:16	46:4,7,22 53:16	103:7,15 144:21
88:3,10,14	d	53:19 58:3 68:6	150:17,18,25
89:23 93:23	d 14:1 149:19	85:7 87:14,20	151:8 170:18,23
94:19,20,24	dadson 8:6	88:11 89:15,22	171:10 179:2
95:5 96:10,11	193:7,7,17	103:15 119:22	de 52:12
96:15 98:25	1,7,5,1,1,1	126:4 129:4	deadline 170:2
99:3 102:9,17		135:6,9,17,20	174:10 178:23
	Varitant Lac		

[deadline - deficit]

Page 18

			-
179:3,3,4,7	183:21 187:2,11	111:24 112:12	debtor's 85:10
182:18 183:12	debtor's 28:2	116:6,7 117:1	debts 178:16
183:15 187:14	32:6 35:11,19	121:23 123:25	december 38:24
187:23 191:9,13	35:23 43:6	124:4,7 126:17	decent 179:23
191:14	134:2	127:19 133:5,11	decentralized
deadlines 4:17	debtors 2:1,10	133:14,14,17,19	155:3
4:18 169:25	2:10,23 3:3,13	133:22 134:3,25	decided 90:1
170:8 182:15	4:8,18 28:20	136:1,4,6,8,9,12	103:22
deal 19:23 28:25	29:17 31:10,12	138:20 140:25	decision 28:23
28:25 29:16	31:14,20 33:10	141:2 146:10,12	88:16,17,19
44:1 102:6	35:2,6 36:6,11	149:3,12,14,15	89:22 114:15
105:6 108:12	36:18 44:23	149:18,22 150:3	decisions 154:4
112:24 179:25	45:10 46:15,19	150:9,19,24	declaration 65:9
dealing 27:6	46:19,22 48:10	151:9 152:10	65:11,13 68:3
73:18 75:20	50:11 51:17,18	153:9,23 154:1	78:7 149:22
102:19	54:3,6,7 57:19	154:24 156:11	declarations
dealings 66:10	58:2,5,8 59:19	156:12,14,21,24	3:10 67:14,17
deals 170:15	60:13,14 61:10	157:10 158:24	67:19,21 75:3,5
dealt 132:18	65:19 68:4,21	162:14 165:4	75:7,9 162:19
177:25	70:6 73:24	169:16 170:16	162:24 163:1,1
debate 28:18	75:22 76:11,13	170:17,19,25	163:2
debit 86:7	76:15,21 77:5,7	176:15 177:5,13	declaratory
debited 85:6	77:13,23,25	178:6 179:16	115:4
debits 86:11	78:8,17 79:2	181:24 184:17	declined 24:12
debt 71:3,12	81:1,21 82:18	187:4 193:2	85:16
debtor 1:9 6:4	82:22 83:3,12	194:4,6,7	decrease 59:5
28:3,9 31:25	83:18,21,23	debtors' 45:15	deem 136:4
32:10 41:20	84:4,7,14,16	45:25 46:24	deemed 14:6
42:23 43:3	85:8,11,14,18	47:4,19,20	121:13
46:16,16 52:13	85:19,22 86:1,7	50:18 55:7 58:4	deems 88:22
74:25 84:20	86:15,20,25	58:13 63:7	deeply 35:16
87:2 91:5,15,20	87:5,9,16,17	70:10 77:24	default 87:10
92:16,17 104:12	88:1,3,13 89:17	78:18,19 81:7	defending 63:25
104:21 105:5	89:21 90:1,4,5	81:13,17,18,25	defenses 103:18
114:25 120:25	90:12 91:10,10	82:1,2,6,12 83:4	defer 71:25
122:5 127:1,2	91:12,22 92:3,8	83:20 84:6	74:13
127:20,22 131:4	92:10 103:5,6,8	86:14,23 87:12	deficiency 36:24
156:9 178:3,12	104:3 105:11	87:21,22 88:2	87:8 145:25
178:16 181:8,10	108:23 109:2,8	88:16 89:13	deficit 84:21
181:18,20	109:9 111:14,18	103:3,8,24	101:2,13,15
		1014	

[deficit - directly] Page 19

139:14,17	88:24 109:14	98:19	29:6,8 168:14
140:18 141:1	138:9,12,15	designed 17:16	168:14 177:3
142:1,4,7	172:21,22,24	21:14	185:17,17,20
defined 69:16	deposited 43:12	destroy 177:20	difference 31:11
	79:24 80:16	· •	
definitely 96:21 126:4	83:1 136:2	destroying	31:18,19,25 32:2 43:7
		59:25	
definition 97:7	depositing 58:23	detail 25:22	143:19,21,22 182:12
107:7 109:12		31:22 72:24	
154:24	depositor 56:6	108:24	different 15:19
definitions	57:4	details 34:18	24:14 29:17
97:18,19	depositories	determination	74:2 80:6 89:24
definitive	47:3	64:22	90:20 96:1
158:24	depository	determine 104:3	101:4 109:23
defraud 152:11	46:25 98:1	122:24 138:11	113:14,16 118:6
defrauded 40:11	deposits 25:15	138:19 152:3	128:23 151:19
40:12 41:25	82:5 135:3	determined 5:8	155:1,17 167:16
42:6 121:2,17	138:7,11	35:14 42:16	172:15 174:2
delay 168:20	depreciation	88:13 89:1	192:2
177:20	133:23	120:21	differently
delays 89:1	dermont 11:23	determining	127:11,24
delegate 171:4	162:19,21 163:2	140:16	difficult 33:21
delegating 155:5	175:6	detriment 90:9	89:21 117:19
delivers 81:20	dermont's	detrimental	160:1 179:24
delivery 142:24	185:16	55:5	dig 164:18
demean 121:8	desai 12:14	devastating	digest 187:24
democracy 9:10	describe 123:5	160:3	digital 1:7 2:19
demonstrate	described 91:12	develop 163:11	24:13 56:18,24
87:2	128:11 149:21	174:24	59:4 74:25
denied 24:17	155:4	developed 163:9	111:9 114:4
denise 12:23	describes 95:17	development	diligently 27:10
denmark 54:8	describing 91:4	53:5	direct 49:11
deny 132:24	127:23 131:10	dial 80:5	98:25 107:19
denying 130:2	descriptions	dialed 168:16,18	176:17
department	32:15	dibattista 10:15	direction 158:24
7:17 64:2	deserve 37:6	didn't 61:1	directly 30:13
depending 73:4	deserved 27:7	66:16 67:5 97:6	42:8 60:7 94:19
deposit 25:8,13	design 108:25	97:18 104:6	95:8 113:19
25:20,23 26:9	designate 171:7	dietderich 6:19	119:24 155:2
	designate 1/1./		
42:7 79:23 80:1	designated	19:10 20:1	159:16

[directors - dying] Page 20

directors 57:9	disputed 131:4	78:23,25 129:1	56:25 57:18
disable 135:25	disputes 116:11	162:13,16	59:2,15 66:18
disagree 18:21	disputing 49:10	163:18 164:10	69:11 71:19
180:9	distinct 63:24	dockets 78:13	72:23 73:6 74:4
disagreement	distinction	162:20	74:18 95:19,24
28:18 106:11	186:2	doctrine 143:6	96:1,1,9,11 97:9
disagreements	distribute 22:13	document 4:25	97:10 98:3
18:19	158:14 161:4	95:17	101:14,19
disclosed 54:6	176:13	documentation	103:22
disclosure 4:19	distributed	27:11 114:13	dotson 55:23,23
27:11 165:3,5,8	35:12 54:2	documents 5:6	56:2 57:18
165:12 166:14	108:20 132:7	36:8 62:17,21	58:17
166:16 169:2,3	159:9,9	105:21 175:5	double 153:5
169:8 171:24	distributing	dodd 12:8	doubt 175:18
disconnected	36:25	doesn't 28:9	douglas 11:21
61:9	distribution	42:23 49:11	167:17
discovery 131:6	35:17 41:21	doing 14:16	downtime
discretion 88:22	117:14 136:14	17:22 24:11	152:23 153:2
89:2 91:18	158:21 161:10	25:3 39:3 66:25	drafted 105:12
169:17 170:17	176:7	75:20 92:3	drafting 106:25
173:16	distributions	94:20 121:2	drag 17:9
discuss 28:13	16:9 112:13	130:19 132:19	drive 8:3 27:1
72:12 73:6	175:14 176:5	139:1 152:10	176:4
90:24 171:2	district 1:2	155:12 161:13	drop 168:13
discussed 16:8	183:20	168:3 175:14	dropped 166:9
22:7 63:22 98:8	divided 121:14	189:14	168:4,5,6,15
111:16 169:21	diving 15:1	dollar 21:4 89:5	drops 161:20
169:24	doable 27:5	133:9 143:20	192:16 193:1
discussing 67:1	docket 18:11	189:10 193:10	ducks 48:11
69:11 74:7	21:19 34:7	193:21	due 50:23 84:22
discussion 20:25	45:18 48:19	dollarize 17:1	152:22
22:10 90:22,23	50:17 51:3,7,16	dollarizing	dunn 12:15
185:11	51:20,24 52:2	22:25	duplication
discussions	60:13,18,20,25	dollars 18:7	63:23
18:14 32:16	61:17,18 62:7	30:2 47:6 56:12	dupree 10:7
45:20 73:23	63:5 64:11 65:8	79:23 80:15	duress 120:6
dismantle 55:18	65:17,21 67:13	113:3	duties 106:23
dispute 116:10	68:2 75:3 76:13	don't 48:23	dying 80:4
131:9	76:15,16 77:6,7	49:20 50:7	
	77:8 78:5,6,9,14	52:13 53:12	
		I.	

e e 1:21,21,22 6:1 6:1 14:1,1 34:14 195:1 earlier 20:24	effectuating 91:16 efficient 62:8,18	emotional 130:5 employ 2:8,13	engaging 152:9 english 125:3
6:1 14:1,1 34:14 195:1 earlier 20:24			,
195:1 earlier 20:24		5:10 54:9 67:11	129:6
earlier 20:24	effort 163:16	employee 3:4,5	ensure 36:2
	efforts 15:25	51:18 52:4	54:10 82:20
60:11 64:8	174:12	59:10	83:8,16 100:8
105:23 141:4,14	ehrlich 11:24	employees 24:2	100:24 136:10
144:19 169:24	23:10,14,20	24:4 52:21,22	148:17
180:15	24:1,3 38:24	54:13,17 55:3,4	ensuring 85:19
earliest 31:17	40:17 149:21	55:17 57:17,21	107:23
early 25:12	ehrlich's 23:4	59:15 93:7	entailed 106:20
70:20,21 72:7	eight 150:3	employment	enter 51:7 52:2
141:19	either 35:22	2:17,21 23:13	60:24 76:22
earmarked	55:13 81:8	64:5	77:2,13,16
86:14	89:17 95:25	encourage 60:6	110:4 171:12
earn 17:9 52:23	104:21 115:11	encouraged	entered 4:25
53:1 149:7	153:24	18:17	30:6 51:19
earned 53:16	elements 156:18	endeavor 14:19	60:18 64:17
149:23 158:11	eligible 24:9	ended 100:4	65:17 76:14
earning 33:18	elizabeth 13:7	145:5	77:6 156:21
earnings 39:1	elle 10:25	endorse 131:23	163:2
earns 149:11	ellis 2:8,9 6:3	endorsement	enters 35:10
easier 45:3 62:8	14:15 44:23	169:7	entertain 14:10
144:23	45:10 50:11	ends 31:13	entice 112:3
east 8:4	61:10 67:11,21	186:4	entirely 95:24
eastern 83:11	76:11 77:22	enforce 104:24	entitle 99:8
echo 104:5	120:1 123:4	enforced 101:5	entitled 70:2
ecosystem	124:4 162:9	enforcement	88:7 131:4
148:12	194:4	104:10	entity 9:2 56:12
ecro 1:25 147:5	else's 100:6	enforcing	56:22 57:6
147:15,19	email 34:11,12	143:14	69:20,20 75:2
effect 49:17	34:13,17	engage 46:15	109:17,25 114:3
115:4 171:10	emanuel 2:18	114:6 135:1	116:24,24
effective 2:10,15	35:20 74:25	136:6 140:12	117:14 141:12
2:19,23 5:11	75:14,18 76:2	156:15	141:18
effectively	emerald 172:18	engaged 84:14	entry 45:19
102:13 154:4	173:8	112:14 188:13	47:24 51:17
effectuates	emerging 25:2	engagement	epiq 34:14
88:24	emery 7:1,9	20:15 68:11,16	epiqglobal.com.
30.21	15:18 32:25		34:16

equal 41:21	113:20 115:8	130:9 132:5	exchanges 4:11
131:25	128:17 132:13	160:2 168:17,24	78:3 133:10
equally 88:11	134:1 152:4	everybody's	excuse 73:13,13
121:14 132:7	156:13 158:14	184:25	76:16,24 189:5
180:1	159:11 160:23	evidence 65:11	execute 148:18
equitable 79:3	161:4,15,17,17	65:13 67:17,19	executed 89:4
120:2,4 126:11	161:18,19	67:22 75:5,7,9	executes 81:12
130:18,23	179:16 194:8	99:11 118:9	81:24 133:13
equitably	estate's 116:7	119:4 123:6	executive 78:8
122:20 123:19	estates 71:1	125:13 126:18	exhibit 127:3
equity 5:7 19:18	78:19 150:21	126:20 162:20	exist 26:24
30:2 71:3,6,12	et 161:3 192:2	162:24 163:3	105:20
equivalent 47:1	ether 23:19	evidentiary	existence 26:9
eric 11:9,14	154:15,22	126:21 131:16	existing 3:16
erica 13:10	ethereum 16:16	131:18	exists 86:10
50:10 54:5 61:7	160:14,23,24,25	eviscerate	expect 17:18
essence 139:1	161:1,3	184:18	19:11 35:1,25
essential 142:11	ethos 57:7	exact 18:8	36:17 37:5
essentially	european 5:5	122:13	38:25 40:3,6
55:10,15 62:20	64:5	exactly 17:7	66:6 176:23
72:25 98:22	evaluate 45:25	20:9 22:1 23:2	194:13
101:11 107:17	105:19	70:9 92:18	expectation
124:1 134:19	evaluated	93:13 98:10	80:25 98:21
143:14	155:23	126:4 156:6	expeditated
establish 61:15	evaluating	187:24 193:16	188:13
79:7 98:12	140:15 182:12	examination	expedited 19:22
105:6	evans 13:14	162:22	175:11
established	153:18 154:7,9	examine 162:25	expense 3:18
33:24 105:18	154:9 156:17	example 25:18	22:23 170:20
107:5 108:1	evening 61:18	42:21 69:19	expenses 3:5 4:2
109:14 165:11	event 25:16 26:1	126:23 156:18	61:16 68:4
183:21	69:14 86:4	159:11 170:1	85:22
establishing	96:18,24 99:3	184:2	expensive
3:21 4:1 105:14	100:4 116:11	excess 47:1	176:11
107:22 164:25	139:22 150:8	exchange 19:5	experience
estate 30:20,25	everybody	24:3 81:19,20	17:22 33:14
35:7,15 42:11	14:12 24:5 41:9	82:4,4 123:14	37:3 155:12
43:9 58:14	42:16 43:20	149:6,11 172:4	183:6
59:18 84:9,14	80:10 115:13,14	179:25	experienced
103:14 109:4	123:5 128:5		40:10

			\mathcal{E}
experiencing	103:14 104:2	factual 118:9	98:19 99:5,21
167:10	108:14 122:6	131:5,8	100:2,18 101:2
explain 36:13	124:21 125:16	failing 154:19	102:17 103:9,17
58:15 69:25	128:3 131:3	180:23	104:8 107:3,4
107:12,15	132:22 134:19	fails 25:20	107:16 108:15
123:10 129:10	139:13 142:16	failure 25:17	108:24 109:3,13
138:7 159:2	143:18,20	26:2,2 30:1 87:9	109:21 110:8,9
163:25	151:25 152:8,10	96:18,24 99:5	110:13,16,21
explained 26:10	180:4 190:5	fair 36:2 121:12	111:25 113:10
58:1,3 113:2	191:22 192:7	121:13 179:15	113:16,18
explaining	extra 178:7	182:7	115:24 116:2,4
44:17 55:20	extract 30:24	fairly 120:7	119:1 121:21
159:21	extreme 128:9	fallen 100:16	128:16 139:13
explains 44:10	152:14	falls 126:4	139:16 141:14
44:13	extremely 32:15	false 17:10	fdic 22:9 25:9,12
explanation	122:17	familiar 19:11	25:14,25 26:11
44:9,13	eyes 189:14	family 24:2,4	39:10 96:17
explicitly	f	38:15,17 160:3	98:16 99:5
105:21 106:2,5	f 1:21 195:1	fang 13:2	107:6,7 108:4
115:22 149:18	face 55:16,17	far 33:10 43:6	109:12
exploring 16:8	facilitate 148:14	73:23 95:15	february 23:5
70:24 72:2	facility 30:6,13	142:21	23:15
exposed 193:13	30:17	farcical 119:13	federal 25:8
193:19	facing 33:22	fashion 14:5	47:10,14 80:1
exposure 155:24	fact 23:14 24:11	fast 17:21 36:17	80:18 171:20
expressed	24:14 38:23	127:8 184:7	federally 25:15
141:13 182:23	42:14,24 107:8	faster 17:25	25:19
expressly 21:7	110:21 114:22	174:21 184:12	fee 61:24 69:13
47:12,17	120:5 126:13	favor 117:11	69:23,24 70:11
extend 191:10	127:6 128:10,10	140:21	70:12,12,14,16
extended 178:5	129:10 132:12	fbo 4:9 45:3	71:7,23 72:20
extension 46:22	137:1 166:4	48:25 49:6,18	73:2,2,25 74:3,3
178:7 179:20	177:1 188:13	78:22 79:6,7,8,9	170:20
187:22	factor 38:23	79:11,13,14,15	feel 21:22 34:21
extensive 108:22	188:7	79:17,20 85:17	40:5,6,11,22
extent 18:24	factors 175:16	85:21 86:12	42:15 53:25
20:3 26:9,12	facts 23:7 88:13	88:4,6 89:16	57:8 59:2
28:8 35:6 36:11	120:15,16 122:2	90:13,23,25	115:15 122:1
59:14 69:6 88:7	120:15,16 122:2	91:24 92:15	128:12,20 132:9
94:5 96:12	122.10	97:4,21 98:5,10	180:16
		I	

[feeling - food] Page 24

feeling 27:23	61:17 62:5 63:4	finalized 74:13	76:14 84:24
128:8	64:11 65:8,20	finalizing 68:21	90:24 99:22
feels 43:20	65:23 67:13,24	finally 24:24	121:4 131:18
173:12,13	70:7 75:2,11	26:14 27:1	163:6 164:20
fees 2:3 3:2 17:9	76:13,15,20	182:4 187:13	165:3 170:13
60:15 66:6,7	77:5,7,12 78:5,6	finance 155:3	172:6,13 180:5
69:21,21 70:3	78:12,14,23,25	financial 2:14	180:13 183:12
70:13,13 71:14	110:17 125:23	5:11 64:3 73:19	186:22 189:3
71:15 72:22	151:11 162:14	176:17 187:1	fiscally 40:10,23
73:1 74:12	162:20 163:6	financing 71:3	fish 188:19,21
151:4,6	164:2,12,14	71:12,12,15,20	five 26:17 40:1
fell 189:12	165:5 172:3	71:21 99:11,12	52:19 106:1
felony 140:12	175:6 178:5	find 95:23 97:18	147:8,19,21
felt 59:1 115:11	184:22	116:5	168:2,19
fiduciary 106:20	filing 34:6 50:23	finding 47:9,14	fixed 148:23
118:14 119:15	50:24 52:13	171:19	fl 8:9
119:16	53:11,11 68:8	fine 15:4 51:12	flexibility
fight 19:25	84:16 141:16	68:17 76:3 77:1	156:22
figure 18:8	159:5 178:23	77:16 141:5	flexible 59:5
24:22 92:10	190:3	147:20 169:10	flip 189:11,11
180:2	filings 154:25	172:1 177:13	flipping 22:21
figured 177:19	183:22	finger 186:1	floor 8:13
177:23	fill 123:20 141:6	finish 100:12	flow 46:21
figures 58:19	143:3	finished 100:13	flowed 81:4
file 34:18 51:10	filled 89:12	139:4 177:13	flows 91:4
61:17 90:2	123:6,9,18	finishing 139:2	fluctuate 189:7
99:10,12,12	124:15,22 125:1	finra 24:12,12	focus 20:8,25
158:17 166:14	125:2,4,11,17	24:17	focused 26:21
166:16 171:17	125:24,25 126:3	firm 19:8 56:4	136:8
175:5 178:25	126:3 128:1	113:25 114:1,1	foertsch 9:18
179:2 182:9	129:2,3,4,5	114:13 123:11	folding 40:4
190:4	135:6,8,9,17	firms 63:21	folks 34:13
filed 4:14,20	final 2:1 3:1,3,8	first 14:21 16:18	166:21 180:1
16:3,23 19:20	3:13,21 28:23	17:6,6 19:4 23:8	185:4
21:19 30:18	46:2 50:18	25:2 28:21	follow 183:25
33:16 41:17	51:17 52:1	29:21 34:5,20	followed 94:17
45:17 48:15,18	60:14 62:3	35:6 40:13	following 88:15
48:20 50:17,25	74:11 76:12,16	44:24 49:9,16	181:6
51:2,16,22,23	76:22 77:2,4,9	54:7 56:3 58:3	food 19:25
60:13,20,20	77:14 170:2	63:19 66:6,8	

[foot - generating]

foot 184:24	frankly 95:24	fulfill 89:17	144:18 151:23
force 21:9	106:17 128:21	126:22,24 138:4	further 87:17
129:15,16	166:20	fulfilling 125:23	99:10 111:4
forced 111:19	fraud 39:18	129:1 130:7	132:25 194:11
112:9,22	44:11,15 85:24	135:6	future 84:6
foregoing 195:3	152:20	full 30:1 62:14	104:22 150:8
forever 42:18	fraudulent	87:19 88:12	154:1 193:11,11
forge 146:18	39:15 49:14	97:23 108:3	g
form 77:2 113:4	136:4 140:13	fully 35:25	g 14:1 137:20
149:7 162:15	152:9,19	68:24 185:24	gain 114:2
163:14 172:16	freeze 83:7	193:15	games 20:22
181:3,4	119:25 126:4	function 94:6	garrett 183:22
formal 61:19	129:4 135:6,9	98:1 174:22	gas 184:24
63:8 65:23	135:17	functioning 96:4	gastelu 10:12
67:23 75:10	french 13:11	functions 103:2	gates 30:15 83:6
76:20 77:11	fried 19:9 21:2	fund 30:8 81:16	84:18
115:1	fried's 20:23	84:6 133:17	gavin 11:2
formalize 17:14	friel 11:9	funded 30:12	geared 17:18
formally 95:15	friend 19:12	39:17	27:8
formed 15:9,10	friendly 34:12	funding 40:21	general 43:12
22:2	front 24:18	funds 58:23	60:5,9 71:15
forms 3:16	26:16 43:4	79:9,12,14,17	90:23 98:17
172:15	58:12 157:19	81:7 82:20	103:5 115:7
forth 20:1 27:3	158:2 165:9	83:21 84:20	116:5 156:20
70:23 154:25	171:1	85:4,19 86:2,4	159:12,16,17
185:16	frozen 56:7	90:19 91:4,21	166:7 173:11
fortune 189:23	58:20 126:17	92:9 94:4,9 95:4	176:25
forward 27:13	149:14 150:22	96:12 97:6,7	general's 186:19
150:10 152:5	157:11	98:2,23 99:21	generally 34:3
155:21 193:22	fruit 109:5	99:25 100:1,3,6	95:5,15
194:11,17	ftc 126:12	100:8,17,22	generate 71:17
found 181:12	fti 15:18 21:22	101:17 102:1,17	83:12 149:13
founded 19:9	176:17	104:8 105:1,9	150:20 157:7,10
four 16:15 62:21	ftx 19:2,3,5,10	105:15 106:24	157:14 163:7
150:4 165:6	19:14,18,20	107:25,25	generated 150:1
168:7	20:1,6,16,16	114:25 116:1,7	157:9
fourth 36:17	21:8 41:1 177:3	116:7 118:10,13	generating
france 54:9	ftx's 19:24 20:13	118:22 119:2,9	134:5 149:24
frankel 13:12	20:21	119:17 138:14	157.5 177.27
		141:20 144:17	
	Veriteyt Leo		

generations	global.com.	119:2,21,25	grad 19:9
56:18	34:15	120:1,4 124:17	graff 9:6 13:1
gensler 24:16	glueckstein 9:24	137:9,15,23	113:23,25
george 9:22	go 18:9 39:24	140:15 142:2,3	116:20,20 118:1
gerardo 13:3	44:20,20 53:13	143:22 146:25	141:11,11
getting 26:20	92:1 93:24,25	147:7 153:17,18	145:11,11
28:11 40:17,17	95:9 100:11,12	154:20 155:21	182:20,20 188:2
115:8 167:4,5	102:21 107:14	158:18,22 160:5	188:2
180:16	107:15 111:7	160:16,17 171:3	grammatical
gibbs 7:15 13:15	113:2 121:5	172:6,22,23	173:4
33:3,4,5	126:24 135:13	173:24 174:1,25	grant 51:6 59:12
ginger 9:14	138:1 145:5	176:4 177:1,24	134:24 146:5
111:8 159:23	150:10 151:12	178:8 180:2,14	171:8
188:18	152:4 159:16	181:1,3 182:11	granted 49:16
giorgio 9:25	164:19 169:4	183:18 184:4	49:19 85:14
give 43:15,15	171:11 174:25	187:21 188:23	88:9
55:25 114:11	186:17 188:22	189:10,13,16	granting 2:5 3:2
129:23 131:11	189:2,2,4,11,12	190:16 192:5,14	3:6,11,17,19,23
147:4,17 154:3	189:19 193:13	193:22	4:3,13,19 5:7
156:21 178:10	goal 36:22	golden 11:25	126:7 132:15
179:18 181:17	163:13 181:2	good 14:12	grayson 13:17
182:18 187:23	190:14	30:20 32:24	great 39:3 54:15
190:12	goes 18:1 22:24	33:4 45:9,11	118:9
given 23:12 44:4	38:22 88:23	50:3,10 63:2	greater 172:24
49:5 82:21 94:1	186:13 193:9,12	76:2,9 77:16,21	green 1:13
118:5 132:8	going 14:19 15:7	87:3,5 141:9	greene 11:10
133:23 139:7	17:7,11,20,21	151:18 168:2,21	gregg 13:18
149:23 151:25	20:15 24:5,18	171:13 190:6,21	gronkowski
gives 132:11	24:21 37:14	191:7	39:3
143:2 149:25	40:14,20 44:23	gotten 157:4	grounds 121:1
186:23	45:13 50:14	176:14	group 5:10 8:11
giving 21:3	53:25 54:2	govern 98:5,10	114:8
156:4 172:1	56:17 59:12,24	123:1 163:20	guerrero 12:24
187:13	66:8 70:14	governed 95:16	guess 38:4 40:4
glantz 10:16	73:17,19 79:1	government	48:15 53:12
gleamed 22:4	84:18 94:10	24:15	92:5 112:25
glenn 26:16	96:2 97:13 99:4	governs 95:23	141:19 147:4
glitch 148:7	108:12 115:6,14	123:2 170:7	173:25
155:20 156:1,2	115:14 116:9	grace 12:9	guidance 50:22
167:7 171:9	117:21 118:7		68:8 72:1,8

156:22	hannong 20.16	72:17 90:18	heart 116:15
guide 164:15	happens 28:16 35:13 36:16	108:13 110:13	heartache
0	41:19 53:16	115:12 120:11	153:16,19
guy 189:22	101:20 132:3	127:16 141:10	·
h			heartbreaking 21:20
ha 10:3	152:22 180:24	156:10 158:7	
hadn't 70:8	happily 100:15	161:23 165:20	heartburn
hage 11:6	happy 27:14	167:24 168:11	186:24 187:14
half 56:11	59:22 69:7	177:2 181:24	heels 23:15
hall 34:5,8,10,18	85:15 90:15	185:18 188:1,25	height 23:22
34:20 180:14	111:14 114:19	192:10,18	held 4:11 22:11
halls 34:1,20,24	164:15 173:7	193:15	22:14 23:11
halt 57:20	177:12	hearing 2:1,1,8	37:7 58:4 78:2
hand 37:1 61:5	hard 15:12	2:13,17,21 3:1,1	78:17 79:4,15
76:6 148:14,17	38:20	3:3,8,8,9,13,13	79:17 81:2,9
handful 67:25	harder 104:24	3:21,21 4:1,5,8	82:2,20 84:2,3
handle 57:12	hardship 54:15	4:16,22 5:4,10	84:11,12 89:14
156:7	harm 144:7	14:5,21 19:4	94:4 95:4 99:21
hands 24:23	harmed 29:25	21:3 27:9,9	105:9 106:16
handy 164:6	harwood 7:12	28:19 31:8 38:9	110:16,21
hang 80:5	hasn't 73:15	41:11 44:17	120:19,24 122:5
122:22 137:24	hat 185:22,23	46:2 48:5,6,12	122:24 124:6,7
hanging 109:5	hats 19:14 73:16	49:9 50:2 56:3	133:10 145:17
hanyecz 16:18	haven't 51:9	58:11 62:3	145:18 179:5,12
happen 28:16	95:17,20	65:21 66:8 69:8	180:5 190:17
36:9 59:21 91:9	hazy 122:17	73:10,12 84:24	hello 136:22,23
92:20 101:16	heads 39:7 40:5	104:5 126:21	help 19:17 29:10
102:3,3 103:1	healthy 40:7	131:5,18,18	29:18 30:19
108:21 137:16	hear 16:22 20:6	133:1 136:20	34:2 154:7
139:3,22 151:16	21:5 27:15 38:4	137:6 146:4	158:10 160:17
190:21	46:6 50:12	163:13 164:11	163:11,20
happened 37:7	51:11 56:10	166:8 167:13,16	helped 30:13
92:19 121:1	74:23 111:4,6	167:20 168:19	helpful 81:4
139:2 142:4	136:22 137:1,1	169:3,9 174:11	102:15 172:18
155:16 167:13	137:23 153:13	175:2 178:9	helping 130:6
167:18 191:2	153:21 162:10	179:1,8 182:10	helps 29:16
happening	175:21 177:11	182:14 190:5	149:6 172:20
32:17,18 34:3	177:15	191:16 193:1,12	hendershott
34:22 141:3	heard 27:19	hearings 4:17	9:15
	34:22 55:22	32:13	herring 26:4
	59:11 70:10		

[hesitant - honor] Page 28

hesitant 20:5	102:8 105:15	48:2,8,18 49:6,8	113:23 114:19
hey 137:3	106:23 112:12	49:23,25 50:5	116:20 118:1
hi 98:20	119:17 124:11	50:10,21 51:4	119:21 120:10
high 23:10	135:21 144:3,17	51:14,19,25	121:5 124:3,12
55:12 189:20	161:1 194:6	52:5,15,20 54:5	124:18,25
higher 159:19	holdings 1:7	54:22 55:23	125:20,21 126:6
highest 20:21	2:19 5:5 15:15	58:17 60:2,10	126:15,16,23
181:10	16:9 43:8,8 89:4	60:17,23 61:4,8	127:1,4 129:18
highlight 68:8	151:16 157:22	61:11,22 62:8,9	130:2,19 131:14
highly 186:9	160:12 183:23	62:24 64:16	133:2,5,12
hill 11:7	holds 79:9 81:22	65:6,10,24 66:2	134:3,8,13,22
hired 119:25	89:1	66:8,13,20	134:25 136:5,9
historical 79:1	hole 141:6 143:3	67:15,23 68:18	136:20 137:17
82:24	holiday 182:15	69:3,6,9 70:1,4	138:2,6 139:5,9
historically 81:4	182:25 191:14	70:7,22 71:8	139:15 140:2,6
82:18 149:15	hollander 68:9	72:1,14,18,23	140:22 141:11
153:11 157:25	164:25 183:23	73:11,16 74:17	141:13,23,25
158:1	hollerith 12:16	75:10,13,16,23	142:18 143:10
hit 84:10 100:15	holohan 9:16	76:4,5,10,21	143:25 144:9,19
100:17 175:19	home 27:1	77:3,12,17,18	145:11,16 146:2
hoc 52:11,17	hon 1:22	77:21,23,25	146:3,7,8,9,14
hold 24:2 34:5	honeywell 11:1	78:10,17,22	146:22,22
39:25 56:23	honor 3:14 4:8	79:6,19 80:8,12	147:11 148:1,8
79:3,12 97:6	14:14,25 15:5,8	80:12,25 81:3,6	148:9 149:1,21
98:2 109:25	15:8 16:5,11	83:6 84:3,14,25	150:9,16,19
110:8,18 115:25	17:11 18:6,10	86:15 87:14,17	151:10,11,13,19
117:21 118:5	19:1,4 20:8,18	88:1,5,9,12	152:6,21 153:15
119:18 133:9	21:16 22:4,24	89:21 90:10,15	154:9 156:23,24
135:4 138:8	24:7,24 25:5,13	90:17 91:1,15	157:19,24
144:13	26:14 27:14,17	91:22 92:7,8,23	160:20 162:4,4
holdbacks 61:23	27:18,21 29:8	93:11,15 94:10	162:8,10,12,17
holder 19:19	29:13,21 30:18	94:22 95:22	163:4,4,22,25
79:14 97:22,25	31:5 32:1,8,21	99:13 100:11	164:5,16,18,20
116:2 121:25	32:24 33:4,7,12	101:7,15 102:23	164:24 165:9,19
144:6 160:18	33:21 35:1,10	102:23 103:1,12	165:22 166:3,6
holders 16:9	35:21 37:2,6,8	104:15 105:10	166:17 167:12
146:19 148:9	37:13 44:20	105:11,22 106:9	167:14,17
holding 19:19	45:1,6,9,12,17	107:14 108:16	168:15,21
34:1 35:6 39:20	45:23 46:1,3,5,6	108:18 109:21	169:13 170:11
80:22 96:11	46:13 47:22	110:4,5 111:13	170:14 171:1,15

171:22 172:10	hour 168:7	35:8 44:10,14	173:13
173:6 174:18	hourly 68:6	52:23 85:7	improperly
175:17,20 177:8	hours 38:16	118:18	173:19
177:14 178:24	168:2	impact 55:2	improves 20:14
179:3,10 180:9	house 81:8	114:17 117:13	inactivity 153:2
180:18 181:14	84:23 85:3	138:22	inadequate
182:8,19,20,23	howell 12:1	impacted	101:17
183:9,10,16	hu 10:21	103:25	inartful 127:22
185:17 186:8,16	hugh 13:5	impair 171:25	include 34:17
187:23 188:2,17	hurtful 56:15	impaired 30:3	62:6 68:13
188:18 192:4,19	hurts 178:14	impartially 36:1	69:17
193:7 194:3,5	hyde 5:25 195:3	implement	included 47:7
194:18	195:8	131:1	67:2 68:10
honor's 106:12	hypothecate	implemented	103:23 172:19
145:1 170:7,13	58:6	31:11	includes 60:21
175:2 191:17	hypothetical	imply 49:4	76:19 77:10
honored 119:23	184:14	importance	144:4 162:15
120:18	i	56:16	including 20:4
honoring 86:20	idea 31:24 74:14	important 16:3	27:11 29:23
87:7	143:23 159:12	16:21 21:17	33:2 35:12 36:7
honor's 72:8	176:20 178:15	25:6 54:18	54:25 63:25
98:11	181:20 190:11	117:7 136:9	83:14 86:17
hope 14:15	ideas 29:18	148:12 163:10	87:7,24 103:19
20:14 33:10	identified 26:17	163:15,24	108:25 133:7
38:13 44:8,10	57:3 104:17	165:17 166:19	135:3 151:1
44:12,13 97:16	154:11,12	170:14 172:10	152:12 154:5
100:5 118:25	188:12	175:12 186:2,6	169:25 183:22
168:24 190:15	identify 188:15	186:15 187:1,8	194:14
hopeful 35:10	identifying	importantly	income 149:13
hopefully 22:5	191:21	17:23 37:3	150:20 157:10
33:20 34:18	idle 190:20	78:10 84:1,16	157:14
48:10 59:21	ignacio 12:24	103:22 109:16	incomplete
62:7 99:23	ignored 120:2	149:1,17 153:25	135:4 138:8
106:10	ignoring 130:14	impose 28:23	inconsistent
hoping 36:21	imagine 183:17	106:21	170:6
98:15	immediate	impossible	inconvenience
horse 171:8	30:22	18:20,21	191:10
host 34:20	immediately	impression 17:6	incorporate
hot 127:18	30:20 31:24	improper 36:14	51:22 61:20
	30.20 31.24	85:12 126:14	62:17,19 63:9

incorporated interests]			
incorporated	137:7 143:22	initial 33:23	intend 15:22
50:25 62:11	147:3 174:13	64:13 100:14,14	19:25 20:10,17
68:25	177:17	175:13	21:23 134:15
incorporates	individual 43:10	initially 50:17	149:3 169:5
45:21 68:24	93:23 94:24	51:16 153:16	intended 30:7
78:15	95:11 96:10	initiating 92:8	97:11 106:22
incorrect 136:3	109:17 120:15	input 55:25	107:1 132:1
increase 63:12	160:17	insider 52:9,11	171:25
161:8	individually	52:16	intending 23:2
incredibly 15:11	122:15 144:25	insiders 35:23	intent 18:16
15:24 55:2,4	individuals	36:10 52:19	80:25 105:6
117:7 183:8	52:19 54:8	insofar 115:23	107:16
incur 157:15	indulge 80:6	122:23 145:16	intention 28:11
170:16,25	industry 37:3	insolvency	32:12 74:10
incurrence	98:5,18,21	120:14	110:2 112:15
170:24	109:22 155:1	instance 28:21	interacting 94:7
indebtedness	182:25	institutions	interaction 25:8
117:3,7,11	inequitable	148:20	intercompany
indemnities	126:14	instruction 91:9	3:17,18 46:15
99:9	inexcusable	93:25 94:9	intercorporate
indemnity 99:20	126:14	instructions	117:3,6,10
143:15,18	infiltrate 20:11	91:20 93:21	interest 17:17
indicated 21:10	inform 180:25	94:16,17,20	22:17,18 26:25
22:6,10,15	informal 36:9	95:11	79:3 99:11
47:18	50:25 51:22	instructs 82:1	109:18 111:20
indications	60:21 61:20	82:13	112:3,7 113:4
17:17 22:17	67:25 75:11	insurance 2:2,4	121:15 126:11
indicative	information	25:8,13 26:9	132:16 149:11
165:15	33:25 34:8	43:15 50:16,19	152:4 170:5
indirect 108:7,7	58:21 63:16	50:21 51:7,11	172:9 173:13
indiscernible	99:3 108:8,10	80:1,18 96:23	175:8 178:11,15
55:6 62:22 65:9	110:20 117:1,3	97:1,1 98:16	181:1 184:19
66:18 68:15	117:6,8 118:14	108:2,4,6	interested 22:16
69:9,17,22 71:6	118:15 119:20	insured 25:15	166:21 170:22
72:5 95:4	122:16 151:1	25:16,19,25	170:22 176:21
100:10 101:2	157:1 178:2,18	39:10 96:17	interesting 17:5
110:24 113:7	179:13 181:17	integral 54:24	interestingly
117:2 121:3	181:22,23 182:2	intellectual 64:4	23:18 24:10
135:11 136:17	193:3 194:12	intelligent	interests 5:7
136:18,19,22,25		130:14	
		ral Calutions	

[interface - judge]

interface 98:25	investigation	issues 17:6	93:5,6,15,15
interfere 29:3	35:20,22 36:4,7	24:20 33:13,21	94:1,9,12 96:21
interfering	75:2	41:16 42:6,14	97:9,10,12
137:6	investment 2:14	42:22 49:21	98:10 99:13
interim 4:1	68:20 71:16	72:11 74:12	100:11 104:5
45:19,24 47:24	73:18 112:8	131:8 145:15	i've 48:16 57:3,5
49:16 50:21	151:21 176:15	176:2 177:19,23	71:16
51:20 60:18	investor 39:23	177:24 186:10	j
61:14,15,24	149:10	186:10	jared 11:23
62:2,5,15,22	investors 29:24	item 44:24	162:19 163:2
64:21 66:7	38:11 40:12	45:14 50:16	jason 10:15
76:15,18 77:6	involve 46:16	51:14,15 60:2	12:13,18
85:14	101:8	60:11,12 61:12	jean 12:20
internal 35:20	involved 85:13	63:3 67:8,10	jeb 8:16 110:25
international	95:18 162:1	68:18 73:12	118:7 120:10
2:9	175:9	74:23 76:11,12	124:12 125:22
interpret 130:1	involvement	77:4,23 162:12	jeff 9:19
159:25	151:5	items 50:15	jeffrey 11:12
interpretation	involves 146:14	68:21 69:5	jelisavcic 11:11
129:8	involving 47:15	it'd 71:2	jena 168:6
interpreted	irrelevant 17:6	it's 44:12 54:22	jenna 147:18
130:1	irresponsible	55:7 58:18,18	jeonghoon 10:3
interrupt 80:4	40:23	61:10 62:18	jeremiah 11:22
104:16 116:21	isn't 91:10 92:1	65:13 67:3,3,7	jeremy 11:7
120:20 122:10	98:11 99:23	68:10 70:1,4,7	job 20:8 121:10
124:17 146:23	issue 42:6 52:17	70:14,20,20	194:8
165:23	66:9 70:9 74:8	72:3,18,24 73:2	jobs 40:15
interrupted	84:23 92:17	92:6 96:1 97:12	joe 10:11 153:18
14:9	98:16 101:16	i'd 48:4 90:21	154:6,9 156:7
interruption	103:22 104:13	i'll 48:17 49:25	john 12:8
80:7,11 147:22	104:17,19	50:7 61:2,5	jordan 10:17
interruptions	108:12 110:10	62:13 63:20	joseph 10:23
41:8	114:14,24 115:4	68:17 76:2 80:5	11:8 13:14
intersection	140:3 155:10,23	80:9	josh 67:14
33:13	165:25 169:1,23	i'm 46:9,11	joshua 6:8 14:14
interview 20:24	176:10 177:10	48:14,15 50:14	joy 10:21
interviews 36:9	178:2 192:14	53:12 56:7 57:1	judge 1:23
invested 39:8	issued 25:9	57:12 58:10	26:16 122:9,19
118:10	94:15	59:12 60:7,10	147:18 167:2
		80:5,10 90:15	11,110 10,12
		pal Solutions	

[judge - largely] Page 32

168:23 169:10	keys 56:21	39:23,25 40:6,9	185:5 186:2,6
171:13 172:6	kicked 50:6	40:16,17 41:22	186:12,13 187:3
174:5 193:24	kids 39:9	53:2,10 54:1,1	187:5,6,10,11
judgment 59:19	kind 42:2 54:6	59:4 62:18	187:12,15,21,25
115:4	59:24 60:9 72:9	64:19 69:11	188:19,23,25
juewett 10:22	74:2 92:17	70:20 71:9,19	189:1,7,8,15,18
july 2:10,19	112:17 113:14	72:1,23 92:10	192:4 193:22,23
5:11 15:10	116:19 118:23	92:23 94:6 96:2	193:24 194:6,10
18:18 25:9	135:20 138:7,9	96:11 97:10	194:14
39:18 51:20	138:22 140:9,15	98:2 100:23	knowing 189:10
60:18 149:22	142:10 152:2,9	101:18,18,19	knowingly 26:8
jumped 23:19	152:11 153:4,5	102:5 103:17,24	knowledge 56:4
june 19:17	156:4 157:14	104:11 105:13	57:1,1 93:23
88:13 89:3,7	158:22 159:10	105:17,19,24	94:8 96:15
119:10 126:16	186:10	106:1,11,17,17	99:13 114:22
149:22	kinds 102:19	106:24,24	known 109:24
jurisdiction	kirkland 2:8,9	107:24 110:5	152:7
178:20	6:3 14:15 44:23	112:12,16	knows 101:15
justice 7:17	45:10 50:11	114:20 116:22	106:14 181:19
justification	57:11 61:9	117:10,18 119:1	187:4
130:4	63:24 67:11,21	122:1 123:23	kozlowski 11:13
justified 87:1	68:4,15 76:11	126:11 129:17	kurtzman 11:14
justifies 87:3	77:22 95:20	130:4 137:15	1
k	114:14 117:3	139:21 141:3	labeled 57:12
kaj 18:12,15,17	120:1 123:4	142:1,2 144:22	labs 18:12
18:17,22	124:4 162:9	145:15 147:13	lack 178:2
kaloudis 12:23	194:4	151:21 152:22	179:13
kalplan 11:12	kirkland's	153:9 156:12,14	lampert 12:9
katherine 11:20	67:24 68:5	156:17,19,20	language 47:8
katz 8:18 93:17	kirpalani 12:2	157:2,3,4,10,13	62:1,4,14 63:13
keely 12:25	75:4,9	157:18 158:14	67:3,4 74:11
keenly 28:5	knew 40:13	158:17 160:1,25	75:12 76:19
keep 30:24	118:22 119:24	164:7,11 166:11	77:11 106:16,18
132:2 142:11	120:1	166:20 167:24	107:9 129:8,10
148:15 160:15	know 14:18 18:3	170:4 174:12	172:17
166:22	19:11 21:2,22	175:7,16 176:16	large 114:17
keeping 25:4	28:15 31:24	177:16 179:15	117:13 151:25
key 22:4,5	32:10,17,19	180:12,17,21	largely 35:4
120:17	33:24 38:8,10	181:7,11 182:1	101 Soij 33.1
120.17	38:22,24 39:4	184:1,2,3,20	
	Varitant I as		1

[larger - loan] Page 33

langer 117.22	1.64 120.14	127.2 120.25	lianidatina
larger 117:22	left 139:14	127:2 128:25	liquidating
largest 19:18	167:4 168:4	130:3 132:23	30:24 133:8
38:11 140:11	leg 20:7 21:8	levitt's 108:12	liquidation 17:4
184:5,6 185:24	legal 17:6 24:21	118:2 120:21	26:15 36:23
late 48:21	41:22 42:25	127:3	64:7
latin 54:9	63:6,19 64:6	levitt's 89:18	liquidity 19:23
law 8:11 33:14	79:3 131:11	90:11,22	30:22 59:8
41:20 44:8 56:4	134:18,21	lexington 6:5	127:9 149:5
96:20 97:1	195:20	liabilities 69:18	lisa 8:1 10:14
113:25 119:12	legge 10:18	181:21	53:9 158:8
120:5 122:11	leisure 119:18	licenses 64:2	list 165:14
145:21 160:1,1	lend 58:5 149:3	lied 41:25	listed 23:8,17
lawrence 10:9	lender 19:16	113:13 118:20	112:7
laws 47:10,14	lending 149:2	lies 39:17	listening 27:24
171:20	length 63:22	life 56:7 118:19	lists 164:21
lawsuit 143:17	167:19	118:24	litigation 17:4
lawyer 113:25	lengths 118:9	likewise 22:12	37:5 64:1,1,4
132:21	lent 30:5	limbo 42:17	115:15 155:17
lawyers 18:1	lesser 42:4	limit 47:2 85:23	little 9:14 38:17
37:22 62:18	leticia 9:17	88:16 168:7	45:13 58:16
94:11 121:10	letter 18:15	limitation 143:9	69:24 70:20
laying 57:22	25:10 68:11,16	limitations	110:24 111:6,8
layla 12:17	69:12 110:17,18	66:18	111:8,19 112:2
leadership 40:9	letters 21:18,19	limited 4:14,20	112:21,25 113:6
leading 89:22	22:5,15 27:2	98:7 119:9	113:21 154:7,25
lean 175:19	41:17	125:12 126:8	159:23,23
183:4	letting 120:11	176:20	160:10,13
learned 30:3	let's 45:2 92:13	limits 89:1	161:12,21
33:12	level 96:23	108:4,6 140:4	174:18 179:18
leases 192:5,8	114:18,18	157:21	188:18,18
leave 101:11	186:11	line 33:1 92:24	189:22,22
106:9 148:22	levitt 8:12 88:5	137:22 162:21	live 137:18,22
153:25	88:6 89:3,7,24	lines 137:18	169:6
leaving 55:4	90:3,6,8,10	lipton 8:18	llc 2:13 5:11
133:17	110:25 111:2	93:16 144:10	75:1
led 15:18,18	118:3,7 120:11	liquidate 4:9	llp 2:8,9,18 6:3
17:15	120:16,24 121:2	78:1 90:5	6:14 7:1,9
ledanski 5:25	121:25 122:9,22	133:25 134:4	loan 30:4,5,6,12
195:3,8	123:3 124:13	liquidated	30:13 58:25
	125:1,9,22	133:22	

[local - matter] Page 34

local 64:3	184:16 188:24	madison 7:4	marcus 6:9
locations 39:6	189:15	mail 178:6	17:12 20:18
lock 151:22	looks 68:17	main 190:13	44:22,22 45:6
locked 39:19	137:20	maintain 2:5	70:24 162:6,8,9
locking 146:14	loose 31:13	3:15 47:5 57:23	162:12 163:4
locks 59:5	loosely 106:18	86:2 87:20	164:5,10,20
149:10	loranger 12:10	maintained	165:22 166:2,17
lockup 150:4,5,5	lorraine 46:4	22:19 79:25	168:21 169:10
150:6,7,12,14	137:11 167:22	80:17 86:8	169:13 170:11
150:16,17 151:4	lose 189:19	104:5	171:6,13 173:20
151:25	losing 104:20	maintains 80:20	174:5,17 182:17
logged 34:7	loss 64:5 185:15	maintenance	183:10,10 186:1
loi 18:19	losses 85:23	57:23	188:12 191:15
long 34:21 39:24	lost 21:22 22:7	major 20:25	192:4 194:18
39:25 40:7	59:2	173:3	mark 13:6,12
109:23 141:19	lot 16:12 21:2	maker 81:19,20	39:3
148:3 178:25	24:8 27:24 28:4	82:4,5	market 23:22
179:20 182:10	28:6 33:8,14,19	making 32:14	81:19,20 82:4,5
186:9 190:4,8,8	41:16,23,24	54:11 90:2 92:4	133:24
longer 56:14	42:1 43:17	102:13 128:9	marketing 39:6
58:23 135:25	153:16 175:8	174:22	64:6 112:15
176:6,6,10,11	176:8,14 181:17	male 135:11	190:24
190:19	193:18	maloney 10:1	markets 2:14
longmeadow 8:4	lots 14:19	man 41:2	68:20
look 39:12 40:7	177:19	136:19,22,24,25	martin 12:11
40:25,25 49:22	low 109:4	137:1,3,7,9,13	masaro 11:15
70:8 71:12 74:1	150:19 157:9,12	137:15	mason 25:22
78:22 94:10	157:16	management	master 81:17,18
100:1 115:14,14	lowest 20:14	3:14,22 45:15	82:6,8,19 83:4
120:14 122:19	lows 40:1	45:19,25 47:9	83:21,25 84:2
126:10 130:23	lure 39:15	48:1 49:2,5,21	86:14 91:24
139:15 175:23	lysbeth 9:22	56:11,19 77:4,5	material 69:17
188:19 194:17	m	81:14 82:1,1,13	matt 11:16 12:4
looked 39:22,23		85:14 93:2	matter 1:5
51:12 76:3 77:1	m 31:10	management's	14:13 33:23
77:16	m5m 9:4	30:7	43:9,12 91:19
looking 71:3	ma 8:4	manner 114:16	94:2 113:11
96:21 110:7	ma'am 41:2	183:14 193:19	129:21 130:23
115:2 127:21,23	mad 23:23	march 23:5,10	140:4 145:15
179:4 182:17		23:15 25:12	159:17 173:11
	Veriteyt I ed		

[matter - million] Page 35

193:10,17	mcb's 141:4	179:22 182:6	185:21 191:24
matters 15:1	mcbfbo 92:11	184:18	merely 141:17
64:4 67:12 68:9	mcb's 91:17	means 17:22	186:23
matthew 8:12	mcclatchy 77:10	25:15 58:7	merging 160:25
11:15 12:3	mcclintock 12:3	62:20 113:14,15	merit 36:12
110:25	mcdermott 7:1	116:4 119:16	156:10
mavericks 39:4	7:9 15:17 21:22	125:2 129:11	message 167:8
maximization	32:25 33:2	149:12 150:20	168:10
59:17 163:16	154:10	154:14 155:7	metropolitan
maximize 20:9	mcfb 87:9	157:13	8:19 22:14
21:15 163:14,21	mcfbl 78:18	mechanics	25:22,25 26:1,2
190:14 194:8	mcfbo 77:25	151:3	26:5 39:11
maximizes	79:2,4 81:2,5,14	mechanism	79:25 80:17
176:2	81:16 82:8,10	35:14	mew 1:3
maximizing	82:14 83:4,9,13	mechanisms	miami 8:9
112:18 174:24	83:17,19,22,25	116:10	michael 1:22
179:15	84:3,4,5,7,10,17	meda 75:18,21	11:19 12:5,15
mc 4:9 49:10,13	84:20,22 85:2,4	media 16:12	13:13
82:19 83:2,4	85:20 87:19,25	18:14 26:11,19	micheli 11:16
91:4,9,10 92:5	88:8,10 89:19	meeting 21:23	middle 172:22
143:25 145:17	90:7 92:9	178:8,10 179:6	middleman
145:19	102:25 133:17	179:11,22 180:5	155:8
mcb 78:10,11,15	133:18	180:14,24	middlemen
78:23 79:7,8,10	mckelvey 11:2	183:18 184:23	155:10,23
79:13 81:1,9,15	md 9:11	megan 10:1	mike 10:18
83:12,18,21,24	mean 38:20,25	member 24:10	168:11
83:25 84:2,11	39:2,5,12,13,14	80:1,18 184:10	milestones
84:12,14 85:18	39:17,19,22	members 15:15	27:12
86:14,16,21,24	42:3,18,20,23	15:25 24:2,4	millbrook 8:3
87:6,10,14,15	44:3 97:11,25	26:17,22 57:11	milligan 12:17
87:24 91:15,17	98:18 99:22	104:18	million 16:20
91:22 92:10,21	106:9,16,19	membership	17:23,24,24
92:22,24,24	116:21 121:7,8	24:11,17	18:7 19:7,15,16
93:7,12,17	129:11 143:25	mention 16:5	22:13 23:11,12
96:18 106:2,4	156:10 165:9,22	18:11 26:15	23:12,14,16,20
110:17,20	180:5	103:1	23:21,23,24
113:10 133:19	meaning 68:3	mentioned	25:18 26:5,24
139:24 140:23	97:5	19:13 62:10	30:5,11 38:15
140:25,25 142:6	meaningful	116:22 157:8	39:8 69:13,21
143:3	178:13 179:9,21	163:12 185:10	69:21,24 84:22

[million - move] Page 36

86:14 88:3	modified 74:6	monthly 62:7,19	101:4,4 102:16
109:5 117:11,12	165:8	63:12	104:7 108:13
134:6 139:17	modify 2:4	months 23:4	109:4,8 110:6
140:19 144:11	169:17 192:20	25:12 38:25,25	111:23 112:23
149:25 157:9	moelis 2:13	40:13 179:17,18	113:17 118:2
172:25	17:15 68:19	185:5	121:21 123:8
mind 166:13	72:12 73:7,16	montreal 46:25	124:8,14,14,19
mine 125:2	73:24 74:10,18	47:5,21	125:5,6,8,23
189:17	162:19 163:9	morning 14:12	126:9 127:19
mineola 195:23	174:23 175:7	32:24 33:4 45:9	129:9,13 131:13
minimis 52:12	176:16	45:11 50:10	132:23 133:6
minor 53:5	moment 27:22	83:19 169:22	134:10 139:11
minute 22:9	55:25 63:21	179:5	139:23 140:21
123:14 125:14	70:17 71:14	morrissey 7:22	141:10 146:6,9
147:8,21	98:9 101:21,25	13:9 48:2,2,8	146:24 147:6
minutes 29:10	106:13 110:10	52:5,5 63:14,22	148:6 149:4
137:8 147:14,19	127:10 140:17	66:2,2,23 73:13	151:14 153:16
168:19	164:17 167:19	73:14 75:16,16	158:7 160:8
misconstrued	168:18	morrissey's	161:23 162:5,6
26:13	momentum	67:25 68:22	162:13 163:13
misinformation	163:7	motion 2:1 3:1,3	164:14 166:10
16:12	money 22:7,22	3:8,13,21 4:1,5	179:23,25 182:4
misleading	30:12,15 38:20	4:8,16,22 5:1,4	183:22 184:13
39:14	39:5,19,20	14:8 22:13 43:4	191:24
misrepresentat	40:14,20,24	44:6,25 45:3,15	motion's 14:10
26:8	43:12 83:1	46:18 47:8,13	motions 35:9
missed 38:17	92:15,17 95:7	47:23 48:1,24	37:11,22 38:2,4
misunderstood	101:22 111:10	49:1,18 50:16	38:5 50:2 58:12
127:22	112:4 116:11	50:24 51:7,15	193:25
mit 19:9	119:18 126:25	51:21 52:3,8	movant 129:14
mitigate 130:15	127:8,8 143:4	53:15,18 55:7,7	move 17:25
mitigated 127:6	144:24 149:10	57:3,16 59:10	27:12,16 30:19
151:25	156:11,16	59:12 60:12,17	44:1 50:7,7
mixed 18:6	158:11 160:5,6	60:19 61:14	65:10 67:7 75:5
mode 83:7	160:7,16 181:2	62:13 63:4,5	94:9 165:14
model 146:17	189:18,19,19,20	76:13,25 77:6	166:18 168:4
148:9	189:21	77:24 78:6,9,13	170:3,4 174:21
modifications	monies 112:7	87:17 88:4,6,9	175:1,10,13
76:18 165:23	month 109:6	89:16 90:11,13	191:16
169:18,25	182:24 188:16	90:16,21,22,24	

[moved - number] Page 37

moved 85:11	155:4	network 146:16	140:9 151:22
91:21 92:15	nda 18:17	146:20 148:10	163:13 175:12
170:8	near 21:24	149:5 152:11,12	183:16
movement	nearing 148:4	152:25 153:7	notice 3:22 4:24
80:22 91:23	necessarily	networks	34:6,17 40:5
92:9	24:21 42:4 44:3	146:16 152:8	63:10 146:12
movements 91:8	88:22 104:19	never 18:21,22	148:25 150:25
moves 42:17	174:13 177:1	99:24 112:5	151:9 162:16
81:15 167:6	necessary 5:2	119:3 138:16	170:22 171:10
moving 15:2	18:25 27:5	153:9	172:13 173:24
21:16 61:12	87:20 138:21	new 1:2,14 6:6	184:14 192:9
92:12 158:25	163:19	6:17 7:5,20 8:14	noticed 90:12
170:6 174:16	need 24:20 28:7	8:21 18:12 19:1	noticing 15:6
175:3 184:7,12	42:13 47:4	37:20 40:20	65:16 66:16
194:11	49:22 54:9	64:2,11 146:18	notification 3:8
multiple 17:17	58:18 64:23	156:15	notifies 81:14
19:14 22:17	66:18 92:21	news 32:13	notion 165:4,7
70:2,12,13	106:11 134:11	88:17	notwithstanding
88:17 109:16	146:25 174:3	nicholas 6:12	49:17 105:20
118:20 163:5	177:16,22 180:2	76:10	novel 33:13
murphy 12:4	180:7 182:2	nick 76:7,8	november 31:16
mute 136:21	187:6,9	nickel 189:4	number 45:14
137:6 150:15	needed 34:21	nickerson 9:23	45:18 48:19
muted 50:4	82:25 127:7	night 48:21 70:7	50:16,17 51:3,8
mutually 63:11	182:2	nine 183:23	51:15,16,20,24
n	needs 20:6 36:2	nol 76:12,13	52:2,15 60:12
n 6:1 7:12 14:1	73:8 101:3	non 46:16,19	60:13,18,21,25
195:1	103:22 108:21	52:9,11,16,19	61:12,17,18
name 38:17	147:10 190:13	76:17 172:25	63:5,16 64:11
41:13 58:4	191:6	nonpayment	65:8,17,21
113:24 145:16	negative 4:10	55:1	67:13 68:2 75:3
named 114:1	55:2 78:2 133:9	normal 100:20	76:12,13,15,16
nathaniel 10:7	133:12,21,25	158:4 192:14	77:4,6,7,8,23
national 85:3	134:5 145:5	nose 55:16	78:5,7,9,13,14
native 146:19	negisa 10:4	notation 116:15	78:23,25 109:23
148:10 154:13	negotiated	note 14:8,20	116:22 130:22
155:15 158:13	64:19 155:21	15:9 46:24	150:17 164:22
nature 57:25	negotiating 16:6	72:20 140:23	172:13 173:3
107:3 136:7	16:24	noted 33:25	180:20 188:4,8
107.5 150.7		84:24 107:21	188:12

[numbers - okay]

numerous 14:11 16:2 170:7 171:25 32:20 37:21,25 ny 1:14 6:6,17 7:5,20 8:14,21 195:23 62:2,13 63:8 o	numbers 62:7	objections 4:20	157:14 163:24	okay 15:3 18:9	
163:12 183:20				1	
ny 1:14 6:6,17 51:10,12,21 occur 116:18 45:1,16 46:12 7:5,20 8:14,21 60:19 61:2,2,19 occurred 14:23 46:13 48:13 o 62:2,13 63:8 64:15 91:25 49:20,24 50:9 o 66:13, 67:18,24 75:6,11,15 55:22 58:16 object 52:3 66:13, 67:18,24 occurrence 60:1,9 65:1,5 53:10 146:12 76:20,25 77:11 182:13,16 occurring 54:23 69:3 70:15 182:1,5 184:3,4 185:2 186:21 183:13 187:23 183:13 187:23 142:24 176:3 96:5 97:4 98:17 objecting 54:25 88:6 164:1 151:7 157:18 162:4 176:3 99:7,16 103:3 187:15 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 53:19 66:13,65 50:19 offer 33:19 113:21 117:25 113:21 117:25 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 144:4 66:24 127:4,7 69:4 166:7 125:8 126:9,16 75:12,318,21 75:19 9:9 103:10 106:21 169:	163:12 183:20	47:23,25 50:24	173:5 184:11	*	
7:5,20 8:14,21 195:23 o o o def:18 65:12,23 66:13 67:18,24 75:6,11,15 76:20,25 77:11 77:15 134:23 77:15 134:23 76:20,25 77:11 182:13,16 183:13 187:23 180:3 181:1 182:1,5 184:3,4 185:2 186:21 187:18 192:1 objection 50-24 171:1 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 52:7 53:4,6,22 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 183:12 184:15 o occurred 14:23 46:13 48:13 49:20,24 50:9 50:12 53:21 143:1 55:20 58:16 60:1,9 65:1,5 60:1,9 62:1,9 66:1,9 60:1,9 62:1,9 60:1,9 60:1,9 60:1,9 62:1,9 60:1,9 60	ny 1:14 6:6,17	· ·	occur 116:18	· · · · ·	
o 62:2,13 63:8 64:15 91:25 49:20,24 50:9 o 1:21 14:1 66:1,3 67:18,24 103:7 142:20 50:12 53:21 o 1:21 14:1 75:6,11,15 occurrence 60:1,9 65:1,5 oberg 10:13 76:20,25 77:11 77:15 134:23 occurring 54:23 66:22 67:5,6,7 53:10 146:12 182:13,16 183:13 187:23 182:13,16 occurrs 69:15 69:3 70:15 182:1,5 184:3,4 185:2 186:21 183:13 187:23 191:11,19 objectively 184:23 99:7,16 103:3 187:18 192:1 objection 54:25 88:5 164:1 170:24 171:1 ocp 64:17 0cp 64:17 0cp 64:17 0cp 64:17 0cp 63:20,24,24 106:8 108:11 104:6 105:4 0ctober 23:8 110:11 111:4 111:4 <th> •</th> <td>60:19 61:2,2,19</td> <td>occurred 14:23</td> <td>46:13 48:13</td>	•	60:19 61:2,2,19	occurred 14:23	46:13 48:13	
o 64:18 65:12,23 103:7 142:20 50:12 53:21 o 1:21 14:1 66:1,3 67:18,24 143:1 55:22 58:16 oberg 10:13 66:20,25 77:11 76:20,25 77:11 76:20,25 77:11 153:4 66:22 67:5,6,7 object 52:3 66:22,23 171:11 77:15 134:23 162:2,23 171:11 183:13 187:23 93:3 183:2 69:3 70:15 180:3 181:1 182:1,5 184:3,4 185:13 187:23 183:13 187:23 142:4,23 93:13 94:12 187:18 192:1 objectively 188:9,10 66:417 79:7,16 103:3 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 27:9 113:21 117:25 53:29 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 75:15 90:29 66:20 67:5,6,7 66:13 68:14 75:15 184:24 75:15 90:25 75:15 90:25 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:7:18 75:15 90:24 106:8 108:11 75:17 76:22,24 88:4 90:3 115:1 77:2 147:20 123:24 125:46 75:15 134:22 75:15 90:29 72:4 170:20 123:24 125:4		* *	64:15 91:25	49:20,24 50:9	
o 1:21 14:1 66:1,3 67:18,24 143:1 55:22 58:16 oberg 10:13 object 52:3 75:6,11,15 occurrence 60:1,9 65:1,5 53:10 146:12 77:15 134:23 occurring 54:23 69:3 70:15 180:3 181:1 182:1,5 184:3,4 183:13 187:23 85:1 142:4,23 93:3 183:2 75:15 90:25 187:15 objectively 188:9,10 occurs 69:15 75:15 90:25 88:5 164:1 187:15 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 27:9 112:25 113:6,20 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 144:4 objects 88:6 offering 23:16 123:3,4,12,21 15::10 164:2 166:4 17:17 103:10 106:21 103:10 106:21 166:24 17:20 123:24 125:4,6 166:4 17:17 obstacle 104:10 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:1 17:2 18:1	0	· ·	103:7 142:20	50:12 53:21	
195:1		66:1,3 67:18,24	143:1	55:22 58:16	
oberg 10:13 76:20,25 77:11 153:4 66:22 67:5,6,7 object 52:3 53:10 146:12 77:15 134:23 162:2,23 171:11 183:1 183:1 182:13,16 occurring 54:23 69:3 70:15 180:3 181:1 182:15,184:3,4 183:13 187:23 85:1 142:4,23 93:3 183:2 75:15 90:25 185:2 186:21 183:13 187:23 184:23 99:7,16 103:3 187:18 192:1 objectively 184:23 99:7,16 103:3 0bjecting 54:25 88:6 ocp 64:17 99:7,16 103:3 187:15 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 170:24 171:1 october 23:8 110:11 11:4 50jection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 133:25 142:6 143:2,16 offering 23:18 110:11 11:4 52:7 53:4,6,22 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:15,99:9 69:4 166:7 123:3,41,2,21 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 154:4 obtained 178:18 69:4 166:7 129:17 130:6 166:4 17:17		75:6,11,15	occurrence	60:1,9 65:1,5	
object 52:3 77:15 134:23 occurring 54:23 69:3 70:15 53:10 146:12 170:23 171:23 180:3 181:1 182:13,16 75:15 90:25 180:3 181:1 182:15, 184:3,4 185:2 186:21 183:13 187:23 85:1 142:4,23 93:3 94:12 187:18 192:1 0bjectively 184:23 99:7,16 103:3 0bjecting 54:25 0bjects 88:6 0cp 64:17 99:7,16 103:3 187:15 188:9,10 0cp 64:17 99:7,16 103:3 104:6 105:4 187:15 0bjection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 170:24 171:1 0ctober 23:8 110:11 11:4 50bjection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 44:32,16 0ffer 33:19 113:21 117:25 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 15:3 65:5 50:19 53:19 86:3,5,21 69:4 166:7 123:24 125:4,6 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 144:4 0btained 178:18 0ffice 63:17 68:5 126:24 127:4,7 172:3,18,21 177:11 0btained 178:18 0ffice 68:1 131:15 132:19 <tr< td=""><th></th><td>76:20,25 77:11</td><td>153:4</td><td>66:22 67:5,6,7</td></tr<>		76:20,25 77:11	153:4	66:22 67:5,6,7	
53:10 146:12 162:2,23 171:11 93:3 183:2 72:13 73:10 170:23 171:23 180:3 181:1 182:13,16 occurs 69:15 75:15 90:25 182:1,5 184:3,4 183:13 187:23 85:1 142:4,23 93:13 94:12 185:2 186:21 188:9,10 objectively 184:23 96:5 97:4 98:17 187:18 192:1 objects 88:6 cpp 64:17 october 23:8 100:11 11:4 187:15 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 obligation 99:1 offer 33:19 113:21 117:25 48:3,9 51:11 obligations 2:2 3:15 36:5 50:19 offering 23:16 123:3,4,12,21 53:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 37:15 99:9 office 63:17 68:5 69:4 166:7 127:11,21 129:7 172:3,18,21 obtacle 104:10 obtained 178:18 obtious 15:9 offices 68:1 13:15 132:19 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 117:12 140:12 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 17:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		77:15 134:23	occurring 54:23	69:3 70:15	
170:23 171:23 182:13,16 183:13 187:23 191:11,19 191:11,19 191:11,19 192:1 185:2 186:21 187:18 192:1 188:9,10 188:9,10 188:5 164:1 187:15 183:13 187:23 188:6 151:7 157:18 170:24 171:1 170:24 171:1 170:23,25 57:17 170:24 171:1 170:23,25 182:1 170:24 171:1 170:24 171:1 170:23,25 182:1 170:24 171:1 170:24 171:1 170:24 171:1 170:25 175:18 170:24 171:1 17	•	162:2,23 171:11	_	72:13 73:10	
180:3 181:1 183:13 187:23 85:1 142:4,23 93:13 94:12 182:1,5 184:3,4 185:2 186:21 188:9,10 184:23 99:7,16 103:3 187:18 192:1 188:9,10 0cp 64:17 0cps 63:20,24,24 106:8 108:11 187:15 0bjection 4:14 151:7 157:18 27:9 112:25 113:6,20 0bjection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 133:25 142:6 143:2,16 0bigation 99:1 171:2 184:8 120:15,16 123:2 52:7 53:4,6,22 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 53:19 86:3,5,21 69:4 170:20 123:24 125:4,6 75:12,24 88:4 87:7,15 99:9 0ffice 63:17 68:5 126:24 127:4,7 90:13 115:1 103:10 106:21 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 171:1 172:3,18,21 177:23,18,21 0btained 178:18 0bvious 15:9 0bviously 73:23 173:9 177:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 17:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		182:13,16	occurs 69:15	75:15 90:25	
182:1,5 184:3,4 185:2 186:21 187:18 192:1 188:9,10 184:23 99:7,16 103:3 objecting 54:25 88:5 164:1 187:15 0bjects 88:6 151:7 157:18 104:6 105:4 106:8 108:11 187:15 187:15 0bjection 4:14 182:24 171:1 27:9 106:8 108:11 10:11 111:4 4:18 20:19 31:7 133:25 142:6 143:2,16 0ffer 33:19 113:21 117:25 113:21 117:25 52:7 53:4,6,22 3:15 36:5 50:19 53:19 86:3,5,21 0ffering 23:16 123:3,4,12,21 125:8 126:9,16 66:13 68:14 87:7,15 99:9 0ffice 63:17 68:5 126:24 127:4,7 127:11,21 129:7 75:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 144:4 0bstacle 104:10 0fficer 78:8 131:15 132:19 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 0btained 178:18 0ffices 68:1 131:15 132:19 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 17:12 140:12 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 184:23 104:6 105:4 106ce 63:20,24,24 106:8 108:11 104:6 105:4 106:8 108:11 106:8 108:11 106:8 108:11 110:11 111:4 110:11 11:4 110:11 11:4 110:11		183:13 187:23	85:1 142:4,23	93:13 94:12	
185:2 186:21 187:18 192:1 188:9,10 objecting 54:25 88:5 164:1 187:15 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 52:7 53:4,6,22 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 183:12 184:15 objectively 188:9,10 ocp 64:17 ocps 63:20,24,24 106:8 108:11 104:6 105:4 october 23:8 106:8 108:11 10:11 111:4 12:25 113:6,20 offer 33:19 13:21 117:25 13		191:11,19	142:24 176:3	96:5 97:4 98:17	
187:18 192:1 objecting 54:25 objecting 54:25 objecting 54:25 188:9,10 objection 54:25 objection 54:25 objection 4:14 188:9,10 ocp 64:17 ocp 63:20,24,24 104:6 105:4 106:8 108:11 106:8 108:11 106:8 108:11 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 110:11 111:4 112:25 113:6,20 113:21 117:25 113:21 117:25 123:3,4,12,21 72:4 170:20 123:23 123:3,4,12,21 125:8 126:9,16 125:8 126:9,16 125:8 126:9,16 125:8 126:9,16 125:8 126:9,16 125:8 126:9,16 125:11,21 129:7 127:11,21 129:7 134:20 136:25 139:10 140:17 139:10 140:17 <th colspan<="" td=""><th></th><td>objectively</td><td>184:23</td><td>99:7,16 103:3</td></th>	<th></th> <td>objectively</td> <td>184:23</td> <td>99:7,16 103:3</td>		objectively	184:23	99:7,16 103:3
objecting 54:25 88:5 164:1 151:7 157:18 october 23:8 106:8 108:11 187:15 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 obligation 99:1 offer 33:19 113:21 117:25 48:3,9 51:11 obligations 2:2 3:15 36:5 50:19 offering 23:16 123:3,4,12,21 53:23,25 57:17 obligations 2:2 3:15 36:5 50:19 offers 71:11 125:8 126:9,16 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 87:7,15 99:9 office 63:17 68:5 126:24 127:4,7 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 144:4 obstacle 104:10 officer 78:8 131:15 132:19 151:10 164:2 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 139:10 140:17 172:3,18,21 obviously 73:23 officially 169:8 160:14 161:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 142:15,16 164:20 166:2 183:12 184:15 17:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		188:9,10	ocp 64:17	104:6 105:4	
88:5 164:1 187:15 170:24 171:1 october 23:8 110:11 11:4 187:15 170:24 171:1 27:9 113:25 113:6,20 objection 4:14 4:18 20:19 31:7 133:25 142:6 171:2 184:8 120:15,16 123:2 48:3,9 51:11 152:7 53:4,6,22 3:15 36:5 50:19 offering 23:16 123:3,4,12,21 53:23,25 57:17 53:19 86:3,5,21 office 63:17 68:5 125:8 126:9,16 66:13 68:14 87:7,15 99:9 103:10 106:21 167:9 186:19 129:17 130:6 75:12,24 88:4 103:10 106:21 167:9 186:19 129:17 130:6 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 obtained 178:18 177:1 175:3,37,9,23 172:23,18,21 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 160:14 161:11 183:12 184:15 177:12 140:12 142:15,16 142:15,16 142:15,16 164:20 166:2		objects 88:6	ocps 63:20,24,24	106:8 108:11	
187:15 objection 4:14 27:9 112:25 113:6,20 4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 133:25 142:6 171:2 184:8 120:15,16 123:2 52:7 53:4,6,22 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 72:4 170:20 123:24 125:4,6 75:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 87:7,15 99:9 0ffice 63:17 68:5 126:24 127:4,7 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 133:10 106:21 166:4 171:17 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 0btained 178:18 0ffices 68:1 131:15 132:19 177:23,18,21 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 143:18 145:21 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 17:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 166:20 166:2		151:7 157:18	october 23:8	110:11 111:4	
objection 4:14 deligation 99:1 offer 33:19 113:21 117:25 4:18 20:19 31:7 133:25 142:6 143:2,16 offering 23:16 123:3,4,12,21 48:3,9 51:11 52:7 53:4,6,22 3:15 36:5 50:19 offering 23:16 123:24 125:4,6 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 87:7,15 99:9 office 63:17 68:5 125:8 126:9,16 75:12,24 88:4 87:7,15 99:9 103:10 106:21 167:9 186:19 127:11,21 129:7 126:8 139:11 151:10 164:2 obstacle 104:10 officer 78:8 131:15 132:19 177:2:3,18,21 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 139:10 140:17 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 17:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		170:24 171:1	27:9	112:25 113:6,20	
4:18 20:19 31:7 48:3,9 51:11 52:7 53:4,6,22 53:23,25 57:17 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 183:12 184:15 133:25 142:6 143:2,16 obligations 2:2 3:15 36:5 50:19 53:19 86:3,5,21 66:4 170:20 0ffering 23:16 72:4 170:20 0ffers 71:11 0ffice 63:17 68:5 69:4 166:7 125:8 126:9,16 126:24 127:4,7 167:9 186:19 0fficer 78:8 131:15 132:19 167:9 186:19 0ffices 68:1 0ffices 68:1 0ffices 68:1 0ffices 68:1 0ffices 68:1 0fficial 7:2,10 0fficially 169:8 0fficet 99:20 161:21,22 162:7 162:10 164:4,10 162:10 164:4,10 164:20 166:2		obligation 99:1	offer 33:19	113:21 117:25	
48:3,9 51:11 143:2,16 obligations 2:2 52:7 53:4,6,22 3:15 36:5 50:19 offers 71:11 123:3,4,12,21 53:23,25 57:17 53:19 86:3,5,21 offers 71:11 125:8 126:9,16 66:13 68:14 87:7,15 99:9 103:10 106:21 166:4 166:7 127:11,21 129:7 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 144:4 officer 78:8 131:15 132:19 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 139:10 140:17 172:3,18,21 obvious 15:9 officially 169:8 160:14 161:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 164:20 166:2 183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		133:25 142:6	171:2 184:8	120:15,16 123:2	
52:7 53:4,6,22 3:15 36:5 50:19 72:4 170:20 123:24 125:4,6 53:23,25 57:17 3:15 36:5 50:19 offers 71:11 125:8 126:9,16 66:13 68:14 87:7,15 99:9 69:4 166:7 127:11,21 129:7 75:12,24 88:4 103:10 106:21 167:9 186:19 129:17 130:6 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 173:9 177:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 173:9 177:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		143:2,16	offering 23:16	123:3,4,12,21	
53:23,25 57:17 3:15 36:5 50:19 offers 71:11 125:8 126:9,16 66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 87:7,15 99:9 69:4 166:7 127:11,21 129:7 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 144:4 obstacle 104:10 officer 78:8 131:15 132:19 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 obtained 178:18 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 139:10 140:17 172:3,18,21 179:3,3,7,9,23 obvious 15:9 official 7:2,10 159:3,20,25 104:8 112:13,16 117:12 140:12 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	obligations 2:2	72:4 170:20	123:24 125:4,6	
66:13 68:14 75:12,24 88:4 87:7,15 99:9 69:4 166:7 127:11,21 129:7 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 144:4 obstacle 104:10 167:9 186:19 129:17 130:6 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 131:15 132:19 173:9 177:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 obvious 15:9 officially 169:8 159:3,20,25 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 17:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2	1 ' '	3:15 36:5 50:19		125:8 126:9,16	
75:12,24 88:4 87:7,15 99:9 69:4 166:7 127:11,21 129:7 90:13 115:1 126:8 139:11 144:4 officer 78:8 131:15 132:19 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 obtained 178:18 117:1 134:20 136:25 172:3,18,21 obvious 15:9 official 7:2,10 159:3,20,25 173:9 177:11 92:24 103:12 officially 169:8 160:14 161:11 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2	· ·	53:19 86:3,5,21	office 63:17 68:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
90:13 115:1 103:10 106:21 167:9 186:19 129:17 130:6 126:8 139:11 151:10 164:2 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 172:3,18,21 177:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 183:12 184:15 167:9 186:19 131:15 132:19 134:20 136:25 139:10 140:17 134:20 136:25 139:10 140:17 159:3,20,25 160:14 161:11 160:14 161:11 161:21,22 162:7 162:10 164:4,10 162:10 164:4,10 164:20 166:2		•	69:4 166:7	127:11,21 129:7	
126:8 139:11 144:4 obstacle 104:10 officer 78:8 131:15 132:19 151:10 164:2 166:4 171:17 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 139:10 140:17 172:3,18,21 obvious 15:9 official 7:2,10 159:3,20,25 179:3,3,7,9,23 obviously 73:23 officially 169:8 160:14 161:11 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 17:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2	1	103:10 106:21	167:9 186:19	129:17 130:6	
151:10 164:2 obstacle 104:10 117:1 134:20 136:25 166:4 171:17 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 139:10 140:17 172:3,18,21 obvious 15:9 official 7:2,10 159:3,20,25 179:3,3,7,9,23 obviously 73:23 officially 169:8 160:14 161:11 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		144:4	officer 78:8	131:15 132:19	
166:4 171:17 obtained 178:18 offices 68:1 139:10 140:17 172:3,18,21 obvious 15:9 official 7:2,10 159:3,20,25 173:9 177:11 obviously 73:23 officially 169:8 160:14 161:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2					
172:3,18,21 obvious 15:9 official 7:2,10 159:3,20,25 173:9 177:11 obviously 73:23 officially 169:8 160:14 161:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 104:8 112:13,16 142:15,16 162:10 164:4,10 183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		obtained 178:18	offices 68:1	139:10 140:17	
173:9 177:11 179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 183:12 184:15 officially 169:8 92:24 103:12 104:8 112:13,16 117:12 140:12 officially 169:8 0ffset 99:20 142:15,16 143:18 145:21 160:14 161:11 161:21,22 162:7 162:10 164:4,10 164:20 166:2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
179:3,3,7,9,23 182:2,15,17 183:12 184:15 92:24 103:12 offset 99:20 161:21,22 162:7 162:10 164:4,10 164:20 166:2	1 ' '	•	•		
182:2,15,17 183:12 184:15				· ·	
183:12 184:15 117:12 140:12 143:18 145:21 164:20 166:2		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	
140.0 142.0 150.01 160.00 160.10	1 ' '				
184:16 185:8		142:9 143:8	150:21	168:20 169:12	
187:14 191:9.13 144:2 151:20 oh 46:10 107:13 169:13 170:10					
191:14 152:9,23 153:7 111:7 126:18 171:6 173:10,20	1	·		· ·	
153:10 156:24 166:2 182:9 185:19		153:10 156:24	166:2	182:9 185:19	

[okay - orders] Page 39

			1
187:22 190:2	old 19:9 57:1	161:6 178:11,13	89:16,17 92:19
191:15 193:5	195:21	179:21,22	100:24 103:23
194:16	omnibus 69:8	180:20 184:18	104:7 110:4
okike 6:10 22:12	79:25 80:17	185:2 192:10	111:20 112:7,18
25:21 45:9,10	once 30:1 34:6	oppose 90:10	113:4 117:16
45:11,12,17	84:10 130:20	opposed 59:14	120:2 123:6,17
46:6,10,13 48:9	178:19	132:16	123:18 124:1,7
48:14,18,21	ones 57:9 71:17	opposing 114:8	125:2,3,4,11
49:3,8,23,25	ongoing 158:23	opposite 115:5	129:13 130:7
50:5 77:19,21	190:18,18	180:6	131:7 135:19
77:22 80:3,8,12	open 21:14	optimistic 31:17	139:7 150:23
91:1,6,15,22	68:21 70:19	option 70:25	151:12 154:3
92:7,21,23	72:11 102:19	options 23:12,21	155:22 156:21
93:11 102:15,23	126:3 129:3,5	23:24 182:12	157:3 162:14,15
103:12 105:10	135:8 179:15	order 4:25 14:6	163:23 164:6,16
105:10 111:13	182:7	35:10 41:12	164:21 165:8,11
111:13,21	opened 107:18	45:18,19,21,24	165:12,14,19,24
112:11 122:21	opening 103:2	47:8,13,24	166:18,25
123:4 124:3,3	139:20	48:15,19 49:1,2	169:14,15 170:1
124:24,25	operate 3:14	49:17,21 50:22	170:7,7,12
125:15,19 126:1	87:12 109:23	51:2,5,7,11,12	171:14,16,19,25
133:2,5 134:13	operated 108:24	51:17,20,23	172:5 173:11
134:22,25	117:5	52:1,2,4 53:6	178:1 183:15
135:13,14	operating 55:14	54:10,16 60:14	187:17 192:20
136:20 138:1,2	81:17,19 82:6,8	60:18,20,24	ordered 123:16
138:6 139:5,9	82:19 83:4,22	61:3,18,25	orderly 163:15
139:15 140:2,6	83:25 84:2	62:10,25 63:5	orders 35:5
140:19 141:8,25	86:15 91:24	64:17 65:2,20	63:13 74:6 89:8
142:19 143:10	117:5 133:11	66:15,21,24,24	89:9,11,14
143:25 144:10	141:1	67:4 68:7,10,17	123:9,10,11,12
146:1,2,8 148:1	operation 156:6	68:23 69:2,5	123:13,15 124:1
148:8 151:18	operations	70:6 72:15	124:15,20,21
152:21 156:10	54:10 57:23	73:21 74:1,5	125:2,7,11,13
156:17,23,23	148:7	75:13,19 76:3	125:16,24,24,25
157:24 158:12	opinion 31:11	76:13,15,16,18	126:3 128:2
158:17 160:19	31:18,19,25	76:23 77:1,1,4,7	129:2,3,5
160:20 162:4	32:2 181:13	77:8,9,10,14	130:10 135:7,8
194:1,3,3	opportunity	78:14 81:12,13	135:10,16,21
okike's 104:16	22:3 37:19	81:24,25 82:12	138:5 194:17
	146:12 147:5	85:15 89:10,14	

111:5 114:24 overlood overlood overrul overrul overrul 50:20 53:17 oversig 59:12 60:15 156:2	63:23 6ked 67:6 6ked 191:19 6ked 90:14 6ked 92:5 162:2	page 164:21 169:15 170:15 171:15 172:12 172:13,22 paid 30:1 40:17	125:4,10,17,24 125:25 126:3 129:2,4,5 135:6 135:8
116:10 175:23 overrul ordinary 4:6,12 overrul 50:20 53:17 oversig 59:12 60:15 156:2	e 191:19 ed 90:14 ht 92:5	171:15 172:12 172:13,22	129:2,4,5 135:6 135:8
ordinary 4:6,12 overrul 50:20 53:17 oversig 59:12 60:15 156:2	led 90:14 ht 92:5	172:13,22	135:8
50:20 53:17 oversig 59:12 60:15 156:2	ht 92:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
59:12 60:15		paid 30:1 40:17	4.94
	2 162:2		participant
		52:14 54:15	183:5
63:4,7 78:3,21 overtin	ne 68:3	55:8 57:20	participants
86:17,18,21,25 overwh	elmingly	158:12	165:17 166:19
87:24 103:19,20 88:2		papers 73:20	182:25
133:7 134:13,19 owe 1	9:15,16	paragraph	participate 20:5
135:2 136:6 owed	43:22,23	31:10 48:25	20:17 27:25
139:24 142:9 43:24	60:15	49:2 67:3 68:10	36:8
144:7 146:11 83:15	,19,23	68:10 97:4,21	participating
149:16 158:4 84:16	99:9,23	97:21 115:21,24	20:5
original 36:19 owing	50:23	116:1 120:13	participation
85:6 owned	56:12	169:15 170:1,15	152:19
originally 23:11 79:17	103:4	171:16,18,22	particular 27:24
60:13 61:17 owner	56:6,14	182:18 184:16	28:5,9 33:15,24
63:4 65:7 67:13 56:19	57:4,13	parallel 163:6	37:22 38:2 43:1
72:10 73:17 107:2	3 109:15	parent 114:18	48:15 58:9,11
169:16 170:17 owners	109:16	114:18 116:23	71:23,23 76:25
171:22 172:1 owners	hip	117:4,11 182:21	96:15 101:5,12
origination 56:20	,24 57:8	part 14:5,5	103:10 112:24
85:18,25 86:3 58:8,2	24 59:3,7	17:13 23:13	115:3 132:9
ought 32:20 109:1	8 114:15	32:4 42:9 54:24	145:17 155:10
188:11 190:6 121:1	5 128:16	59:16 70:18	155:24,24
outbound 88:14 owning	128:22	72:2,2 73:9	159:15 166:14
outcome 166:21 owns	23:20	79:22 80:14	166:15 169:1
193:1 29:22	30:2	96:15 101:3,4,8	174:15 193:3
outgoing 89:7 120:2	4 122:25	110:19 123:8	particularly
outset 68:13 130:1	2 161:16	134:9 135:21	69:16 115:21
163:12 181:2	1	136:14 146:5,9	132:9
outside 28:22	p	158:7 160:8	parties 14:13
65:19 128:17 p 6:1,	_	161:16 163:10	17:19,20 21:7
outstanding 1	95:14	164:22 165:1,1	21:10,12 26:25
60:15 69:5 p.m. 8		178:21 189:22	32:18 42:12
overall 14:25 p.m. 6		partially 89:12	62:5 63:18
54:24 55:5 pace 3		89:16 123:6,9	71:11 74:8,15
66:12 69:22 pace 3		123:18,20	81:1 90:17
161:9	1	124:15 125:1,2	110:2 134:15

[parties - place] Page 41

158:6 164:1	69:21	23:1,19,19	person 96:13
170:22,23 172:8	payment 3:1	29:22 41:7	167:4,6 168:4,5
178:11,15 181:1	40:18 47:6	48:16 73:3,4	168:6 189:20
184:19	57:16 79:10	128:4,5 149:24	personal 82:17
partner 33:2	82:16 86:3	150:11 172:25	83:2 85:5 140:8
162:6	102:13 141:14	175:25	personally
partners 39:12	141:21 144:20	percentage	23:11 53:24
partners 39.12 parts 69:19,20		158:1,4,18	perspective 27:4
124:20,22	payments 46:16 46:18 52:16	perfected	32:3 72:7 84:19
party 4:11 22:15	90:2 103:9	144:12	104:12 105:3
54:25 56:22	191:21 192:1		104.12 103.3
		perfection 145:22	
62:21 63:10,11	pedraza 13:3 137:21		petition 2:15,23 3:18 17:2 22:19
78:2 81:19,20		perfectly 101:19	
82:3,4,4,15	pegged 193:21	perform 3:16 66:16 86:16	22:20 52:25
98:23 107:17,18	penalized 152:8		54:11,21 68:6
107:21,24	153:1	87:6,15,23	85:7 86:21
133:10 151:5	penalties 152:12	142:8,11	87:14,20 88:11
162:22 173:13	penalty 56:13	performance	89:15,22 103:15
pass 96:22 97:2	pending 26:16	86:24	133:7 135:20,23
98:8 108:2	pennies 189:9	performed	136:12,13 142:3
passionate	penny 101:10	150:18	142:7,14,15,20
130:5,6	people 21:3,21	period 52:24,24	142:20,22,23,24
passive 149:13	27:24 32:14	52:25 99:3	142:25,25 143:2
157:10	39:6 40:19	140:7 148:23,25	143:7,8,11,13
path 163:6	41:23,24 43:11	149:11 150:4,5	143:13,15,15,16
174:25	44:10,14 50:6	150:6,6,7,12,14	143:17,17,23
patient 129:9	71:20 106:15	150:17 151:4,23	157:2
patrick 9:16	115:11 119:17	151:24 156:19	philip 10:24
paul 11:6 12:20	119:24 121:9	171:9 179:10	phone 32:19
pause 90:16	122:19 167:3	periods 150:17	51:10 80:5
134:8 151:12	169:4 174:2,3	152:1	93:14 114:7
pay 2:2,3 3:3	174:13 187:2,23	permission	121:9 137:5
50:19 51:18	189:15 190:12	102:18 124:20	147:15
54:19,19,20	190:12 191:10	129:23,25	picked 34:12
55:17 60:14	192:1	permit 90:24	193:2
71:6 113:4	people's 106:23	97:1	pie 54:1
payable 52:10	119:18	permitted 78:20	pieces 63:16
69:13	perceives 155:1	88:19 116:8,13	pizzas 16:18,19
paying 40:22	percent 16:16	permitting	place 25:23
		_	1
53:10,15,18	16:16 19:19	90:23	40:18 83:8

[place - practice] Page 42

84:18 86:19	platforms 136:8	points 24:7 91:3	44:2 55:12,15
98:15 136:12	play 15:1 36:15	130:22	70:7 72:3
142:12 182:7	71:21	policies 2:3	108:20 112:17
placed 23:6 89:8	playing 40:22	50:20	127:8 152:16
93:7	pleadings	policy 130:9	174:24 175:15
plainly 120:13	187:21	pond 188:20	186:7 191:21
plan 4:19 16:23	please 14:3,8	pool 159:16,17	possibly 26:23
23:25 25:2 27:4	41:2 55:24 92:2	161:9 176:20	180:25 190:21
31:11,13,14,15	120:7 122:10	183:5	post 3:18 22:19
31:21 35:13	123:18 124:2	pooled 94:25	52:25 54:11,21
36:19,22 113:8	131:21 132:20	portfolio 138:22	86:20 142:3,19
136:15 158:15	133:4 137:6	portion 69:18	142:20,23,23,24
158:16,17,19,21	138:1 148:6	72:25 86:14	142:25 143:1,8
158:23 159:4,5	175:22	110:6,8,9,13	143:11,12,13,16
161:5 163:6	pleased 16:1	139:11 140:21	143:17,23 157:2
166:13 171:24	22:18	146:14 148:6	posted 15:5
172:16 174:3	pleases 29:8	151:13 161:23	potential 16:1,7
175:4,5 176:4	pleasure 33:5	portions 125:7	70:11 100:9
181:8 185:4	120:11	125:17,19	104:4,21 150:10
186:4,4,5	pledged 155:2	127:25 134:24	151:19 170:21
plan's 31:15	plus 116:3	portrayed 29:15	175:9 181:24
planned 16:10	pm 194:20	pose 192:2	185:15 187:3
planning 20:10	pockets 17:1	position 27:12	potentially 72:5
platform 15:16	podium 26:14	29:21 43:6 56:5	73:4 101:9
19:17,24 22:12	50:1 76:6 77:19	58:2,13 89:24	103:11,16
25:24 33:18	point 22:24 27:1	90:1 103:3	114:17 117:13
39:8 54:12	37:19 48:4	114:6 116:6	132:5 141:20,22
55:24 56:8 57:5	64:10,13 71:13	117:18,19	144:22 191:10
57:20,24 58:20	72:21 73:9,15	121:25 142:17	pounding
81:7 83:7,20,24	84:1 97:24	155:19,23 161:2	175:24
84:6 85:11	104:2 105:23	177:15,16	power 29:5
87:12,21 102:21	106:1 113:24	188:11	121:11 129:12
103:13 117:5	114:5 116:22	positions 155:20	130:16,23,25
119:25 133:14	121:21 122:10	possession 2:10	131:1
136:1 138:10,25	123:3 126:10,24	99:15	powers 130:18
142:10 148:21	127:5 137:10	possibility	practical 91:19
149:14,16	140:23 142:1	101:19 191:24	140:4
150:22 154:22	145:10 163:23	possible 15:12	practice 79:1
155:5 157:11	167:21 169:14	16:10 34:19	100:18 109:1
193:14,19	170:15 180:10	35:13 42:17	110:3 114:20

140:10	nyonotition 2.2	nwayant 20.19	nuchlam 12.10
	prepetition 2:2 3:4,15 50:23	prevent 30:18 85:11 140:10	problem 42:18 59:16 74:4 80:8
practices 133:7 135:2 136:5	52:21,22 54:19		
pre 22:19 133:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	preview 35:1	167:1,2,10
•	55:1,8 60:15 86:19 90:3	previously 19:13 57:7	procedure 171:3
136:13 142:7,14			procedure's
142:14,22 143:7	157:1	65:15 128:1	17:13
143:14,15,17	present 9:13	price 16:15,16	procedures 3:9
preceded 103:7	22:13 57:10	40:23 133:23	3:22 4:1,16,23
precedent	184:15	151:21 154:21	17:14 19:20
122:18 130:8,20	presentable	186:9	31:4,5,9,23 32:4
preceding 58:21	58:22	prices 30:11	37:15 44:25
prefaced 165:6	presentation	primarily 136:7	45:4 61:15
prefacing 165:3	14:9,10 15:6	152:22 193:13	70:23 162:5,13
prefer 31:10,12	17:13 29:14	primary 23:16	162:15 163:10
164:18	32:10 91:3	154:23	163:15,17,23
preference 45:7	104:17 111:1	prior 32:15	164:6,17 165:2
61:23 68:12	124:5	43:15 46:17	165:15 166:25
103:18 104:4,7	presentations	53:19 56:25	169:5,14,17,18
104:10 141:15	115:19,20	57:1 62:7,19	169:25 171:16
141:20 144:19	presented 37:22	66:15,24 84:18	172:5,5,11,12
144:20,22	43:1 180:1	89:15 91:25	173:12,17
191:17	presenting 50:7	103:15 118:12	182:18 183:21
preferences	60:12	124:21 126:3,17	184:4,9 186:22
103:11,17	presently 128:2	129:4 135:5,9	186:23 191:21
preferential	128:3 132:23	135:17,20,22	191:25 192:6,18
90:8 141:22	preserve 104:20	136:12 150:25	proceed 14:13
preferred 48:5	104:22 134:1	170:19 183:21	37:11,14 41:3
71:5 145:2	preserved	prioritizing	44:19 90:15
preferring	171:22 185:1	57:22	133:2 148:6
177:12	press 18:18	priority 128:12	164:19 190:22
prefund 82:22	19:21 25:10	132:11 159:10	proceeding
82:23	32:18 118:20	private 5:6	42:10 57:10
prefunded	126:19	56:21	120:14 122:17
82:18 83:3	presume 92:16	pro 8:2,7 9:9	proceedings
prejudice 62:2	103:6	probably 29:9	26:16 28:1 64:8
132:24	pretend 161:25	44:9,12 45:23	132:25 148:2
preliminary	pretty 100:16	53:25 96:9	193:20 194:19
37:2	103:18 125:3	121:10 138:6	195:4
prepared 27:15	174:11	168:2,6 183:5	proceeds 30:8
31:4 36:13		184:5	41:12
		cal Calutions	

process 16:7,10	33:10 40:10	propose 47:23	protections
17:14,15 19:22	61:16 63:4,19	62:4 157:19	170:16,24,25
20:11,17 21:7	92:25 104:18	163:22 169:5	171:2,8
21:14 22:20	profiting 22:23	181:5 192:1	protocol 148:18
24:25 28:16,21	program 2:5	proposed 19:20	151:2 154:14,19
29:3,3,4,4 34:3	95:14,17,25	23:24,25 27:3	155:3,11
36:2,15 54:23	97:6,7,11 116:1	28:2 30:19 33:1	protocols
55:5 70:18,23	155:16	35:5,23 36:20	148:22 151:22
83:8 84:15	programs 3:6	45:18,21 49:1,1	154:13 160:25
86:19 88:15	51:19 54:14	50:11 51:2,5,7	provide 14:24
93:4,6 102:7	95:15 155:5,13	51:12,23 52:1,2	19:23 46:20
112:15,18	prohibited 14:4	52:4 53:6 60:20	63:21,24 70:25
131:25 139:19	project 148:13	60:25 61:10,18	88:23 99:2,10
140:15 148:11	promises 38:14	63:20,24 65:20	101:2 118:9,13
148:21 158:23	pronounce	68:17 69:5,12	118:14 119:5
163:9,11,20	41:13	70:6 72:15	150:24 156:25
164:23 165:1,18	proof 20:11	75:19 76:16	163:8 170:22
166:19 170:5	146:17,19 148:9	77:8,9 78:14	provided 15:7
173:18 174:20	152:8	87:3 146:13	18:21 29:14
174:23 175:1,8	proofs 4:22,23	150:12,23 151:7	47:12 57:5 59:8
175:10 176:1,6	proper 131:6	154:3 157:3	83:13 99:8,16
176:10,19	187:6	159:5 162:14	100:2 117:9
179:15,16 181:2	properly 40:25	173:24 188:14	123:6 194:12
181:23 182:7	87:9 155:22	190:9	provider 148:16
184:24 185:7	178:1 182:1,1	proposing 28:3	148:19
186:5 190:6	property 28:9	29:15 32:6	providers 155:6
processed 89:13	28:12 35:7,7,8	70:16 71:6,10	provides 79:9
124:6	35:11 58:7 64:4	127:24,25	79:11,13,16,21
processes 183:7	78:18 84:9,13	136:17 138:4	80:13,21 86:1
187:17,25	87:4 103:13	139:6 157:21	88:20 106:2
188:13	109:3 113:19,20	158:3 159:14	149:12 158:17
processing	115:8 121:12,13	160:20 161:8	158:19
85:24	122:4,24,25	182:13	providing 65:19
processor 82:16	132:6,13 158:13	protect 32:1	provision 46:14
producing 36:7	161:4 186:11	99:15	75:19,25 80:23
products 142:24	194:8	protected 25:16	101:5 103:23
professional	proposal 19:21	26:1 42:14 47:4	155:21 169:16
56:4	20:21 31:3 71:5	97:2	192:13,15
professionals	proposals 20:13	protection 90:2	provisions
4:3,6 15:25	32:16		66:17,21 68:11
4.3,0 13.23	32.10		00.17,21 08:11

	1	1	1
68:16 99:8	pushed 94:3	62:9 64:16	raised 41:17,24
126:12	put 16:24 19:21	65:10,24 67:16	72:17 75:11
proviso 169:15	27:3 87:11	69:7,10 75:4,13	141:19 144:19
169:17	111:10 112:3,3	76:6,21 77:12	164:25 177:10
public 29:22	112:8 113:3	77:18 90:17	rampant 17:8
30:2 116:24	114:10,14	91:2 95:13	range 53:2
119:16	126:18,20 127:3	97:17 114:20	ransom 119:18
publicity 20:22	127:10 131:13	115:13 134:9	rare 109:7
publicly 17:20	135:9 151:16	151:13 163:25	rasile 11:17
17:21 18:23	156:12 160:16	171:12 173:6	ratably 132:7,18
23:8,17	163:19 179:5,17	190:13	rate 85:15
published	184:13 186:1	quick 24:7	rates 68:6
173:25 192:24	189:18	31:14,15 55:12	reach 68:22
pudding 20:12	putting 108:11	188:3	reached 18:13
purchase 2:4	155:2	quicker 31:20	20:19 23:9
5:4 23:13 85:10	q	32:5	reaching 177:6
133:14 135:22	quantitative	quickly 15:8,12	reacting 44:11
136:17 138:5	19:8	16:10 24:22	44:14
142:22,23	quantum 117:6	33:12 36:22	read 21:17,18
purchased	quarter 25:3	42:17 44:2	34:13 39:10
23:11	quarter 23.3 question 48:14	55:14 174:16	62:21 125:9
pure 120:6	60:5 72:17	175:1,3,13,15	177:16
purely 15:14	93:19 98:11	186:3,7,14	ready 14:13
purports 181:21	105:5 106:12	190:22	37:10 147:24
purpose 149:5	111:9,21 119:19	quinn 2:18	175:10,18
152:24 169:9	124:15 138:3	35:20 74:25	real 24:15
purposes 47:20	145:1,2 151:18	75:14,18 76:2	126:10 178:2
49:14	153:20 166:10	quite 38:3 56:14	reality 176:24
pursuant 85:13	166:12 178:11	68:23 106:14	really 26:3
111:23 139:24	188:8 191:20	115:1 128:21	37:20 40:6 42:8
149:4 165:11	193:16,24 194:2	152:16 174:2	43:16,25 44:16
pursue 18:24	questioned	190:24	53:13 59:2,6
104:4 140:16	140:5	quote 21:2	60:8 70:19,24
pursued 104:11	questions 14:19	r	73:8 93:23 94:7
104:24	21:25 22:5,21	r 1:21 6:1 14:1	96:15 97:10
pursuing 26:22	24:21,24 27:15	195:1	106:25 107:4
36:24 163:5	34:1,9,19 37:9	raise 71:3	115:5,17 116:16
push 94:16	37:19 42:12	141:13,18	119:11 129:13
189:22	51:4,25 60:9,23	171.13,10	130:20 161:13
	31.7,23 00.3,23		166:19 174:1,22
		cal Calutions	

			I
178:12,14	receives 140:7	recordkeeping	refers 49:1
180:16 182:11	receiving 94:19	98:24 99:1	174:7
187:13	144:20 151:8	107:22 108:1	reflect 136:11
reason 35:16	recess 147:23	139:2	136:13 138:16
36:3 66:23 87:3	168:22	records 62:6,14	reflected 35:4
87:6 99:24	recession 189:13	136:13	51:1 61:25 69:1
100:9 112:2	recipients 79:10	recoupment	83:9 110:20
115:13 118:8,16	recklessness	143:6,8,19	150:23
128:14 141:7	56:10	recover 118:24	reflects 62:25
157:15 186:8,15	recognizing	141:19	65:21 76:17
189:18 190:9,21	145:8	recovered 30:11	refusal 126:15
reasonably	reconcile 83:18	recoveries 55:12	130:3
190:22	87:9 89:17	159:19 161:19	refuse 88:20
reasons 69:23	91:23 93:2	recovery 54:25	126:24 184:8
110:3 141:8	124:9 136:10	115:9 117:14	regard 55:15
146:6 152:22	reconciles 83:2	158:25 159:13	72:17 76:1 94:6
191:7	reconciliation	175:15	97:16 118:18
reassured 54:17	4:12 78:3 83:8	red 26:3	128:6 145:22
rebound 16:13	84:15 86:19	redeem 118:22	171:4 185:13,13
16:14 17:3	93:3,6,9 94:18	redemption	185:15
	100 00 105 0	20.0	115 22
rebroadcasting	100:20 135:2	30:9	regarded 115:23
rebroadcasting 14:4	reconciliations	redirected 88:14	regarded 115:23 regarding 5:1
_			_
14:4	reconciliations	redirected 88:14	regarding 5:1
14:4 recall 48:23	reconciliations 116:9	redirected 88:14 redistributed	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8 192:9 194:13	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9 63:18 70:10	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13 refer 62:6	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration 64:2
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8 192:9 194:13 received 20:13	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9 63:18 70:10 76:10 126:20	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13 refer 62:6 reference 52:9	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration 64:2 regs 98:10
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8 192:9 194:13 received 20:13 21:6 31:3 33:9	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9 63:18 70:10 76:10 126:20 140:24 162:8	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13 refer 62:6 reference 52:9 62:17 95:14,24	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration 64:2 regs 98:10 regular 34:1
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8 192:9 194:13 received 20:13 21:6 31:3 33:9 50:25 61:19,20	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9 63:18 70:10 76:10 126:20 140:24 162:8 163:19 195:4	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13 refer 62:6 reference 52:9 62:17 95:14,24 95:25 119:14	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration 64:2 regs 98:10 regular 34:1 121:7
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8 192:9 194:13 received 20:13 21:6 31:3 33:9 50:25 61:19,20 63:8 65:22 88:4	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9 63:18 70:10 76:10 126:20 140:24 162:8 163:19 195:4 recorded 34:24	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13 refer 62:6 reference 52:9 62:17 95:14,24 95:25 119:14 128:24	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration 64:2 regs 98:10 regular 34:1 121:7 regulations 98:5
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8 192:9 194:13 received 20:13 21:6 31:3 33:9 50:25 61:19,20 63:8 65:22 88:4 118:12 138:11	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9 63:18 70:10 76:10 126:20 140:24 162:8 163:19 195:4 recorded 34:24 148:2	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13 refer 62:6 reference 52:9 62:17 95:14,24 95:25 119:14 128:24 referenced	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration 64:2 regs 98:10 regular 34:1 121:7 regulations 98:5 187:10
14:4 recall 48:23 49:9 50:21 84:25 receipt 65:13 67:19 receive 22:11 55:1 67:25 93:8 136:1 138:15 176:7 184:8 192:9 194:13 received 20:13 21:6 31:3 33:9 50:25 61:19,20 63:8 65:22 88:4 118:12 138:11 138:13,17	reconciliations 116:9 reconciliatory 136:5 reconciling 92:4 125:23,24 129:1 135:3,5,6 reconnected 61:6 record 39:1 61:9 63:18 70:10 76:10 126:20 140:24 162:8 163:19 195:4 recorded 34:24 148:2 recording 14:4	redirected 88:14 redistributed 112:16 redline 64:10 164:5 redlines 162:15 reduce 88:16 reduced 154:21 reduction 152:13 refer 62:6 reference 52:9 62:17 95:14,24 95:25 119:14 128:24 referenced 119:10	regarding 5:1 16:13 22:22 34:18 52:17 64:1 122:16 151:1 154:4 164:25 regardless 26:3 163:14 regards 193:12 registration 64:2 regs 98:10 regular 34:1 121:7 regulations 98:5 187:10 regulatory 64:6

[reilly - require] Page 47

reilly 12:21	releases 35:23	remember	represented
reimbursable	36:12,14 118:21	16:17 189:2,3	15:17 19:10
3:5	relevant 20:3	remind 18:2	25:22 71:16
reimbursement	79:21 80:13	remit 79:10,12	representing
4:2 61:16	81:1,9 188:7	116:1	177:3 180:6
143:16 145:24	reliable 148:11	remits 83:3	represents
170:21	reliance 108:9	remove 95:6	57:11 114:2
reiterated	reliant 54:13	removes 85:4	reproducing
110:17	relief 2:6 3:2,6	rendering	14:4
reiterates 27:3	3:11,19,23 4:3	114:15	request 30:7
rejected 31:2	4:14,20 5:7 16:4	renew 2:3	46:7,14 47:7,12
related 2:5 3:2,6	51:6 59:13 60:9	reorganization	47:24 50:18
3:11,15,19,23	78:11 86:25	159:7 161:5	51:5 52:1 60:24
4:3,13,17,20 5:6	88:1,5 90:11,16	reorganize	76:22 77:13
5:7 32:2 67:12	102:12 103:25	55:13 71:12	82:9,11 89:5,7
135:2 136:7	108:19 109:4	repaid 30:10	89:18 90:13
165:3,10 166:25	114:9 122:6	repeat 177:17	91:10 92:18
172:25 183:13	126:8 131:4	repeatedly	95:21 101:4
relates 105:23	133:3 134:24	24:16 119:5,6	104:1 119:15
relating 165:6	157:16 173:14	replacing 64:12	126:8,15,16,22
relation 190:3	173:15,19	replenish 82:24	126:25,25 127:4
relationship	184:15,25	139:13,23	127:10 130:20
19:3 25:7 43:16	relying 122:23	replenishing	requested 51:6
43:17 49:12	remain 49:17	144:17	77:11 82:13
95:12,24 96:10	124:23 125:7	replenishment	86:25 95:21
97:14 105:14,18	128:2,3	101:22	108:19 111:17
105:20 107:19	remainder	reply 188:3	118:11 119:23
108:8 110:1	89:14	report 16:1	179:21
relationships	remained	20:19 35:22	requesting
42:23	100:22	83:12 85:15	78:11 88:1
relatively 52:12	remaining 23:23	reported 88:17	116:25 120:18
59:12	35:11 89:12	126:19	133:3
relaying 110:19	124:10 150:14	reports 26:19	requests 60:8
release 18:18	150:16 164:2	represent 38:10	82:21 88:14,25
19:21 25:10	169:4 173:9	166:7	93:8 94:19
49:17 61:23	remains 36:25	representation	126:17
111:17 126:19	remarks 29:10	54:6 114:2	require 65:1
released 104:9	remediated	representative	87:2 148:22
105:1	26:12	178:12	151:22 156:14
			166:13

[required - right]

required 63:11	52:8 72:22	69:15,16,17,23	review 114:12
151:4	90:18 112:1	69:25 70:3,12	153:12 155:19
requirements	134:9 138:10,18	70:13 72:3 73:2	179:19 184:19
44:7 99:2	145:12 152:1	74:11	187:24
132:17 159:8	153:10 172:21	result 44:7	reviewed 26:11
requires 24:12	183:2,3 184:25	59:22 87:10	51:12 67:6
28:13 101:22	188:5 192:10,18	90:8 102:1	153:17
183:24	respectfully	resulting 82:6	reviewing 155:9
requisite 81:15	47:23 51:5	85:23 87:8	revised 45:17,21
175:5	60:24 64:17	results 183:14	48:18 51:23
rescind 18:20	76:22 77:13	resume 147:5,24	52:1 60:20
rescinded 18:18	90:13 182:6	168:19	61:17 65:20
rescue 29:15	respective 83:16	retail 29:23	69:1 75:12
30:3,6,17 60:1	151:6	retain 65:18	76:16,22 77:7,9
research 5:10	respectively	67:11,21	77:9,14 78:14
27:18	78:13	retained 4:2	150:23 151:11
reservation	respond 29:9	35:19 61:16	162:14 163:18
172:7,8	160:19 181:14	65:16	163:23 170:12
reserve 86:10,13	183:11	retainer 66:5	171:15 183:15
86:13 100:2	responded 20:7	retaining 139:25	194:17
141:2 143:4	response 19:2	145:14	revisions 68:25
144:3,11 145:7	26:10 29:11,20	retention 2:17	rewards 149:7
145:17,18,25	30:7 54:4 55:9	2:22 4:5 63:6	149:25 152:13
reserved 18:23	106:12 141:24	65:7,25 66:13	158:12 160:22
47:12,17 75:12	194:1	66:16 67:24	richard 7:22
141:21	responsible 37:7	68:15,19 73:20	10:6 12:1 13:9
reset 5:12	40:11 85:19,22	73:21 74:1,5,6	48:2 52:5 66:2
resides 58:8	98:23,24 107:21	74:10,13,24	73:14 75:16
resolution 20:19	133:19 144:2	75:14,19 76:2	ricky 10:20
68:23 69:5	responsive 31:6	retentions 71:16	riding 15:21
173:8	rest 15:2 24:19	retrieval 137:4	right 16:25
resolutions	90:15 133:3	return 26:4	17:23 24:6
164:1	restrictions	109:9	27:16 31:1 32:7
resolve 24:25	28:23	returned 35:8	33:7 38:6 40:19
172:3,20	restrictive	108:19	41:4,6 44:18
resolved 16:1	173:12	returns 159:15	45:4,8 47:11,16
122:7	restructure	revenue 157:7	48:13,22 49:20
respect 3:10	40:19	revers 85:9	51:9,11 53:8,14
4:18 14:8 19:12	restructuring	reverse 85:1	57:15,15,25
49:10 51:4,25	22:23 69:13,14	125:16 133:16	58:5 59:10,11

[right - sale] Page 49

61:1,2,10,13	187:3 188:23	robust 22:18	running 54:12
62:12,13 64:	18 189:1 190:2	176:23	148:15
65:13 66:15	191:18 192:12	role 71:23 93:7	runs 190:13
67:19 68:14,	15 192:18 194:15	roles 71:20	runway 183:23
71:8,10 72:1	1 rightful 56:14	rolling 46:21	rush 137:9
72:16 73:6 7	4:9 56:19,20 57:4	roma 12:14	180:8
74:22 75:7 7	6:1 59:3	room 93:18	russell 12:22
76:8,24 77:1	,15 rightfully 27:7	rosell 12:18	ryan 12:19
77:20 80:3,9	,9 rights 18:23	rosemary 8:24	166:6,6 167:14
91:13,19 94:	14 41:22 42:4,13	98:20 107:4,13	167:14 177:8,9
94:23 96:16	43:10,10,15	rosen 8:18 11:18	177:22 178:24
99:16 101:1,	11 49:16 72:4	93:16	179:2 180:9,19
104:5 105:15	99:20,20 103:24	rouse 9:8 110:14	181:14,15
106:8 110:12	2,23 104:21 113:15	110:14	182:22 186:16
111:4 112:20	119:14 120:21	row 48:11	186:18,18
113:5,17,22	139:25 140:16	rule 72:7 131:7	S
114:23,23	142:15,16 143:3	169:8 171:5	s 6:1 14:1
117:20 118:5	5,10 143:19 144:8,11	193:24	salaries 3:4
119:1,14,20	145:24 154:4	ruled 73:15	sale 4:18 5:6
120:8 121:8	171:23 172:1,7	rules 28:7 43:3	23:4 25:1 27:4,9
122:2 126:22	2,23 172:8 173:5	43:14,18 85:2	28:16,20 31:10
127:13,21 13	31:5 184:25	98:4,10 107:7	38:21 54:23
131:17,21	rise 16:25 23:1	131:23,25	55:5 70:12,13
132:21,22 13	33:1 143:2	132:14	71:7 73:2 74:11
134:18,21,21	1,23 risk 38:22 56:11	ruling 60:8	84:7 112:15
137:22,23,25	87:13 104:23	113:17 114:24	136:18 138:5
139:8,10,14,	18 133:22 150:19	115:15,15	158:23 163:20
140:1 141:19	151:17 154:18	116:15 117:12	165:1,1,13
142:1 144:1,	15 154:19,19,23	117:13,21,25	171:24 172:16
145:8,21 146	5:5 155:15 157:9,12	119:1 120:4	174:1,6,7,11,25
146:11 147:8	3,21 157:16	122:12 173:23	176:1,3,4,6,10
147:24 153:1	13 risks 151:15,20	193:8,16	178:1,9,19,19
153:24 157:5	5 153:20 154:8,11	rulings 28:4	179:1,4,7,8,23
158:6 160:9,	10 155:14,15,15	122:4	179:25 181:1,2
161:1,22,24	156:1	rumors 32:11	181:24 182:1,4
162:23 163:3	rivera 13:8	run 21:14 30:8	182:10,12,13
168:5,5,8,24	road 195:21	30:14 40:25	183:6,12,15,19
173:19 174:7	rob 39:3	112:17 146:25	185:3,7 186:2,7
175:24 180:1	10 robert 9:20	147:7	187:5,5,14,22

[sale - sending] Page 50

		T	
188:13 190:5,6	174:6,15,18	149:19	86:15 87:16,23
190:9	190:23 191:5	secure 56:23	88:6 90:5,11,16
sales 23:15	scheduled 37:11	secured 56:23	102:16,18,24
103:7 187:17	38:2	99:11 142:16	103:25 111:24
salls 10:19	schedules 178:5	144:6	112:13 114:2
salvaged 190:19	178:23 179:19	securities 43:18	124:8 125:15
sam 19:9	180:24 181:12	47:10,14,16	128:1,4 129:12
sanchez 9:17	182:9 183:17,22	64:3 154:25	129:15,16,22,25
satisfaction	184:19,22 185:7	164:3 166:8	133:6 135:1,10
103:10	186:25 187:7	171:20,21 177:9	135:16,20,24,24
satisfy 85:20	190:4,4,7	181:15 186:20	139:23 146:10
142:7 144:6	scheduling 4:17	security 24:16	149:3 157:16,19
satisfying 87:25	scherling 11:20	145:16,22	166:22 194:9
144:4	schiff 11:3	sec's 51:22	seeks 61:15 63:5
savetsky 11:19	scope 65:19	77:11	85:1 108:14
savings 118:19	se 8:2,7 9:9	see 16:14 35:2	124:19 125:6,8
118:24	sec 24:15 45:21	36:16,18 59:2	133:15 184:15
saw 45:23 58:21	47:7,11,13,16	61:1 63:13	seen 14:22 48:16
68:15 76:3	51:1 60:22	64:10 67:5	48:22 51:9
154:23	61:21 63:9,13	70:22 72:24	95:17,20 123:16
saying 17:20,21	69:1 76:19	74:1,4 75:25	145:13 189:4
38:24 112:8,11	78:16 171:17	92:16 97:6	seizure 152:13
123:24 160:4	second 35:11	104:6 108:19	selected 26:17
177:18 179:17	45:24 47:24	134:8 137:18,23	57:9
192:13	63:20 80:4	146:23 151:12	self 82:3
says 24:16 31:10	110:15 169:13	156:9 184:20	sell 23:14 55:13
69:12 96:6 97:5	172:20 176:10	185:7 189:19	55:19 81:25
97:22 98:12	182:18	191:20 192:3,23	102:4 125:24
106:4 109:14,16	secondarily	193:1	129:2 135:7
112:9 115:25	187:9	seeing 74:11	139:7 177:21
116:2 125:10,23	seconds 123:16	94:12 194:17	187:25 189:16
125:23 126:1	125:12	seek 16:24 19:22	selling 189:16
127:21 130:10	section 46:23	20:18 45:24	191:23
131:1 184:16	65:16 66:12	54:16 56:4	selloff 144:11
sbic 47:2	79:8,10,13,15	65:18 93:25	sells 82:5
scales 73:3	79:21 80:13	seeking 17:1,13	send 18:15
scenes 21:8	85:21,25 86:22	17:19 19:22	91:10 118:13
schedule 25:4	87:1,2 106:1	26:4 46:18	119:6
31:15,17 46:1	126:12 129:1	51:17 60:14	sending 34:11
47:18 86:12	130:18,24	72:10 77:24	

[sense - sir] Page 51

sense 14:24	170:17 173:17	shortage 33:13	152:23 157:7
55:19 94:2	173:18 184:22	shortfall 133:18	signing 167:11
104:3,14 109:5	185:16 186:22	shortly 16:4	signs 157:5
112:21 138:7	187:1	shotgun 15:21	silvergate 47:19
150:1 152:3	setoff 99:25	shoulder 15:22	81:17,18 82:6,7
156:3 159:22	143:3 144:1,5	15:22	similar 33:16,18
sent 79:15 84:5	145:6	shouldn't 66:24	60:21 136:8
84:7 89:19	setoffs 99:9	71:21	149:9 186:3
136:2 138:19,20	sets 170:2	show 32:13	similarly 60:17
138:23 144:24	setting 4:22	175:14	90:9 173:21
167:8	148:18 166:13	showed 43:1	simms 15:18
sentiment 56:19	seven 15:14 23:9	showing 137:17	simon 13:16
separate 22:10	38:25 58:19	shown 28:8	simplify 73:21
89:8 90:22	150:11,24 151:8	119:4 125:13	simply 22:3 25:1
94:25 95:2	165:23 167:13	shows 44:7	29:17 42:20
96:17 119:2	170:18	shrem 13:19	44:4 102:7,24
121:19	severance 52:10	shut 138:10	105:8 149:6
separately 90:12	52:16	185:6	184:17
108:12	shaik 11:5	shutdown	sincerely 30:25
september 27:8	share 5:4 23:9	185:12	singer 8:11,16
46:2,3,11,23	23:10	side 100:7 115:5	110:25,25 111:3
48:5,12 179:8	shareholder	115:16,16	111:3 118:7
182:14,16	29:22 117:8	116:16	120:10,10 121:4
191:11	shareholders	sides 103:21	124:12,12,18
serve 98:1 134:1	29:23,25 114:3	siding 132:15	125:21,22 126:2
169:9	114:17 116:23	sign 18:17 52:20	127:14 129:18
service 63:19	144:8 182:21	52:23 53:1	130:2 131:13,16
148:16,19	shares 23:4,11	153:2,5 167:5	131:20
services 54:10	23:12,13,14,21	167:22	single 56:12
63:6,21,23,25	23:22,24	signature 195:7	70:25 88:4
64:3,5 65:18	sharing 132:1	signed 4:25	109:15
66:16 80:21	shave 36:18	52:21 106:2,4	sipc 24:9,9,10
set 17:19 29:10	sheet 30:10	154:2 167:18	24:11
34:6 62:6 70:23	she's 61:6	168:1,25 178:4	sir 37:16,16
94:24 108:25	shoes 49:10,14	181:19	38:8 41:10,14
123:15 138:13	short 19:21 99:3	significance	53:21 55:20
138:20 143:7	101:11 102:1	97:24 186:12	56:2 57:14,18
144:13 154:25	156:19 179:10	significant	113:21 118:8,19
164:22 166:10	187:19 191:9	25:14 35:15	118:25 119:19
166:12,15 169:3		37:5 150:1	120:3 122:10
	Varitant I ac		

[sir - spite] Page 52

123:22 128:25	smart 127:7	136:21 185:8	sources 88:18
158:8 159:20	151:2	somebody's	south 40:14
193:5	smith 6:11 50:1	28:12 167:8	southern 1:2
siskins 114:2	50:3,5 61:5,7,9	181:12	space 33:15
sit 17:25 40:2	61:14 62:24	someone's	56:20 183:8
188:9	63:3 64:25 65:3	150:15	spaziani 8:24
sits 190:19	65:6,15 66:4,20	somewhat 72:25	98:20,20 107:2
sitting 103:9	67:2,7,10,23	sonya 5:25	107:4,13,16
104:8 156:13	68:18 70:1,18	195:3,8	speak 37:19
situated 90:9	71:8,25 72:14	soon 35:13	38:4,13,19 41:5
situation 106:25	73:11 74:17,23	108:20 112:17	60:7 74:18
112:24 116:19	75:10 76:4,9	sooner 147:7	122:9,9 156:18
118:10,16	snapshot 83:13	sorry 37:20 46:9	188:20
122:20 158:10	social 18:14	46:11 58:10	speaker 137:20
188:20 189:25	sofas 183:22	60:10 80:22	speaking 56:3
190:16	sol 112:10	89:6,13 93:15	special 2:18
situations 58:25	sold 23:21 69:20	100:11 102:25	35:19,25 36:4,6
186:3	89:3,9 103:14	104:4 107:13	36:9 74:25 75:1
six 38:25 40:13	118:10 177:25	111:3,7 122:10	specific 30:8
165:23	181:20 182:3	130:4 135:14	44:6 60:8 63:25
size 140:18	187:24 189:3	140:24 146:3,22	94:8 98:4 107:8
skip 45:13	190:17,18	146:23 147:22	107:12 190:9
slade 12:5	sole 20:8 88:22	159:23 165:22	specifically 34:3
slashing 152:7,7	89:2	166:2 167:2	63:10,21 68:2
152:18,22 153:4	solely 79:18	172:23 186:17	107:24 109:13
153:10 154:18	85:22	190:1	183:7
155:14	solicit 71:11	sort 32:13 53:3	specificity 156:5
slide 15:8 17:11	solicited 30:2	94:2,5 98:9	specifics 26:20
18:10 19:1	solid 123:22	120:25 126:20	specified 52:24
21:16 22:21	solution 32:5	130:14 169:23	speculation 17:8
24:8 25:5 26:15	82:3 167:20	172:10 185:9	117:21
27:2	solutions 167:3	sought 49:9	speculative
slinging 32:14	167:23,25 168:8	71:20 114:9	154:17
slow 17:20	168:12 195:20	143:7 184:17	speed 15:12
31:16 180:13	solve 42:18	sound 148:7	186:14
small 18:5	soma 10:10	155:20 156:1,2	spending 39:5
143:20 183:5	somebody 28:11	161:20 171:9	spent 31:2 115:1
188:19,21	29:2 71:4 92:2	192:15 193:1	spike 85:8
smaller 115:8	94:3,16 126:19 128:13 130:19	source 158:25	spite 55:16,16

[spoke - stretto's] Page 53

			,
spoke 19:3	staking 78:4	starts 174:5	stayed 118:25
spoken 18:22	102:19 110:6,10	state 15:1 47:10	144:24
59:3 185:14	146:10,13,14,16	58:22 63:17	stealing 22:22
sponsored	148:7,12,18,22	122:15 125:11	steer 29:3
172:16	149:1,6,7,9,12	164:3 166:7	steinman 13:18
spot 167:6	149:25 150:2,9	167:15 177:9	step 49:9,13
ssb 173:9 185:6	150:12,19,25	181:15 186:19	stephen 11:24
ssb's 184:1,16	151:2,3,7,9,13	stated 64:8	steve 67:15 75:4
stabilize 19:17	151:16,22,25	105:21 123:7	78:7
stabilized 30:11	152:15,24	126:17 145:25	steven 9:6 13:1
stable 10:8	153:10,20 154:4	statement 4:19	38:24 40:17
186:12	154:12,17,24	16:3 27:11	113:24 116:20
staff 57:22	155:4,5,13,16	70:10 78:12	141:11 145:11
stage 73:25	155:21,23 156:6	96:8,17,20	182:20 188:2
122:3	156:11,15	99:11,12 110:15	stick 36:21 55:3
staggered	158:10,11,12,19	140:8 165:4,5,8	stock 3:10 23:17
189:24	159:15 161:23	165:12 166:14	29:23
stake 58:5	194:10	166:16 169:2,3	stolen 42:1
146:17,17,19,20	stalking 4:13	169:9 171:24	121:17
148:9,10,20	171:7	178:5 179:19	stone 191:6
149:15,18 151:9	stall 30:14	186:25 187:7	stop 20:23 29:5
152:2,4,8	stand 21:13	188:25	30:7 32:20 41:6
153:11 154:1,13	62:23 188:9	statements	41:6,6 88:13
155:8 156:20	standalone	26:11,12 29:11	90:1 127:13,15
157:22 158:3	163:6	32:22 62:7	127:15,15,15
160:21	standard 68:5	115:20 183:18	129:7,7 146:25
staked 149:4,23	83:11 88:15	185:8	147:16,16
150:4 151:3	standing 23:20	states 1:1,12	stopped 132:18
152:16 153:24	114:20	7:17 54:8 68:11	stories 21:20
153:25 154:5,20	standpoint	69:12 79:23	story 16:17 23:3
155:19 157:1,2	141:3 187:9	80:15 115:22	23:5
158:2 160:15,23	stapleton 9:19	119:7 120:13	strange 41:23
stakeholder	start 37:14	122:13,18	strategically
114:8	45:12,14 102:21	stating 105:17	23:6
stakeholders	168:13	status 3:18 21:1	street 6:16 7:12
31:12 71:1	started 72:10	135:4 138:8,13	7:19 8:20 9:3
141:12	160:18 168:23	138:20	stretto 2:22 15:6
staker 148:22	189:3	statutes 28:7	65:15,18 192:24
stakers 149:7	starting 40:20	statutory 36:5	stretto's 65:7,25
	50:15 191:1		66:5

[stricken - table] Page 54

			C
stricken 68:12	submitting 4:22	supplementing	172:14 174:3,22
strictly 14:3	4:23 62:1 65:4	64:12	179:25 181:5,11
strikes 141:22	subsequent	supplies 68:5	184:23 192:6
strongly 108:18	25:20 45:18	support 16:3,7	193:15
128:21	88:25	36:12 55:6 65:8	surety 2:5
struck 177:5	subsequently	67:13 78:8,12	surprised 29:13
structure 30:21	82:15 85:16	109:8 120:23	surprises 71:18
40:18 42:22	substantially	141:7 166:4,10	susan 11:25
71:5 109:24	186:13 189:12	177:6 182:22	susheel 12:2
181:5	substantive	185:21,22,23,24	75:4
stuck 89:25	76:17 117:15,15	supported 75:3	sussberg 6:8
138:9	successfully	88:2	14:14,15,18
subaccounts	180:21	supporter 57:5	15:5 18:5,10
94:23	suffer 54:14	supportive	24:7 32:8 33:25
subdivision	suffering 35:16	78:11	37:13 44:18,20
69:25	sufficient 82:20	supports 35:23	67:15 163:12
subject 28:18,22	85:19 86:2,4	108:18	sussberg's 68:1
35:9 70:2 74:10	116:6 153:22	suppose 101:10	sw 8:8
89:1 93:9 94:18	155:18 174:23	115:6 167:25	swap 64:14
108:5 116:9	suggested	supposed 40:9	sweep 4:11 78:2
117:25 132:13	172:17	60:8 100:22	118:12 138:14
146:11 153:9,11	suggesting	139:16 171:5	sweeping
155:16 163:18	186:21	178:10	133:10
165:7 169:18	suggests 69:18	sure 14:22 16:5	swings 151:21
175:2	173:25	21:17 23:6 25:6	sympathetic
subjects 133:22	suite 7:19	34:21 37:20	42:15 43:19
submissions	195:22	38:3 42:13,16	sympathize
62:19 114:11	sullivan 2:18	44:1 48:14,16	127:18
116:3 182:22	6:14 10:2	49:15,22 53:13	system 3:14
submit 34:9	summary 28:2	54:11,16 62:25	46:1 47:10
48:17 50:21	192:24	68:13 70:21	81:14 82:1,2,13
62:25 67:16	super 16:21	93:18 94:11	89:14 100:17
69:5 77:1 78:17	superpriority	95:20 97:23	124:7 167:7
163:17 170:2,12	3:17	99:14 100:13,21	t
171:14 173:1	supervision	102:15 104:20	t 195:1,1
submitted 62:16	28:17	111:21 112:18	tabachnik 11:21
78:7 125:14	supplement 2:4	132:5 135:14	167:17,17 168:1
135:5 164:11	78:6	137:19 160:2	table 70:21
176.00		164:7 165:18	(abic / 0.21
176:22	supplemental	104./ 103.16	175:24

[take - think] Page 55

take 22:3 44:24	technically	46:13 48:8,12	theft 25:17
45:2,3,4 50:14	137:13	50:14 51:14	theirs 176:8
71:25 72:8	technology	53:7,21 55:20	themes 22:4
90:20 96:23	136:8	56:2 58:17 60:2	theory 90:4
116:15 139:12	telephone 80:6	60:10 61:3,4,7	128:21
141:1 143:4	_	63:14 65:6,14	thereof 4:24
	telephonically	65:15 66:14	thereto 3:15
147:4,8,21 168:18 178:1	6:8,9,10,11,12		
	6:19 7:7,15,22	67:10,23 68:18	there's 56:19
182:7 184:23	8:1,6,16,23,24	74:8,17 75:10	60:4 63:23 74:2
taken 15:19	9:6,8,13	75:24 76:4,9	81:10 93:1 96:2
73:20 106:22	tell 129:24 164:8	77:3,17,21	96:13,22 98:3
148:24 187:25	169:4 171:5	80:12 94:12	98:14,14 99:4,4
takes 163:15	174:15	102:14 105:4	99:24,24 100:9
176:6,11,11	telling 192:12	110:11,22	101:2 102:25
talk 16:11 41:8	tempers 127:18	113:21 114:19	103:21
118:7 132:20	ten 56:7	118:1 120:7,11	they're 54:17
153:18 159:4	term 21:24	133:2 134:25	69:11 71:18,19
174:6	28:10 39:24,25	135:11,12	they've 73:19
talked 27:4	40:7 69:16	141:23 146:7,8	100:7
112:14 194:7	187:17	148:8 159:20	thing 28:15 43:4
talking 39:2	terms 28:17,17	161:21 162:4	59:20 71:2,10
96:3 111:12	41:19 49:12	163:4 170:11	73:14 93:20
132:2 137:5	55:14 79:6,20	183:9 186:18	122:21 177:24
160:11 181:7,8	85:17 91:16	188:17 193:5	190:16
191:22	96:3 97:17	194:18	things 29:4 31:7
talks 173:25	98:13 103:20	thanks 67:6	40:13 45:3 58:6
tampering	105:17 109:11	75:25	59:24 62:8
167:8	111:22 122:23	that'll 65:1	69:11 73:22
tape 146:25	131:1 142:6	that's 44:12,25	91:13 102:4,19
147:5 148:3,4	144:2 158:1,24	45:4 52:17 53:3	102:20 131:7
168:9,10	159:7 160:24	53:11,11,25	134:12,21
target 166:12	194:12	54:23 58:12,18	153:18 155:4
targeted 82:23	texas 164:3	59:1,17 61:4	165:24 174:16
taught 193:9,20	166:7 167:15	64:21 68:12	177:19 180:13
taxes 3:2 60:12	173:9 181:16	70:21 71:7,8	183:11,24
60:15,18,19	186:19	86:11 94:5 96:8	187:10 194:9
team 63:14	thank 14:11,17	96:12,12 97:16	think 15:19
68:22 74:18	14:18 15:5	98:2 99:5,17	16:21 18:6 25:5
92:24 93:1,2	37:10,15 38:9	102:15	29:10,11,16
	41:3 44:16		33:19 35:3

[think - told] Page 56

36:20 37:14	thinking 21:3	64:13 69:8	174:23 175:7
38:11 49:20	40:3 46:2	71:19 74:16	176:5
52:13 55:7 61:6	155:11 168:1	79:11 82:22	timothy 12:21
64:21 70:20,20	thinks 99:5	83:11 102:11	today 14:20
71:9 72:1,6 73:3	173:17 180:6	109:23 115:2,25	16:2,19 17:24
73:7,9,21 74:15	186:6	126:18 140:4	20:1,18 22:8
74:19 81:3 94:5	third 4:11 35:19	147:10,12	23:20 25:22
94:12 95:19	56:22 71:11	148:24,25	26:4 28:4,4,14
96:1,1,9,25 98:2	78:2 81:19,20	149:11,23	31:5,8 33:1,5
98:3 102:11	82:3,3,4,15	150:13 151:23	35:5 37:11 38:2
103:21,22	89:10 98:23	153:6,22 155:19	38:7 42:6,19,24
105:11 106:9,15	107:17,18,21,24	156:15,19 157:8	42:24 43:5
106:16,17,17	133:10 151:5	157:24 158:16	48:24 50:2,18
116:17 118:4	170:14	166:15 168:7,12	52:16 59:9
121:5,12,14,16	thomas 12:6	175:3,4,24	65:17 78:11
121:17,17,24	thought 14:24	176:1,1 177:1,4	90:11 103:23
124:4,13,14	145:1 156:8	179:10,19 180:7	104:1 112:1,13
126:10 127:7	170:5 172:18	182:6 183:15	117:24 121:11
129:10,18,24	185:11	185:12 187:23	129:22 131:12
130:2,16,18	three 24:14	189:13 190:1,12	133:3 137:9,10
131:3,24 132:15	26:15 30:4	191:7,8 193:2	137:13 139:6
136:21 139:17	36:19 64:7	timeframe	153:23 163:19
141:4 143:24	67:16 73:16	183:1,8	166:12 177:3
144:19 145:1,3	89:8,9 123:10	timeline 25:1	188:9,9 190:25
145:9,20 147:10	123:11,13 150:5	27:3 36:17,19	191:1,2 194:16
150:15 151:19	161:1 164:1	36:21 166:5,22	today's 35:9
151:24 152:6	170:23 171:9,10	175:11,17,21	today's 65:21
156:7 157:11	188:3,12	177:6,10 178:14	77:5
159:14 161:15	throwing 59:24	179:5,14 186:23	token 148:10
162:5 165:25	thy 123:20	187:13 188:11	154:13
166:11 167:19	tie 31:13	times 24:14	tokens 47:15,16
168:12,14 171:4	tied 17:18	34:13 86:1	146:20 149:8
174:17,22 176:1	tilt 29:3	118:20 163:12	152:13 154:20
177:5,23 179:14	time 23:6,16,18	167:13,16	154:20,22 155:2
180:4,7,13,23	30:14 34:7	172:13 184:2	155:10,25
181:25 183:19	45:24 48:6,20	timetable	171:20
183:24 186:1,5	50:23 51:21	185:22,25	told 16:17 21:20
186:14 189:5	52:12,24,24	timing 156:18	94:21 109:21
191:18 192:2	55:4 59:5 60:19	165:18,25 166:9	112:5,6,6 113:1
	62:6,14,20	166:10 174:2,9	113:1,13 127:2

[tolerate - turns] Page 57

	I		
tolerate 29:6	transactions	transpired	53:4,6 65:22
41:8	3:17 16:23	176:19	66:3,3,13 69:12
tone 29:11	46:15 47:11,15	treasury 82:1	72:12 73:14
tool 163:15	47:17 57:20	93:2	74:13 75:11,17
top 53:3	70:19 73:18	treat 119:1	75:23 78:16
topic 179:24	74:14 83:20,23	120:7 122:19	106:19
toronto 9:4	84:6,18 88:25	127:24 128:22	trustee's 63:17
114:1	93:2 102:20	130:21 132:23	69:4
totally 57:18	119:8 128:1	treated 24:5	truth 171:5
58:18 128:9	144:21 146:18	42:11 88:7,11	try 20:10 34:19
touch 58:15	148:14,18,21	107:7,11 119:21	44:1 130:14
160:6,7	152:17,19,24,25	120:6,19 122:15	153:5 164:17
touted 39:10	153:3,5 155:3	123:1,19 127:11	167:25 169:5
towle 13:4	171:21	127:24 128:5	175:19
town 34:1,5,8,10	transcribed	145:21	trying 17:9
34:18,20,20,24	5:25	treatment 79:20	55:18 59:25
180:14	transcript 195:4	90:8 107:10	71:3,11,22
tracy 9:15	transfer 19:24	trends 82:24	112:25 131:22
trade 93:6	81:7,8 82:2,11	tried 30:19	131:23,23
traded 23:9,17	82:16 83:14,24	116:17 121:9	140:10 146:23
trades 82:10	85:1 92:2,18,20	123:4 124:5	147:6 152:11
83:16 91:25	94:4 100:24	129:9,9	177:21 181:2
trading 19:6,8	133:16 136:11	triggered 72:5,6	tuesday 20:24
102:22 117:5	140:13 144:16	trouble 69:23	61:18
136:11 149:14	144:16 148:20	136:20 146:4	tune 129:20
transact 81:6	transferred	true 22:7,12	turn 49:25
140:11	25:24 47:1	83:18 156:20	58:10 82:13
transaction	81:18 82:7,15	195:4	87:21 106:13
35:14 70:16,17	transferring	trust 36:23	127:19 137:11
71:7,14,24 72:3	49:12	38:14 40:24	149:12 153:17
72:4,20,22 73:1	transfers 3:9	79:4 81:2 84:11	154:6 162:6
73:2,5,8 74:12	81:10,11 85:9	84:12 105:6,6,9	164:21 191:3
81:15,16 82:24	91:5 92:4 93:12	105:14,17	turnaround
121:1 130:17,17	94:25 95:1	106:16,25	182:5 187:18
133:13,17 140:9	103:16,19	109:25 145:19	turned 137:10
151:4 153:6	139:14 140:5	trusted 39:14	137:13
163:14 176:3	144:4	56:8,22 58:20	turning 32:13
181:5 185:1,3	transparent	trustee 7:18	154:16
194:10	21:14 36:3	45:20,25 46:21	turns 20:21
		48:3,3,9 52:6,6	152:18

tutorial 58:11	187:3	103:4 108:24	128:3 138:5
two 14:2 15:1	ucc's 36:5	113:6 118:3	unfortunately
16:18 31:2 33:8	181:25	121:10 127:17	33:14 41:17
33:16 67:14	ulrich 67:15	127:18 128:7,7	43:17 89:23
75:5 89:9 94:24	75:4,9 78:8	131:20,22,24	unfunded 136:3
108:23 110:7	ultimate 40:23	132:19,20,25	unidentified
130:22 134:12	87:22 117:14	141:21 142:21	137:20
134:24 152:22	184:25 185:1,3	152:15 154:7	unique 109:22
153:6 160:25	ultimately 30:17	165:18 166:22	120:16
162:18 164:1	36:11 55:1	180:2,10,23	united 1:1,12
165:3 170:4	153:21 154:2	181:11 188:22	7:17 54:8 69:12
172:4,6,10	165:8 176:4	193:18,19	79:23 80:15
176:2 183:24	unable 34:23	understanding	universe 18:5
185:5,14 188:8	unclear 18:22	52:20 69:23	unknown 1:25
tx 7:13	uncollateralized	95:10 96:19	150:7
type 107:5	56:13 58:25	124:23 132:21	unlawful 85:9
111:15,15,22	uncomfortable	152:21 153:8	unlock 150:18
156:15 194:5	165:10	162:1 190:6	unnerving 21:20
typical 15:13,20	uncontroverted	understands	unsecured 7:2
158:3	115:19 116:3	19:5 29:20	7:10 30:5 57:2
typically 149:7	126:15 127:1	79:22 80:14	57:13 103:5
u	underly 131:8	understood	115:7 117:22
u.s. 1:23 7:18	underlying	49:23 62:24	141:17,23 144:8
45:20,24 46:21	43:16 108:3,5,9	72:19 93:11	unstake 151:5,9
48:3,3,8 52:6,6	122:1	98:18 124:19	151:24 153:25
53:4,6 63:16	undermine	underway	unstaking
64:3,5 65:22	107:9	190:25	146:13 150:25
66:3,3,13 69:4	understand 20:6	undo 120:25	151:8
73:14 74:13	20:17 21:21	127:25 130:16	unsuccessful
75:11,17,23	23:7 25:7 34:2	130:17	30:17
78:15 89:5	34:22 38:22	undoubtedly	unsympathetic
113:3 114:6,7	41:15,16,24	114:12	131:22
117:4 133:9	43:11 52:15	unduly 173:12	untrue 21:5
145:14 193:10	55:9 56:16,25	unease 115:3	22:17
193:21	58:2,13 59:13	unfair 126:14	unusual 116:19
ucc 15:13 36:3	61:22 68:12	unfavorably	190:15
99:10,12 141:16	69:22 91:7,7	29:15	unwilling 21:10
145:22 178:3	93:20,20,24	unfilled 89:16	unwinding
180:14 181:19	95:25 97:16,23	124:21,23 125:7	155:20
	100:19 102:16	125:17,19 128:2	
		l	

[update - wachtel]

_			
update 14:25	v	ventures 6:15	24:13,14 25:10
52:7 53:4	valid 42:25	verify 146:18	25:11,24 26:2,7
updike 11:4	144:5 146:21	veritext 195:20	26:11,17,20,21
uphold 119:12	validate 152:24	versa 75:22	26:23 30:2,18
uploaded 70:6	153:6 155:3	version 48:15	33:19 38:14
upper 167:4	validating	164:13	39:5 43:19
upset 29:4	152:17,25	versus 165:11	49:11,13 55:24
128:18,19,20	validator	186:11	56:22 57:6 59:6
urge 109:9	148:11 153:2	viability 155:10	59:8 64:8 74:25
urquhart 2:18	value 16:13,24	viable 40:7	78:23,24 79:12
usage 107:4	17:25 19:6 20:9	103:18	79:16 80:2,20
usb 80:22 88:21	21:15 36:13	vice 75:22	81:13,21,22,25
88:21 137:4	59:18 70:25	view 17:5 54:23	82:12 84:2
usbc 80:22	87:22 112:19	84:10 97:24	87:12 88:20
usd 39:9 111:11	132:7 138:22	106:5 109:4	89:2 93:1,9,22
112:4 113:2	150:1 154:21	141:25 142:3,7	94:15,15,21
usdc 17:24	159:9,12 163:14	142:8 150:19	95:5,9,11 96:4,5
111:11,15,22	163:16,21	157:9 175:21	96:23 97:5
112:1,5,9 113:2	172:25 174:24	194:7	98:24 99:9
193:9,13,17	176:2 177:1,2	views 175:2	100:3,6,20
194:5,14	177:20 179:15	vince 10:2	101:3,9,12
use 30:8 56:9	181:25 185:15	violation 14:6	102:4,12 105:9
87:3 106:15	187:2 188:5,6	violently 31:2	107:24 108:10
116:14 146:16	188:24 189:18	virtual 33:6	109:19 110:19
171:8	190:14 194:9	virtually 30:12	110:21 114:3
user 34:12 119:7	valued 187:6	virtue 106:23	122:14 123:14
120:13 122:12	vandermark	vladimir 11:11	141:18 143:2
122:13 136:1	11:22	voiced 182:24	145:8 149:17
users 19:7	varick 7:19	voices 34:22	193:8
usually 27:25	various 23:1	volatile 151:20	voyager's 22:11
32:19 71:17	63:13 64:4	186:10	22:16,22 24:11
97:18 159:8	66:17,17 91:3,5	volatility 133:24	25:7
182:14 188:22	99:8 106:21	volume 14:22	voyagercomm
191:25	135:1 155:17	vote 161:6	34:14,16
utilized 4:6 63:7	160:21	voted 159:5	voyager's 83:21
uzio 82:16,20	vdl 116:23	voyager 1:7	83:25 100:1
83:2	141:12,14	2:19 5:5 14:13	W
	velez 13:8	14:15 15:16	wachtel 25:23
	vendors 18:6	18:14 21:1 23:8	wachtel 23.23
	47:6	23:9 24:2,3,4,10	
	4/:0		

[wachtell - who'd]

[<i>5</i>
wachtell 8:18	147:16 154:1	59:17 66:25	64:15 119:24
93:16 98:21	155:24 156:8,11	73:4 92:22 95:7	179:7 180:14
109:20,20	157:6 158:6	98:9 100:16	weekend 182:15
114:13 144:9	160:19 161:22	103:1,25 107:8	weeks 14:23
wagenbach 13:7	163:19 164:17	107:12 108:1,21	16:15,25 20:2
wages 3:4 51:15	165:18 166:24	112:7 113:2,12	20:15 31:2 33:8
51:18,20,21,23	171:2,7,8	113:13,13,14	36:19 108:23
52:8 53:10,15	174:20 175:25	114:8 118:22,23	139:8
53:18 55:2,8	177:4 180:3,8	125:9,10 126:6	weigh 163:8
wait 31:15,21	180:13,17 181:4	130:1 141:3	weighted 60:17
35:17 39:25	184:11 187:20	146:15 149:9	welcome 20:20
40:1,1 46:6	192:15,17	152:17 157:10	22:1
71:21 147:6	wanted 14:20	157:12 158:19	went 30:13 83:6
156:5 164:17	15:8 16:5 18:11	170:6 176:5	83:7 123:15
168:19 177:12	22:3 26:15 29:7	183:19,24 185:3	127:6
177:18,22 185:7	31:23 38:7	189:15,23,25	west 8:20
186:9 190:10	48:23 49:15	191:14	183:23
waiting 160:24	53:5 56:3,5 66:9	ways 16:8	we'd 46:1 62:9
176:7 190:11	73:15 75:17	123:22 148:16	we'll 48:11,24
walk 15:7 17:12	92:14 95:6	188:6	51:13 59:5 77:2
31:12 50:1 81:4	104:11 106:5	we've 15:19	77:16
92:13 163:22	113:12 117:17	16:6,8 18:23	we're 45:12
164:15 173:7	134:14 156:15	19:16 20:13	48:9 59:23,25
wallet 138:12	156:21 169:14	24:15 27:2,4	68:23 69:7 72:9
want 16:11,20	169:22 170:3,3	33:8,9 35:2	72:10 85:15
21:4,12 23:6	170:8,15 193:21	112:14 140:9,10	90:16 100:5
24:19 25:6 26:7	wants 116:14	148:3 153:9	102:24
27:22 33:23	141:9	155:12 156:2	we've 45:23
35:1,8,11 37:23	warranted	163:11 165:2	47:12,18 68:25
38:9,9 39:7	173:14	170:18 174:19	70:23 96:3
57:11 59:6,15	wasn't 91:21	175:8,12 176:2	103:23
64:22 66:25	watched 20:23	176:14,14,16,17	whales 189:21
68:8 72:21	168:3	180:19,21 194:6	whatnot 56:5
74:18 94:11	watching 190:5	wear 19:14	whatsoever
100:12 104:16	water 20:2	73:17	118:15
104:20,22	way 17:2 20:7	website 15:6	what's 54:2
108:19 110:15	21:9 28:11	33:25 39:13	whichever 69:8
119:11 122:6	31:19 37:4,4	167:3	white 125:3
126:6 127:19	43:25 45:3 52:7	week 20:24 25:9	who'd 90:18
129:19 139:12	55:11 56:9	34:6,18 46:21	
	T7 '4 4 T	I.	

[who'll - you've]

who'll 50:1	118:11 119:22	work 20:16,16	128:22 132:10
who's 56:19	119:23 120:18	46:4 48:10 69:4	wrongful
wiles 1:22	138:9,24 143:12	91:15 92:10	131:24
willing 55:3	withdrawals 4:9	147:9 149:6	wrote 129:19
157:17 175:10	48:25 49:6 59:6	155:16 156:6,12	X
win 20:22	77:25 82:18,22	156:24 157:17	x 1:4,10
wind 115:8	90:23,25 101:17	167:23 180:20	xclaim 66:9,10
winds 132:6,7	108:14 116:8,13	191:13	67:1
winning 171:23	135:4,5 138:8	workable 74:20	
wire 81:8,11	138:18 143:11	worked 36:18	y
82:16 83:14	withdrawn	38:15,16,20	yates 13:11
88:14 89:5,7	82:14 136:2	93:14 108:23	yeah 39:20
94:25 118:11,18	150:13	180:21	58:17 69:10
119:6,8,15,24	withdrew	working 15:11	117:20 138:4
120:2,18 126:15	101:10	17:15 21:8	147:4 151:18
126:17,22,25,25	withhold 130:11	27:10 35:21	174:17 193:15
127:4,10 130:7	withholding	63:15 152:2	year 19:9 25:3
130:10,19	130:9	173:18 174:19	157:8
wires 119:10,15	witness 58:19	works 45:14	years 24:25
wish 44:19	162:25	46:3,11 93:1	33:16 35:17
55:22 72:16	witnessing 56:7	100:17	38:15 40:1 56:7
110:12 123:20	wolf 8:23 93:15	worth 189:17	57:1 71:17
123:20 162:22	93:16 94:22	worthlessness	155:13 183:6
162:25 165:20	95:2,10,19 96:8	3:10	yesterday 23:3
188:1	96:19 97:9,20	would've 23:22	yield 33:18
wishes 59:11	98:1,7 99:13,18	wouldn't 66:25	149:24 150:11
76:1 81:6	99:22 100:11,14	103:10	151:3 177:1,2
108:13	101:7,14 105:23	write 179:22	york 1:2,14 6:6
withdraw 30:15	106:9 144:9,9	written 125:10	6:17 7:5,20 8:14
78:20 82:9	146:1,3,7	150:25 179:10	8:21 64:2
87:18 88:8,12	woman 186:17	191:5	youtube 34:25
89:5 102:17,18	192:19,22 193:5	wrong 43:24	you'll 64:10,23
102:24 111:25	won't 72:24	91:14 121:8	71:10 73:12
118:23 139:20	word 38:14	124:23 143:24	you're 55:18
139:22 142:2,5	97:11	180:11	69:11 71:6,10
160:9	words 31:12	wrongdoing	71:11,22 100:13
withdrawal	52:22 120:17	122:6	102:16,18,20
82:21 88:15,16	125:1 128:8	wronged 42:15	you've 58:14
88:21,25 89:20	160:13	43:20,22,25	64:19 70:10
90:18 93:25		128:8,9,12,20	

[yun - zero] Page 62

[yun - zero]
yun 13:2
Z
zachary 12:22 13:4
zero 126:18
181:22